

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Currall and D. Martin, Agents)

Re:

Application for damages for the harm caused to the applicants by the defendant's failure to recruit them as temporary agents while they were employed in the joint undertaking JET.

Operative part of the order

1. *The application is dismissed as inadmissible;*
2. *Mr Allen and the 110 other applicants whose names have been retained on the list of applicants are directed to pay all the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 37, 13.2.2010, p. 51.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber Chamber) of 29 June 2010 — Palou Martínez v Commission

(Case F-11/10)

(Public service — Officials — Manifestly inadmissible — Delay — Failure to follow the pre-litigation procedure — Article 35(1)(e) of the Rules of Procedure)

(2010/C 288/137)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: María Soledad Palou Martínez (Barcelona, Spain) (represented by: V. Balfagon Costa, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Re:

Application to annul the decision to reassign the applicant to headquarters in Brussels.

Operative part of the order

1. *The application is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Ms Palou Martínez is ordered to bear her own costs.*

Action brought on 6 August 2010 — Mata Blanco v Commission

(Case F-65/10)

(2010/C 288/138)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: José Manuel Mata Blanco (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: L. Levi and A. Blot, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the EPSO decision not to include the applicant on the reserve list for internal competition 'COM/INT/OLAF.09/AD10 — Administrators specialised in anti-fraud' and the reserve list and all the decisions taken on the basis of that list

Form of order sought

— annul the EPSO decision of 11 May 2010 confirming, after re-examination, its decision of 9 March 2010 not to include the applicant on the reserve list for internal competition 'COM/INT/OLAF/09/AD10 — Administrators specialised in anti-fraud';

— annul the reserve list for internal competition 'COM/INT/OLAF/09/AD10 — Administrators specialised in anti-fraud' in so far as it does not include the applicant's name and all other decision taken on the basis of that list;

— order, as measures of organisation of procedure (cf. Article 55 of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court), the production by the defendant of the criteria used by the jury for the oral test, the questions put to him by the competition jury during his oral test and the copy of the jury's assessment sheet relating to that oral test together with the criteria used in their marking.