

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (3rd Chamber) of 30 September 2014 — Ojamaa v Parliament(Case F-37/14) ⁽¹⁾**(Civil service — Officials — Appointing authority — Act adversely affecting an official — Manifest inadmissibility)**

(2014/C 395/83)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Priit Ojamaa (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: M. Casado García-Hirschfeld, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented by: M. Ecker and N. Chemai, Agents)**Re:**

Application for annulment of the Parliament's decision not to allow 16 days of leave not taken in 2012 to be carried over to 2013, after the applicant had been on long-term sick leave for a serious illness.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Mr Ojamaa is to bear his own costs and is ordered to pay the costs incurred by the European Parliament.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 184 of 16/06/2014, p. 47.

Action brought on 7 August 2014 — ZZ v Council

(Case F-77/14)

(2014/C 395/84)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* ZZ (represented by: S. Pappas, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Subject-matter and description of the proceedings**

Declaration of the inapplicability of Article 8 of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations of Officials, as amended by Article 1(67)(d) of Regulation No 1023/2013, in that it establishes a link between the grant of the benefits provided for in that article and expatriate status, and the annulment of the General Implementing Provisions relating to the travel expenses between the place of employment and the place of origin adopted by the Council on 1 January 2014 applying the same link.

Form of order sought

- Declare that, by virtue of Article 277 TFEU, Article 1(67)(d) of Regulation No 1023/2013 must be disapplied in that it links the reimbursement of annual travel expenses provided for in Article 7 of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations to expatriate status;