

## EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 7 June 2016 — Verile v Commission**

(Case F-108/12) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil service — Officials — Pensions — Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations — Transfer of pension rights acquired in a national pension scheme into the European Union pension scheme — Proposal concerning additional pensionable years — Action — Annulment — Appeal — Reclassification of claim for annulment of the proposal concerning additional pensionable years — Interpretation of the claim for annulment as a claim seeking annulment of the decision recognising additional pensionable years following the transfer of pension rights — Rejection of the claim — Judgment on appeal which has acquired the force of res judicata — No need to adjudicate)*

(2016/C 260/64)

Language of the case: French

### Parties

*Applicant:* Marco Verile (Cadrezzate, Italy) (represented by: initially by D. de Abreu Caldas, A. Coolen, É. Marchal, S. Orlandi and J.-N. Louis, lawyers, then by D. de Abreu Caldas, S. Orlandi and J.-N. Louis, lawyers, then by S. Orlandi and J.-N. Louis, lawyers, and finally by J.-N. Louis, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: initially by D. Martin and G. Gattinara, acting as Agents, then by J. Currall and G. Gattinara, acting as Agents, then by G. Gattinara, acting as Agent, and finally by G. Gattinara and F. Simonetti, acting as Agents)

### Re:

Application for annulment of the decision regarding the transfer of the applicant's pension rights into the European Union pension scheme, a decision which applies the new general implementing provisions relating to Articles 11 and 12 of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union.

### Operative part of the order

1. There is no need to adjudicate in Case F-108/12, *Verile v Commission*.
2. Mr Marco Verile and the European Commission are each ordered to bear their own costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 379, 8.12.2012, p. 34.

---

**Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 8 June 2016 — Massoulié v Parliament**

(Case F-146/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil service — Officials — Parliament — Inter-institutional transfer — 2014 promotion exercise — Request seeking the conversion of staff reports into merit points — Reclassification of a complaint as a request — Article 90 of the Staff Regulations — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2016/C 260/65)

Language of the case: French

### Parties

*Applicant:* François Massoulié (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Orlandi and T. Martin)