

corresponding 'Guidelines to the 2011 annual staff appraisal exercise' of 14 December 2011, including the section in which they provide that the final evaluation must be expressed by means of a summary description but do not establish the criteria which must be used by the appraiser in order for performance to be regarded as 'exceptional — exceeding expectations', 'very good', or 'meeting all expectations';

- order the defendant to pay the costs and to pay compensation for the material and non-material damage.

Action brought on 21 June 2013 — ZZ v Commission

(Case F-57/13)

(2013/C 226/37)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: ZZ (represented by: D. Abreu Caldas, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision fixing the bonus on the applicant's pension rights acquired before his entry into service at the Commission under the new GIP and of the decision rejecting his claim.

Form of order sought

- Annul the decision of 8 January 2013 calculating the bonus on the applicant's pension rights acquired before his entry into service at the Commission;
 - In so far as it is necessary, annul the decision rejecting his claim of 12 March 2013 seeking the application of the GIP and actuarial rates in force at the time when he made his application to transfer his pension rights;
 - Order the Commission to pay the costs.
-