

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 9 March 2010 — Tzvetanova v Commission

(Case F-33/09) ⁽¹⁾

(Staff cases — Temporary staff — Remuneration — Expatriation allowance — Conditions laid down in Article 4 of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations — Habitual residence before entering the service — Stay as a student in the place of employment — Training periods outside the place of employment during the reference period — Account taken of actual residence)

(2010/C 134/86)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Aglika Tzvetanova (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Martin and J. Baquero Cruz, Agents, later by J. Currall and J. Baquero Cruz, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the decision of the Commission to refuse the applicant the benefit of the expatriation allowance provided for in Article 4(1)(a) of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations.

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Annuls the decision of the European Commission of 10 July 2008 refusing Ms Tzvetanova the benefit of the expatriation allowance provided for in Article 4 of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations;
2. Orders the Commission to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 129 of 06. 06. 2009, p. 22.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 25 March 2010 — Buschak v EFILWC

(Case F-47/08) ⁽¹⁾

(Staff cases — European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions — Job description of the post of deputy director — Action for annulment — Action for damages — Interest in bringing proceedings — Manifestly inadmissible)

(2010/C 134/87)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Willy Buschak (Bonn, Germany) (represented by: L. Levy and C. Ronzi, lawyers, later by L. Levy, lawyer)

Defendant: European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (represented by: C. Callanan, Solicitor)

Re:

Staff cases — Annulment of the decision amending the applicant's job description and an order that the defendant pay him a sum by way of compensation for the material and non-material harm suffered.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible;
2. Mr Busak is ordered to pay the costs in their entirety.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 171 of 5.07.2008, p. 52.

Action brought on 8 December 2009 — Papatthasiou v OHIM

(Case F-99/09)

(2010/C 134/88)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Elisavet Papatthasiou (Alicante, Spain) (represented by: H. Tettenborn, lawyer)