

Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 10 September 2015 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Audiencia Nacional — Spain) — Federación de Servicios Privados del sindicato Comisiones obreras (CC.OO.) v Tyco Integrated Security SL, Tyco Integrated Fire & Security Corporation Servicios SA

(Case C-266/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Social policy — Directive 2003/88/EC — Protection of the safety and health of workers — Organisation of working time — Point (1) of Article 2 — Concept of ‘working time’ — Workers who are not assigned a fixed or habitual place of work — Time spent travelling between the workers’ homes and the premises of the first and last customers)

(2015/C 363/18)

Language of the case: Spanish

Referring court

Audiencia Nacional

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Federación de Servicios Privados del sindicato Comisiones obreras (CC.OO.)

Defendants: Tyco Integrated Security SL, Tyco Integrated Fire & Security Corporation Servicios SA

Operative part of the judgment

Point (1) of Article 2 of Directive 2003/88/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 concerning certain aspects of the organisation of working time must be interpreted as meaning that, in circumstances such as those at issue in the main proceedings, in which workers do not have a fixed or habitual place of work, the time spent by those workers travelling each day between their homes and the premises of the first and last customers designated by their employer constitutes ‘working time’, within the meaning of that provision.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 282, 25.8.2014.

Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 10 September 2015 — European Parliament v Council of the European Union

(Case C-363/14) ⁽¹⁾

(Actions for annulment — Police and judicial cooperation in criminal matters — Europol — List of third States and organisations with which Europol is to conclude agreements — Determination of the legal basis — Legal framework applicable after the entry into force of the Treaty of Lisbon — Transitional provisions — Secondary legal basis — Distinction between legislative acts and implementing measures — Consultation of the Parliament — Initiative of a Member State or the Commission)

(2015/C 363/19)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: European Parliament (represented by: F. Drexler, A. Caiola and M. Pencheva, acting as Agents)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: E. Sitbon, K. Pleśniak and K. Michoel, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Czech Republic (represented by: M. Smolek, J. Vláčil and J. Škeřík, acting as Agents), Hungary (represented by: M.Z. Fehér, G. Szima and M. Bóra, acting as Agents)