

- order the defendant to pay to the applicant, by way of compensation for the damage arising from the failure physically to destroy the documentation, with effect from tomorrow until the day on which the documentation is physically destroyed, the sum of EUR 100 per day, or such greater or lesser sum as the Tribunal may consider fair and just;
- order the defendant to pay to the applicant, by way of compensation for the damage arising from the failure to restore the applicant's personal effects to him, with effect from tomorrow until the day on which the effects are restored, the sum of EUR 100 per day, or such greater or lesser sum as the Tribunal may consider fair and just;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Action brought on 9 January 2009 — Putterie-de-Beukelaer v Commission

(Case F-1/09)

(2009/C 55/96)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Françoise Putterie-de-Beukelaer (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: E. Boigelot, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Annulment of the decision not to admit the applicant to the 2007 attestation procedure.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- Annul the Appointing Authority's decision of 30 September 2008 to reject the applicant's complaint relating to the decision that her application for the 2007 attestation procedure was inadmissible;
- Annul the Appointing Authority's decision that her application for the 2007 attestation procedure was inadmissible;
- order the Commission of the European Communities to pay the costs.

Action brought on 19 January 2009 — Menghi v ENISA

(Case F-2/09)

(2009/C 55/97)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Achille Menghi (Cagliari, Italy) (represented by: L. Defalque, lawyer)

Defendant: European Network and Information Security Agency

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

The annulment of the decision not to confirm the applicant's contract after the probationary period and an application for compensation for the material and non-material harm suffered.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- Annul the decision of 3 October 2008 rejecting the applicant's complaint relating to the decision of the authority authorised to conclude contracts of employment of 14 March 2008 not to confirm the applicant's contract;
- Consequently, annul the decision of the authority authorised to conclude contracts of employment of 14 March 2008 not to confirm the applicant's contract;
- Order the authority authorised to conclude contracts of employment to pay compensation to the applicant for the financial harm caused by the failure to confirm his three year contract of employment, the financial harm caused as a result of the medical expenses which he had to incur and the non-material harm suffered on account of psychological harassment;
- Order the European Network and Information Security Agency to pay the costs.

Action brought on 16 January 2009 — Ridolfi v Commission

(Case F-3/09)

(2009/C 55/98)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Roberto Ridolfi (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: N. Lhoëst, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities