

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 5 July 2007 — Dethomas v Commission

(Case F-93/06) ⁽¹⁾

(Staff cases — Former member of the temporary staff — Appointment as an official — Amendment of the Staff Regulations of 1 May 2004 — Third paragraph of Article 32 of the Staff Regulations — Classification by step)

(2007/C 199/92)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Bruno Dethomas (Rabat, Morocco) (represented by: S. Orlandi, A. Coolen, J.-N. Louis and E. Marchal, lawyers)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: initially V. Joris and H. Kraemer, subsequently H. Kraemer alone)

Re:

Annulment of the Commission's decision of 11 January 2006, appointing the applicant, a member of the temporary staff classified in grade A1*14, step 8, as a probationary official of the European Communities, inasmuch as it fixes his classification in grade A*14, step 2.

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

1. Annuls the decision of the Commission of the European Communities of 11 January 2006 inasmuch as it classifies Mr Dethomas, Head of the European Commission Delegation to the Kingdom of Morocco, in grade A*14, step 2;
2. Orders the Commission of the European Communities to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 237, 30.9.2006, p. 22.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 2 July 2007 — Sanchez Ferriz v Commission

(Case F-117/05) ⁽¹⁾

(Staff cases — Officials — Promotion — Failure to include on the list of officials promoted — 2004 promotion exercise — Priority Points — Merit — Seniority — Admissibility)

(2007/C 199/93)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Carlos Sanchez Ferriz (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: F. Frabetti, lawyer)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: J. Currall and H. Kraemer, Agents)

Re:

Annulment of the list of officials promoted under the 2004 exercise, inasmuch as the applicant's name did not appear in that list, and annulment of the decision allocating priority points to the applicant in that period.

Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed in part as manifestly inadmissible and in part as manifestly unfounded.
2. The parties shall bear their own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 36, 11.2.2006, p. 35.

Order of the Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 20 June 2007 — Tesoka v Eurofound

(Case F-51/06) ⁽¹⁾

(Staff cases — Members of the temporary staff — European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions — Resignation — Action for annulment and damages — No decision adversely affecting an individual — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2007/C 199/94)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Sabrina Tesoka (Overijse, Belgium) (represented by: J.-L. Fagnart, lawyer)

Defendant: European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound) (represented by: C. Callanan, lawyer)

Re:

First, annulment of the decision of the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions not to grant the applicant's claim for the damages to which she alleged she was entitled following her resignation, pursuant to Regulation No 1111/2005, and, second, a claim for damages (Case T-398/05 referred back by the Court of First Instance).