

**Re:**

Action for revision brought by the applicant against the order of the First Chamber of the Civil Service Tribunal on 16 September 2010, in Case F-45/06.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Tribunal:

1. Dismisses the application for revision as inadmissible;
2. Orders the parties applying for revision to pay the costs incurred by the European Commission;
3. Orders the Council of the European Union, intervener in the revision proceedings, to bear its own costs.

---

**Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 20 September 2011 — Saintraint v Commission**

(Case F-103/06 REV)

*(Staff case — Procedure — Application for revision — Article 119 of the Rules of Procedure of the Civil Service Tribunal — Decision of the Tribunal — Application for revision of an order removing a case from the register following discontinuance — No binding effect — Inadmissibility raised by the Tribunal of its own motion)*

(2011/C 340/69)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Antoine Saintraint (La Paz, Bolivia) (represented by: S. Rodrigues, C. Bernard-Glanz and A. Blot, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and G. Berscheid, acting as Agents)

*Intervener in support of the Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bauer and J. Herrmann, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Application for revision by the applicant against the Order of the First Chamber of the Civil Service Tribunal of 16 September 2010, in Case F-103/06.

**Operative part of the judgment**

1. The application for revision is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. The applicant for revision is ordered to pay the costs of the Commission.

3. The Council of the European Union, which has intervened in the action for revision, is ordered to bear its own costs.

---

**Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 29 September 2011 — Angé Serrano v Parliament**

(Case F-9/07) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Civil Service — Officials — Change of category under the old Staff Regulations — Transitional rules for grading as at 1 May 2004 — Decision of the Bureau of the European Parliament of 13 February 2006 — Reclassification on the basis of the salary of officials receiving a compensatory payment — Applicable multiplier — Loss of promotion points — Claim for compensation)*

(2011/C 340/70)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Pilar Angé Serrano (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: É. Boigelot, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Parliament (represented: initially by C. Burgos and K. Zejdová, Agents, and subsequently by L.G. Knudsen and K. Zejdová, Agents)

*Intervener in support of the defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented: initially by M. Simm and I. Šulce, and subsequently by K. Zieleškievicz, M. Bauer and J. Monteiro, Agents)

**Re:**

Annulment of the European Parliament's decision regrading the applicant at grade B\*6, step 8; she had been included on the reserve list following an internal competition for change of category, before the entry into force of the new Staff Regulations, under the latter's less favourable provisions — Claim for compensation.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Tribunal:

1. Dismisses the application;
2. Orders each party to bear its own costs;
3. Orders the Council of the European Union, the intervener, to bear its own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 69 of 24.03.07, p. 31.