

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders each party to bear its own costs.

(¹) OJ C 42, 24.2.2007, p. 48.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 4 September 2008 — Lafili v Commission

(Case F-22/07) (¹)

(Staff case — Officials — Entry into force of Regulation (EEC, Euratom) No 723/2004 — Articles 44 and 46 of the Staff Regulations — Article 7 of Annex XIII to the Staff Regulations — Promotion — Grading — Multiplication factor)

(2008/C 313/100)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Paul Lafili (Genk, Belgium) (represented by: G. Vandersanden and L. Levi, lawyers)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: J. Currall, H. Kraemer and K. Herrmann, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the decision to place the applicant in Grade AD 13, step 5, contained in a memorandum from DG ADMIN of 11 May 2006 and in the pay slip of June 2006 and in subsequent pay slips, inasmuch as that the decision infringes, inter alia, Articles 44 and 46 of the Staff Regulations of Officials and Article 7 of Annex XIII to those regulations.

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Annuls the decision of the Head of Unit A 6 'Career structure, evaluation and promotion' in the 'Personnel and Administration' General-Directorate of the Commission of the European Communities of 11 May 2006;
2. Orders Mr Lafili to bear half his own costs;

3. Orders the Commission of the European Communities to bear its own costs and to pay half of Mr Lafili's costs.

(¹) OJ C 95, 28.4.2007, p. 59.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Third Chamber) of 8 October 2008 — Barbin v European Parliament

(Case F-44/07) (¹)

(Staff cases — Officials — Promotion — Procedure for the allocation of merit points in the European Parliament — Illegality of the instructions governing that procedure — Examination of comparative merits)

(2008/C 313/101)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Florence Barbin (Luxembourg, Luxembourg) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and É. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: A. Lukošūitė and R. Ignătescu, later by C. Burgos, A. Lukošūitė and R. Ignătescu, agents)

Re:

First, annulment of the decision of 16 September 2006 to allocate one merit point to the applicant under the 2005 promotion procedure and, secondly, for a declaration that paragraph I.2(c) of the 'Implementing measures relating to the allocation of merit and promotion points' of the European Parliament of 10 May 2006 is illegal.

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. dismisses the application;
2. orders the parties to bear their own costs.

(¹) OJ C 155 of 7.7.2007, p. 45.