# EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 18 May 2015 — Gyarmathy v EMCDDA

(Case F-79/13) (1)

(Civil service — EMCDDA staff — Temporary staff — Decision not to renew a contract of employment — Psychological harassment — Request for assistance — Administrative inquiry — Judgment by default — Examination of the admissibility of the application — Act adversely affecting the applicant — Inadmissibility — Allocation of costs)

(2015/C 213/68)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Valéria Anna Gyarmathy (Györ, Hungary) (represented by: L. Levi and M. Vandenbussche, lawyers)

Defendant: European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) (represented by: D. Storti and F. Pereyra, acting as Agents, and B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)

### Re:

Application for annulment, first, of the decision rejecting the applicant's request seeking recognition of the fact that she had been the victim of psychological harassment on the part of her line manager and, secondly, of the decision not to renew her contract and, as a result, an application for a new investigation to be conducted and for damages in respect of the material and non-material harm allegedly suffered.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Declares that the parties shall bear their own costs.

(1) OJ C 31, 1/2/2014, p. 22.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 18 May 2015 — Dupré v EEAS

(Case F-11/14) (1)

(Civil service — Staff of the EEAS — Member of the temporary staff — Article 98 of the Staff Regulations — Article 2(e) of the CEOS — Contract of employment — Grading — Plea of illegality of the vacancy notice — Post at grade AD 5 open to staff from national diplomatic services and to officials of grades AD 5 to AD 14 — Principle of correspondence between the grade and the post — Judgment by default)

(2015/C 213/69)

Language of the case: French

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Bruno Dupré (Etterbeek, Belgium) (represented by: S. Rodrigues and A. Tymen, lawyers)