

**Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 21 December 2011 —
ACEA v Commission**

(Case C-319/09P)

(Appeal — State aid — Aid granted to public utilities — Tax exemptions — Decision declaring the aid scheme incompatible with the common market — Action for annulment — Admissibility — Locus standi — Legal interest — Article 87 EC — Concept of ‘aid’ — Article 88 EC — Concept of ‘new aid’ — Regulation (EC) No 659/1999 — Articles 1 and 14 — Lawfulness of a recovery order — Duty to state reasons)

1. *Actions for annulment — Natural or legal persons — Measures of direct and individual concern to them — Commission decision prohibiting a sectoral aid scheme — Action brought by an undertaking having received individual aid granted under that scheme and that must be recovered — Admissibility (Art. 230, fourth para., EC) (see paras 54-59)*
2. *Actions for annulment — Legal interest in bringing proceedings — Condition — Action capable of procuring an advantage for the party bringing it — Commission decision on the recovery of State aid (see paras 67-69)*
3. *Appeals — Pleas in law — Inadequate statement of reasons — Reliance by the Court of First Instance on implied reasoning — Whether permissible — Conditions (Art. 225 EC; Statute of the Court of Justice, Arts 36 and 53, first para.) (see para. 82)*
4. *Appeals — Pleas in law — Mistaken assessment of the facts — Inadmissibility — Review by the Court of the assessment of the facts and evidence — Possible only where the clear sense of the evidence has been distorted (Art. 225 EC; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 58, first para.) (see paras 114-116)*
5. *Appeals — Pleas in law — Plea directed against a ground of the judgment not necessary in order to support the operative part — Plea in law ineffective (see para. 120)*

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the Court of First Instance (Eighth Chamber, Extended Composition) of 11 June 2009 in Case T-297/02 *ACEA v Commission* by which that court dismissed the action brought for annulment of Articles 2 and 3 of Commission Decision 2003/193/EC of 5 June 2002 on State aid granted by Italy in the form of tax exemptions and subsidised loans to public utilities with a majority public capital holding (O) 2003 L 77, p. 21).

Operative part

The Court:

1. Dismisses the main appeal and the cross-appeal;
2. Orders ACEA SpA to pay the costs of the main appeal;
3. Orders the European Commission to pay the costs of the cross-appeal;
4. Orders Iride SpA to pay its own costs.

**Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 21 December 2011 —
A2A, formerly AEM v Commission**

(Case C-320/09 P)

(Appeal — State aid — Aid granted to public utilities — Tax exemptions — Decision declaring the aid scheme incompatible with the common market — Action for annulment — Admissibility — Locus standi — Legal interest in bringing proceedings — Article 87 EC — Concept of ‘aid’ — Article 88 EC — Concept of ‘new aid’ — Article 10 EC — Duty to cooperate in good faith — Regulation (EC) No 659/1999 — Articles 1 and 14 — Lawfulness of a recovery order — Principle of legal certainty — Duty to state reasons)