

# Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali C 277 tal-Unjoni Ewropea



Edizzjoni bil-Malti

## Informazzjoni u Avviżi

Volum 53

14 ta' Ottubru 2010

Avviż Nru

Werrej

Paġna

II Komunikazzjonijiet

KOMUNIKAZZJONIJIET MINN ISTITUZZJONIJIET, KORPI, UFFIĆĊJI U AĞENZJJI TAL-UNJONI EWROPEA

### Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea

2010/C 277/01

Ebda oppożizzjoni għal konċentrazzjoni notifikata (Każ COMP/M.5862 – Mahle/Behr/Behr Industry) (1) 1

IV Informazzjoni

INFORMAZZJONI MINN ISTITUZZJONIJIET, KORPI, UFFIĆĊJI U AĞENZJJI TAL-UNJONI EWROPEA

### Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea

2010/C 277/02

Rata tal-kambju tal-euro ..... 2

Prezz:  
3 EUR

(1) Test b'relevanza għaż-ŻEE

(Ikompli fil-paġna ta' wara)

## INFORMAZZJONI DWAR IŻ-ŻONA EKONOMIKA EWROPEA

**Awtorità ta' Sorveljanza EFTA**

2010/C 277/03	L-ebda ghajnuna mill-istat skont it-tifsira tal-Artikolu 61 tal-Ftehim taż-ŻEE .....	3
2010/C 277/04	Stedina biex jitressqu kummenti skont l-Artikolu 1(2) tal-Parti I tal-Protokoll 3 tal-Ftehim bejn l-Istati tal-EFTA dwar it-twaqqif ta' Awtorità ta' Sorveljanza u Qorti tal-Ġustizzja dwar ghajnuna mill-Istat rigward ghajnuna allegata moghtija mill-Fond għall-Finanzjament tad-Djar .....	4

**Il-Kumitat Permanenti ta' l-Istati EFTA**

2010/C 277/05	Is-sottokumitat II dwar il-moviment ħieles tal-kapital u s-servizzi – Il-grupp ta' hidma dwar is-servizzi finanzjarji – Prezantazzjoni annotata tas-swieq regolati skont l-Artikolu 47 tad-Direttiva 2004/39/KE dwar Swieq ta' Strumenti Finanzjarji (MiFID) .....	16
---------------	--	----

---

V Avviżi

## PROCÉDURI DWAR L-IMPLEMENTAZZJONI TAL-POLITIKA TAL-KOMPETIZZJONI

**Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea**

2010/C 277/06	Notifika minn qabel ta' konċentrazzjoni (Każ COMP/M.6001 – Aker/Lindsay Goldberg/EPAX Holding) – Każ li jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għal proċedura simplifikata (¹) .....	18
2010/C 277/07	Notifika minn qabel ta' konċentrazzjoni (Każ COMP/M.5990 – Investor/Mölnlycke) – Każ li jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għal proċedura simplifikata (¹) .....	19

## ATTI OHRAJN

**Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea**

2010/C 277/08	Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009 .....	20
---------------	---	----



(¹) Test b'relevanza għaż-ŻEE

(Ikompli fuq il-qoxra ta' wara minn ġewwa)

## II

(Komunikazzjonijiet)

**KOMUNIKAZZJONIJIET MINN ISTITUZZJONIJIET, KORPI, UFFIĊĊI U  
AGENZIJI TAL-UNJONI EWROPEA**

**IL-KUMMISSJONI EWROPEA**

**Ebda oppożizzjoni għal konċentrazzjoni notifikata**

**(Każ COMP/M.5862 – Mahle/Behr/Behr Industry)**

**(Test b'relevanza għaż-ŻEE)**

**(2010/C 277/01)**

Fit-28 ta' Ġunju 2010, il-Kummissjoni d-deċidiet li ma topponix il-konċentrazzjoni notifikata msemmija hawn fuq u li tiddikjaraha kompatibbli mas-suq komuni. Din id-deċiżjoni hi bbażata fuq l-Artikolu 6(1)b tar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 139/2004. It-test shih tad-deċiżjoni hu disponibbli biss fl-Ġermaniż u ser isir pubbliku wara li jitneħha kwalunkwe sigriet tan-negozju li jista' jkun fi. Dan it-test jinstab:

- Fit-taqSIMA tal-amalgamazzjoni tal-websajt tal-Kummissjoni dwar il-Kompetizzjoni (<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/>). Din il-websajt tipprovd diversi faċilitajiet li jghinu sabiex jinstabu d-deċiżjonijiet individwali ta' amalgamazzjoni, inkluži l-kumpanija, in-numru tal-każ, id-data u l-indiċi settorjali,
- fforma elettronika fil-websajt EUR-Lex (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/en/index.htm>) fid-dokument li jgħib in-numru 32010M5862. Il-EUR-Lex hu l-aċċess fuq l-internet għal-ligi Ewropea.

## IV

(Informazzjoni)

**INFORMAZZJONI MINN ISTITUZZJONIJIET, KORPI, UFFIČĆJI U AĞENZIJI  
TAL-UNJONI EWROPEA**

**IL-KUMMISSJONI EWROPEA**

**Rata tal-kambju tal-euro <sup>(1)</sup>**

**It-13 ta' Ottubru 2010**

(2010/C 277/02)

**1 euro =**

Munita	Rata tal-kambju	Munita	Rata tal-kambju		
USD	Dollaru Amerikan	1,3958	AUD	Dollaru Awstraljan	1,4128
JPY	Yen Ĝappuniz	114,23	CAD	Dollaru Kanadiż	1,3999
DKK	Krona Daniża	7,4565	HKD	Dollaru ta' Hong Kong	10,8317
GBP	Lira Sterlina	0,88120	NZD	Dollaru tan-New Zealand	1,8407
SEK	Krona Žvediża	9,2662	SGD	Dollaru tas-Singapor	1,8181
CHF	Frank Žvizzera	1,3352	KRW	Won tal-Korea t'Isfel	1 564,83
ISK	Krona Iźlandiża		ZAR	Rand ta' l-Afrika t'Isfel	9,5766
NOK	Krona Norvegiża	8,1350	CNY	Yuan ren-min-bi Činiż	9,3018
BGN	Lev Bulgaru	1,9558	HRK	Kuna Kroata	7,3308
CZK	Krona Čeka	24,470	IDR	Rupiah Indonežjan	12 461,72
EEK	Krona Estonia	15,6466	MYR	Ringgit Malažjan	4,3172
HUF	Forint Ungeriz	273,45	PHP	Peso Filippin	60,733
LTL	Litas Litwan	3,4528	RUB	Rouble Russu	42,0055
LVL	Lats Latvjan	0,7095	THB	Baht Tajlandiż	41,790
PLN	Zloty Pollakk	3,9545	BRL	Real Bražiljan	2,3240
RON	Leu Rumen	4,2773	MXN	Peso Messikan	17,2900
TRY	Lira Turka	1,9723	INR	Rupi Indjan	62,0800

<sup>(1)</sup> Sors: rata tal-kambju ta' referenza ppubblikata mill-Bank Ċentrali Ewropew.

## INFORMAZZJONI DWAR IŻ-ŻONA EKONOMIKA EWROPEA

### AWTORITÀ TA' SORVELJANZA EFTA

**L-ebda ghajnuna mill-istat skont it-tifsira tal-Artikolu 61 tal-Ftehim taż-ŻEE**

(2010/C 277/03)

L-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza tal-EFTA tikkunsidra li l-miżura li ġejja ma tikkostitwix ghajnuna mill-istat skont l-Artikolu 61(1) tal-Ftehim tal-ŻEE

<b>Data tal-adozzjoni tad-deċiżjoni:</b>	30 ta' Marzu 2010
<b>Numru tal-każ:</b>	61700
<b>Numru tad-Deciżjoni:</b>	127/10/COL
<b>Stat tal-EFTA:</b>	In-Norveġja
<b>Reġjun:</b>	Rogaland
<b>Titlu (u/jew isem il-benefiċjarju):</b>	L-ghajnuna allegata qed tingħata permezz tal-bejgħ tal-art mill-muniċipalità ta' Hå lil Risa AS
<b>Tip ta' miżura:</b>	L-ebda ghajnuna
<b>Setturi ekonomiči:</b>	Bejgħ ta' artijiet
<b>Isem u indirizz tal-awtorità li qed tagħmel l-ghotja:</b>	Il-Muniċipalità ta' Hå Rådshusgata 8 4368 Varhaug NORWAY

#### Informazzjoni oħra:

It-test awtentiku tad-deċiżjoni, li minnu tneħħiet kull informazzjoni kunkfidenzjali, huwa disponibbli fuq il-websajt tal-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza tal-EFTA:

<http://www.eftasurv.int/state-aid/state-aid-register/>

**Stedina biex jitressqu kummenti skont l-Artikolu 1(2) tal-Parti I tal-Protokoll 3 tal-Ftehim bejn l-Istati tal-EFTA dwar it-twaqqif ta' Awtorità ta' Sorveljanza u Qorti tal-Ġustizzja dwar ġħajnuna mill-Istat rigward ghajnuna allegata mogħtija mill-Fond għall-Finanzjament tad-Djar**

(2010/C 277/04)

Permezz tad-Deciżjoni Nru 76/10/COL tal-10 ta' Marzu 2010, riprodotta fil-lingwa awtentika fil-paġni li jiġu wara dan is-sommarju, l-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza tal-EFTA bdiet proċedimenti skont l-Artikolu 1(2) fil-Parti I tal-Protokoll 3 tal-Ftehim bejn l-Istati tal-EFTA dwar it-twaqqif ta' Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza u ta' Qorti tal-Ġustizzja. L-awtoritajiet Islandiżi ġew infurmati permezz ta' kopja tad-deċiżjoni.

L-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza tal-EFTA hawnhekk tavża lill-Istati tal-EFTA, l-Istati Membri tal-UE u partijiet interessati biex iressqu l-kummenti tagħhom dwar il-miżuri inkwistjoni fi żmien xahar mill-pubblikazzjoni ta' dan l-avviż lil:

L-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza tal-EFTA  
Ir-Reġistru  
Rue Belliard/Belliardstraat 35  
1040 Bruxelles/Brussel  
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

Il-kummenti se jiġu kkomunikati lill-awtoritajiet Islandiżi. Jista' jintalab trattament kunfidenzjali tal-identità tal-partijiet interessati li jressqu l-kummenti bil-miktub filwaqt li jingħataw ir-raġunijiet għat-talba

#### SOMMARJU

#### Proċedura

Permezz tal-ittra ddatata s-27 ta' Mejju 2009, l-awtoritajiet Islandiżi nnotifikaw skema li tinvolvi l-akkwist mill-Fond Islandiż għall-Finanzjament tad-Djar (l-“HFF”) ta' self b'ipoteka b'garanzija fi proprijetajiet residenzjali minn istituzzjonijiet finanzjarji (“l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteqa”). Fżewġ okkażjonijiet, l-Awtorità staqsiet għal tagħrif addizzjonal mill-Awtoritajiet Islandiżi.

#### Valutazzjoni tal-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka

Il-motivazzjoni għall-introduzzjoni tal-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka hi n-nuqqas ta' likwidità fis-swieq finanzjarji Islandiżi minħabba l-kollax tas-sistema finanzjarja. Taħt l-iskema l-HFF takkwista self b'ipoteka mill-impriżi li minflok jirċievu bonds tal-HFF. It-tranżazzjoni hi skambju permanenti ta' assi u l-istituzzjonijiet finanzjarji huma intitolati li jużaw il-bonds tal-HFF bhala garanzija biex isostnu s-self tal-flus li sar mal-Bank Ċentrali tal-Islanda.

L-Awtorità tikkunsidra li fiċ-ċirkustanzi attwali tas-suq, relatati mal-kriżi finanzjarja, l-ebda investitur privat tas-suq ma kien jipparteċipa fi skambju simili ta' assi. Il-konklużjoni preliminari tal-Awtorità għalhekk hi dik li l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka tinvolvi ghajnuna mill-Istat.

Sabiex tivvaluta l-kompatibbiltà l-Awtorità vvalutat l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka fid-dawl tal-linji gwida tal-ġħajnuna mill-Istat tal-Awtorità dwar l-Assi Danneġġati. L-Awtorità identifikat bosta kwistjonijiet ta' thassib. F'dan l-istadju, u abbaži tat-tagħrif disponibbli l-Awtorità għandha d-dubji dwar il-kwistjonijiet elenkti hawn taħt. L-Awtorità

(1) mhix ġerta jekk il-valutazzjoni tal-assi, sew dik tas-self b'ipoteka u dik tal-bonds tal-HFF, tirriflettix jew le l-valor ekonomiku reali u tinnota li ma ġewx ivvalutati minn espert indipendent;

(2) mhix ġerta dwar jekk ir-remunerazzjoni lill-Istat hix biżżejjed jew le; u

(3) hi mħassba dwar il-fatt li l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka ma għandhiex limitu ta' żmien.

Abbaži tal-kwistjonijiet deskritti hawn fuq l-Awtorità għandha d-dubji jekk l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka tistax titqies kompatibbli mat-thaddim tal-Ftehim taż-ŻEE abbaži tal-linji gwida tal-ġħajnuna mill-Istat tal-Awtorità dwar l-Assi Danneġġati.

L-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka ġiet implementata qabel in-notifika tagħha. L-ewwel applikazzjoni tal-iskema kellha ftehim ta' xiri għal self b'Ipoteka ffirmat fit-23 ta' Marzu 2009 bejn l-HFF u l-Fond ta' Tfaddil Keflavik. Għaldaqstant l-Iskema għal Self b'Ipoteka tinvolvi ghajjnuna illegali.

### **Konklużjoni**

Fid-dawl tal-kunsiderazzjonijiet ta' hawn fuq, l-Awtorità ddeċidiet li tiftah proċedura formal ta' investigazzjoni skont l-Artikolu 1(2) tal-Ftehim taż-ŻEE. Il-partijiet interessati huma mistiedna li jressqu l-kummenti fi żmien xahar mill-publikazzjoni ta' din id-Deciżjoni f'Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali tal-Unjoni Ewropea.

### **EFTA SURVEILLANCE AUTHORITY DECISION**

**No 76/10/COL**

**of 10 March 2010**

**to initiate the formal investigation procedure with regard to the transfer of mortgage loans secured against collateral in residential property from financial undertakings to the Housing Financing Fund**

**(Iceland)**

THE EFTA SURVEILLANCE AUTHORITY<sup>(1)</sup>,

Having regard to the Agreement on the European Economic Area<sup>(2)</sup>, in particular to Articles 61 to 63 and Protocol 26 thereof,

Having regard to the Agreement between the EFTA States on the Establishment of a Surveillance Authority and a Court of Justice<sup>(3)</sup>, in particular to Article 24 thereof,

Having regard to Article 1(3) of Part I and Article 4(4) and 6 of Part II of Protocol 3 to the Surveillance and Court Agreement<sup>(4)</sup>,

Having regard to the Authority's Guidelines on the application and interpretation of Articles 61 and 62 of the EEA Agreement<sup>(5)</sup>, in particular the chapter on the application of State aid rules to measures taken in relation to financial institutions in the context of the current global financial crisis and the Chapter on the treatment of impaired assets in the EEA banking sector,

Having regard to the Authority's Decision of 14 July 2004 on the implementing provisions referred to under Article 27 of Part II of Protocol 3<sup>(6)</sup>,

Whereas:

#### **I. FACTS**

##### **1. Procedure**

In the context of pre-notification discussions, the Icelandic authorities submitted three letters dated 14 October 2008 (Event No 494902), 3 November 2008 (Event No 496979) and 3 December 2008 (Event No 500670) to the Authority with the intention of introducing a scheme concerning the purchase of mortgage loans (the 'Mortgage Loan Scheme'). The pre-notification discussions formed part of a more general discussion on the financial crisis in Iceland. On 27 May 2009, the Icelandic authorities notified the scheme to the Authority in order to obtain legal certainty (Event No 519720).

<sup>(1)</sup> Hereinafter referred to as the Authority.

<sup>(2)</sup> Hereinafter referred to as the EEA Agreement.

<sup>(3)</sup> Hereinafter referred to as the Surveillance and Court Agreement.

<sup>(4)</sup> Hereinafter referred to as Protocol 3.

<sup>(5)</sup> Guidelines on the application and interpretation of Articles 61 and 62 of the EEA Agreement and Article 1 of Protocol 3 to the Surveillance and Court Agreement, adopted and issued by the Authority on 19.1.1994, published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* (hereinafter referred to as OJ L 231, 3.9.1994, p. 1 and EEA Supplement No 32, 3.9.1994, p. 1. Hereinafter referred to as the State Aid Guidelines). The updated version of the State Aid Guidelines is published on the Authority's website (<http://www.eftasurv.int/state-aid/legal-framework/state-aid-guidelines/>).

<sup>(6)</sup> Decision No 195/04/COL of 14 July 2004, (published in OJ L 139, 25.5.2006, p. 37 and EEA Supplement No 26, 25.5.2006, p. 1), as amended. A consolidated version of the Decision can be found online (<http://www.eftasurv.int/>).

By letter dated 25 June 2009 (Event No 520515) and e-mail dated 29 June 2009 (Event No 523605), the Authority requested additional information. A reply was provided by the Icelandic authorities on 27 July 2009 (Event No 525671). The information submitted was updated by letter of 28 August 2009 (Event No 528493). The case was also discussed in a conference call between the Authority and the Icelandic authorities on 1 July 2009.

The case was further discussed between the Authority and the Icelandic authorities in the context of the State Aid Package Meeting on 4 November 2009.

By letter dated 16 November 2009 (Event No 536644), the Authority requested follow-up information. A reply was provided by the Icelandic authorities on 25 November 2009 (Event No 538088).

## 2. Description of the measures

### 2.1. Background

The Icelandic authorities have explained that as a result of turmoil in the global financial markets, the Icelandic financial institutions have been faced with a shortage of liquidity and limited supply of credit. In response to this situation, the Icelandic authorities decided to adopt measures aimed at securing the functioning of financial markets. One of such measures consists of authorising the national housing agency, the Housing Financing Fund (the 'HFF'), to purchase mortgage loans from financial undertakings.

The Icelandic authorities have explained that this is the second scheme authorising the HFF to intervene on behalf of the State in the context of the financial crisis. The first scheme was approved by the Authority by means of Decision No 168/09/COL of 27 March 2009 on an additional loan category of the HFF on lending to banks, saving banks and other financial institutions for the purpose of temporarily refinancing mortgage loans<sup>(1)</sup>. The major difference between the scheme already approved by the Authority and the Mortgage Loan Scheme is that the former contained an asset swap of a temporary nature whereas under the Mortgage Loan Scheme the asset swap would be permanent. The schemes do not overlap in the sense that they cover the same portfolio of mortgage loans at the same time, because the portfolio of the mortgage loans subject to the temporary scheme must be returned to the beneficiary undertaking before a purchase agreement is put into effect.

The primary objective of the Mortgage Loan Scheme is to provide liquid funds to financial institutions. The market failure intended to be addressed by the measure is the lack of liquidity due to the collapse of the financial system. As a secondary objective, the Mortgage Loan Scheme aims at ensuring the availability of loans on the residential housing market and to safeguard the interests of the homeowners.

The Mortgage Loan Scheme was originally designed as a financing measure for new mortgage loans to be offered by the financial institutions in order to complement the temporary scheme for the refinancing of financial institutions in respect of mortgage loans already given, which was subject to the Authority's Decision No 168/09/COL. The Mortgage Loan Scheme, like the temporary scheme, is principally aimed at guaranteeing the security and availability of mortgage loans and promoting normal price formation in the real estate market<sup>(2)</sup>.

According to the information provided by the Icelandic authorities, the Mortgage Loan Scheme is directed first and foremost at small savings banks that were dependent on access to liquidity from the domestic operators facing liquidity problems themselves<sup>(3)</sup>. The saving banks mainly provide traditional banking services to the local communities (individuals, corporate customers and local authorities) of which they form an integrated part. In many regional areas, the saving banks are the only financial institutions in operation<sup>(4)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 241, 8.10.2009, p. 16 and EEA Supplement No 52, 8.10.2009, p. 1. The non-confidential version of the Decision is available on the Authority's website ([http://www.eftasurv.int/fieldsofwork/fieldstateaid/stateaidregistry/sadecice09/168\\_09\\_col.pdf](http://www.eftasurv.int/fieldsofwork/fieldstateaid/stateaidregistry/sadecice09/168_09_col.pdf)).

<sup>(2)</sup> See Government Declaration of 19.6.2008 concerning measures related to the real estate and financial markets and the Government's press release of 18.7.2008.

<sup>(3)</sup> Before the financial crisis, the Icelandic banking sector consisted largely of two segments: The first one comprising the three former major banks Glitnir, Landsbanki and Kaupthing which had relatively large international exposure. The second comprised small savings banks which traditionally rely on financing from the bigger banks.

<sup>(4)</sup> Decision No 168/09/COL, p. 3.

## 2.2. The Mortgage Loan Scheme

On the basis of the Mortgage Loan Scheme, the HFF is authorised to take over mortgage loans from financial undertakings. It is not necessary to seek the permission of the debtor for such a transfer. The transfer is only possible at the initiative of the respective financial undertaking. Following a written application from the financial institution giving information regarding the estimated size of the mortgage loan pool to be transferred, the HFF enters into negotiations on the terms of the transaction.

The Mortgage Loan Scheme takes the form of a permanent asset swap, according to which the financial institution receives HFF's bonds in exchange for mortgage loans which are transferred to the HFF. The bank can then use the HFF bonds as collateral when taking cash loans with the Central Bank of Iceland. According to the first agreement on the purchase of mortgage loans, signed between the HFF and the Keflavik Saving Fund (SPK), from 2010 onwards, the banks are also authorised to lend and sell the HFF bonds on secondary markets.

As a result of the asset swap, the HFF assumes the role of lender vis-à-vis the borrower of the mortgage loan subject to a transfer. It is not clear whether the borrower acquires the same rights and obligations as other parties in lending transactions with the HFF. According to the Icelandic authorities, the terms and conditions of mortgage loans for borrowers remain unchanged following a transfer to HFF. This would for example mean that loans obtained in foreign currency remain to be in foreign currency following a transfer to HFF. However, based on information submitted by the Icelandic authorities, the Authority has understood that following a transfer the general loan conditions of HFF apply.

All banks, saving banks and credit institutions, which have been granted a licence to operate in Iceland in accordance with the provisions of Act No 161/2002 on financial undertakings, are eligible to participate in the Mortgage Loan Scheme. This also includes subsidiaries of foreign banks established in Iceland and branches of foreign companies.

According to the Icelandic authorities, the valuation of mortgage loans shall be in accordance with their market value. As a rule, the value will be calculated on the basis of the book value of the loan, taking into account the prepayment risk, operation costs and other factors. In order to calculate the market value and to minimise the HFF's credit risk, the HFF performs a valuation of each of the mortgage loans offered by the financial undertaking in accordance with objective criteria, such as the payment status of each debtor, loan-to-value (LTV) ratio, the default status of the respective mortgage loans and the terms of the loan and divides them into three categories:

- (a) non-defaulted mortgage loans that meet all of the HFF's general loan requirements;
- (b) other non-defaulted mortgage loans that do not meet the HFF's general loan requirements;
- (c) defaulted mortgage loans.

While the first two categories are eligible for a transfer to HFF, category (c) is only eligible if the mortgage loans are removed from debt collection and all fees and expenses are paid prior to any transfer.

For credit risk evaluation of mortgages and mortgage pools, the international standard Basel II is used for capital risk assessment of financial institutions. Accordingly, in order to assess the credit risk of mortgage loans, the expected loss is calculated by multiplying the following three factors: probability of default, loss given default and exposure at default. Those variables are assessed for each mortgage loan and for each year of the loan period. If no satisfactory agreement in respect of the credit risk can be reached between the HFF and the beneficiary undertaking, the application is refused.

In addition, in case of involvement of assets denominated in foreign currencies in transactions under the Mortgage Loan Scheme, the currency exchange risk of the HFF should be specifically assessed and taken account of in the pricing of the assets. Payment for assets in foreign currency purchased by the HFF takes place in the form of HFF bonds in foreign currency specifically issued for this purpose.

The final value assessment of the mortgage pool is determined by its performance and the expected loss at the final settlement of the transaction. In exchange for the mortgage loans, the HFF hands over the HFF's bonds<sup>(1)</sup>. The yield of the HFF's bond subject to the swap also takes into account the duration and terms of the mortgage loans and is considered on the basis of other factors such as pre-payment risk and operating costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> There might be different forms of compensation, for instance cash payments. However, at present no other type of compensation than the HFF bonds is provided for.

The HFF transfers the HFF bonds in two instalments. At the moment of signature of the agreement between the HFF and the beneficiary financial institution, only up to 80 % of the value of the mortgage loans is due in the HFF bonds, whereas the final settlement takes place 8 to 10 years after the signing of the agreement<sup>(1)</sup>. The percentage of the value of the HFF bonds to be transferred at the time of signing the agreement decreases in proportion to an increased estimated loss. This ensures that the retained portion of the value of the HFF bonds is always higher than the estimated loss on the mortgage loans. At the time of the final settlement, the HFF transfers to the beneficiary undertaking the remainder of the HFF bonds less the depreciation of the mortgage pool that has already taken place and the estimated loss of the pool throughout its duration.

### 2.3. Legal basis

The Mortgage Loan Scheme is based on Chapter V of Act No 125/2008 of 6 October 2008 on the authority for treasury disbursements due to unusual financial market circumstances etc., inter alia amending Act No 44/1998 on housing affairs (the 'Emergency Act')<sup>(2)</sup>. Further details have been specified by means of Regulation No 1081/2008 of 26 November 2008 on the authority of the Housing Financing Fund to purchase bonds secured by mortgages in residential housing and issued by financial undertakings (the 'Regulation'). The Regulation was adopted and entered into force on 24 October 2008. In addition, the Board of the Housing Financing Fund issued Rules regarding the purchase of mortgage loans from financial undertakings (the 'Supplementary Rules'). Following the approval by the Minister of Social Affairs and Social Security, the Rules were published on 15 January 2009 and entered into force on the same day.

The first application under the Mortgage Loan Scheme was a mortgage loan transfer agreement signed on 23 March 2009 between the HFF and the Keflavik Saving Fund for a total value of ISK [...]<sup>(3)</sup>. For the time being, there are three beneficiaries of the Mortgage Loan Scheme. In addition to the Keflavik Savings Bank, the HFF has entered into agreements with BYR Savings Bank<sup>(4)</sup> and Bolungarvik Savings Bank<sup>(5)</sup>. The Icelandic authorities have also informed the Authority about four further applications which have been made under the Mortgage Loan Scheme.

### 2.4. Budget and duration

The Icelandic authorities have so far not been able to provide the Authority with either the foreseen annual or total expenditure of this measure.

The Mortgage Loan Scheme has not been limited in time. The Icelandic authorities refer to the temporary nature of the Mortgage Loan Scheme, since its aim is to address the temporary liquidity crisis of the financial institutions. The total estimated number of beneficiaries has not been specified in the notification.

## 3. Comments by the Icelandic authorities

The Icelandic authorities have argued that the transfer of the mortgage loans under the Mortgage Loan Scheme takes place on market terms, thereby ensuring that no State aid is involved in the transfer of the HFF bonds to the financial institutions. The Icelandic authorities have only notified the measure for legal certainty. In the event, the Authority should, however, find that the Mortgage Loan Scheme involves elements of State aid, the Icelandic authorities argue that the scheme is compatible on the basis of Article 61(3)(b) of the EEA Agreement.

The Icelandic authorities have also argued that although the Mortgage Loan Scheme is not limited in time, the aim of the Mortgage Loan Scheme is to address the temporary liquidity crisis of the financial institutions, and hence the scheme is of a temporary nature.

## II. ASSESSMENT

### 1. The presence of State aid within the meaning of Article 61(1) EEA

Article 61(1) of the EEA Agreement reads as follows:

'Save as otherwise provided in this Agreement, any aid granted by EC Member States, EFTA States or through State resources in any form whatsoever which distorts or threatens to distort competition by favouring certain undertakings or the production of certain goods shall, insofar as it affects trade between Contracting Parties, be incompatible with the functioning of this Agreement.'

<sup>(1)</sup> The definite time of the final settlement is normally specified in the agreement.

<sup>(2)</sup> Act No 125/2008 entered into force upon publication.

<sup>(3)</sup> According to the agreement between the parties of the swap, the final price was to be settled on 15.4.2009.

<sup>(4)</sup> Agreement signed on 20.5.2009 for the value of ISK [...].

<sup>(5)</sup> Agreement signed on 3 July and 5 August 2009 for the final value of ISK [...] and ISK [...] respectively.

### 1.1. Presence of State resources

The measure must be granted by the State or through State resources.

The Mortgage Loan Scheme has been introduced by the Emergency Act, passed by the Icelandic Parliament. Further details have been specified by means of the Regulation and the Supplementary Rules.

In line with settled case law, aid may be granted directly by the State or by public or private bodies established or appointed by it to administer the aid<sup>(1)</sup>.

In exchange for mortgage loans the applicant financial institutions receive HFF bonds. The HFF was established by the Housing Act No 44/1998 as a State housing agency, wholly owned by the Icelandic State and under administrative surveillance of the Minister of Social Affairs and Social Security. The Minister appoints the five-member Board of directors of the Fund. The tasks of HFF (i.e. to give loans to individuals, municipalities and companies for financing the acquisition or construction of residential housing) are laid down and regulated in statutory rules, namely Act No 44/1998 on Housing Affairs and secondary legislation (such as Regulation No 57/2009 on the loan categories of the HFF).

The present measures are therefore decided by the State and executed through a State agency, the HFF, which is subject to the full control of the State. The actions of HFF are therefore imputable to the State. The transfer of HFF bonds to financial institutions means therefore that State resources are involved.

### 1.2. Favouring undertakings or the production of goods

#### 1.2.1. Economic advantage

The aid measure must confer on beneficiaries advantages that relieve them of charges that are normally borne from their budgets.

As explained by the Icelandic authorities, the Mortgage Loan Scheme was established in order to enable banks to obtain the necessary financing from other sources than the usual interbank lending, which was drying up as a result of the global difficulties of the financial sector. The Authority considers it unlikely that, in the current financial crisis, financing would have been provided by a market economy investor on a comparable scale and on similar conditions in favour of the participating banks. Furthermore, the scheme does not contain a pricing mechanism to ensure a correct market price. The price obtained for the mortgage loans may therefore be above market value and hence give the undertakings an economic advantage.

The Mortgage Loan Scheme improves the position of the beneficiary banks by increasing the liquidity of banks' assets and removing a source of volatility on the balance sheet. Moreover, since the calculation of the value of the underlying assets does not take into account their real economic value and the actual losses, it cannot be excluded that in individual cases of application of the Mortgage Loan Scheme, the beneficiary bank might enjoy further benefits.

#### 1.2.2. Selectivity

To constitute State aid, the measure must favour certain undertakings, the production of certain goods or the provision of certain services. The Mortgage Loan Scheme is selective as it favours only certain financial institutions. The fact that all undertakings in a given sector may benefit from a measure does not lead to the conclusion that the measure is of a general nature. On the contrary, the measure is selective as it only favours one sector of the economy, i.e. financial institutions.

### 1.3. Distortion of competition and effect on trade between Contracting Parties

The Mortgage Loan Scheme is liable to distort competition and affect trade between the Contracting Parties. The Mortgage Loan Scheme is intended to support small saving banks involved in mortgage loans activities in Iceland and thereby strengthens their position compared to those of their competitors in other EEA countries. Moreover, all banks and financial institutions, in Iceland, whatever their size, are in principle eligible to apply for support under the scheme. The services and products in the banking and financial sectors are traded internationally. The Mortgage Loan Scheme is therefore liable to distort competition and affect trade between the Contracting Parties in the European Economic Area.

<sup>(1)</sup> Case 78/76 Steinike and Weinlig v Federal Republic of Germany [1977] ECR 595, para. 21.

## 2. Procedural requirements

Pursuant to Article 1(3) of Part I of Protocol 3, 'the EFTA Surveillance Authority shall be informed, in sufficient time to enable it to submit its comments, of any plans to grant or alter aid (...). The State concerned shall not put its proposed measures into effect until the procedure has resulted in a final decision'.

The Icelandic authorities notified Mortgage Loan Scheme by letter of 27 May 2009 (Event No 519720). However, the Rules of the Board of the Housing Financing Fund regarding the purchase of mortgage loans from financial undertakings entered into force already on 15 January 2009, and the first agreement on the purchase of mortgage loans was signed on 23 March 2009, i.e. before the Authority had taken a final decision thereon. The Authority therefore concludes that the Icelandic authorities have not respected their obligations pursuant to Article 1(3) of Part I of Protocol 3.

## 3. Compatibility of the aid

Article 61(3)(b) EEA enables the Authority to declare aid compatible with the functioning of the EEA Agreement if it has the effect 'to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy of an EC Member State or an EFTA State'. The Authority recalls that, in line with the case law of the Court of Justice and the decision making practice of the European Commission (hereinafter referred to as the Commission), Article 61(3)(b) needs to be applied restrictively and must tackle a disturbance in the entire national economy<sup>(1)</sup>.

The Authority recognises that the Mortgage Loan Scheme was adopted amid the current international financial crisis. In Iceland, small saving banks faced liquidity problems as a result of financial difficulties of the larger banks, which traditionally provided funding to the saving banks' sector. Unlike the larger financial undertakings, the saving banks did not have direct access to funding by the Central Bank.

In line with the Authority's Guidelines on the application of State aid rules to measures taken in relation to financial institutions in the context of the current global financial crisis, the Authority considers that this measure falls to be assessed under Article 61(3)(b) EEA.

In order to be declared compatible with the EEA Agreement, the aid must be granted on the basis of non-discriminatory criteria, be appropriate in terms of being well targeted to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy and be necessary and proportionate thereto, limiting negative spill-over effects for competitors. The Impaired Assets Guidelines<sup>(2)</sup> (the 'IAG') translates these general principles into conditions specific for impaired asset relief. The Authority considers that the appropriate framework for assessing the compatibility of the measure is the IAG.

The IAG define impaired assets relief as all measures whereby a bank is dispensed from the need for severe downward value adjustments of certain asset classes. This is also the cases for the present measure. Therefore the Mortgage Loan Scheme must fulfil the conditions for the compatibility of assets relief as set out in the IAG.

### 3.1. Eligibility of assets

Section 5.4 of the IAG states that a coordinated approach within the EEA is necessary for purposes of determining the assets which are eligible for State aid under the IAG. To this end, Annex 3 of the IAG contains a list of categories of impaired assets which are considered clearly eligible. This list includes housing mortgages. Since all assets transferred to HFF under the Mortgage Loan Scheme are housing mortgages the Authority considers that the impaired assets under the scheme are eligible for State aid under the IAG.

<sup>(1)</sup> Joined Cases T-132/96 and T-143/96 Freistaat Sachsen and Volkswagen AG v Commission [1999] ECR II-3663, paragraph 167. See Commission's Decision in Case NN 70/07 Northern Rock (OJ C 43, 16.2.2008, p. 1), Commission's Decision in Case NN 25/08 Rescue aid to WestLB (OJ C 189, 26.7.2008, p. 3), Commission's Decision of 4.6.2008 in Case C 9/08 SachsenLB, (OJ C 71, 18.3.2008, p. 14-23). Authority's Decision No 36/09/COL of 30.1.2009 on the Agreement between the Norwegian State and Eksportfinans ASA concerning state funding of Eksportfinans; Decision No 205/09/COL of 8.5.2009 on the scheme for temporary recapitalisation of fundamentally sound banks in order to foster financial stability and lending to the real economy; Decision No 235/09/COL of 20.5.2009 on the Norwegian Temporary Small Aid Scheme; Decision No 168/09/COL of 27.3.2009 on an additional loan category of the Icelandic Housing Financing Fund on lending to banks, saving banks and other financial institutions for the purpose of temporarily refinancing mortgage loans.

<sup>(2)</sup> The updated version of the State Aid Guidelines is published on the Authority's website (<http://www.eftasurv.int/state-aid/legal-framework/state-aid-guidelines/>).

### 3.2. Management of assets

According to the IAG, it is for the EFTA States to choose the most appropriate model for relieving banks of assets, but irrespective of the model chosen, it is necessary to ensure clear functional and organisational separation between the beneficiary bank and its impaired assets, notably as to their management, staff and clientele.

Under the Mortgage Loan Scheme, the Icelandic State, via HFF, takes full and permanent control of the assets relieved. In the view of the Authority this ensures clear functional and organisational separation between the beneficiary bank and its impaired assets.

### 3.3. Valuation

The IAG require that the national authorities use an independent third-party expert opinion for the purpose of valuation of eligible assets. The valuation should be transparent, preferably based on a range of approaches and common criteria to be adopted across EEA States <sup>(1)</sup>.

The Icelandic authorities have explained that the value assessment performed by the HFF used a model designed by KPMG Iceland. The Icelandic authorities have argued that as HFF has used a credit valuation model designed by outside consultants (KPMG Iceland), the valuation of the mortgage loan pool has been performed by an independent expert valuator. However, the Authority considers that, to be in line with the IAG, the valuation has to be designed and performed in full by an independent expert. To the Authority's knowledge, no (other) third-party expert opinions are available in this case.

Moreover, Chapter 5.5 of the IAG sets out a method of valuation of impaired assets in the context of an asset-relief measure. Paragraph 39 and 40 and Annex 3 introduce the concepts of cost, current market value, real economic value and the transfer value.

Cost means the carrying amount or nominal value of the loans minus impairment. Current market value is the market value the impaired assets could have obtained at the market. The real economic value is the underlying long-term economic value of the assets, on the basis of underlying cash flows and broader time horizons. This should be calculated both for a base case scenario and a stress case scenario. The transfer value is the value attributed to impaired assets in the context of an asset-relief program.

Furthermore, as long as the transfer price is higher than the market value, there is aid involved. In order for the aid to be declared compatible, the transfer price should be lower than or equal to the real economic value.

Moreover, the IAG require that adequate remuneration for the State shall be secured. This may be secured by setting the transfer price below the real economic value.

The Icelandic authorities have explained that the value of the mortgage loan pools are based on the book value of each individual mortgage, less expected loss, corrected for accrued interest and indexation. This was done in line with the credit evaluation model, designed by KPMG Iceland, which the Icelandic authorities have presented to the Authority.

In terms of the IAG, this value corresponds to book value less impairment, i.e. cost. The value of the mortgage loans to be transferred to the HFF therefore represents cost. The Icelandic government has therefore failed to show (or calculate) that the transfer value is based on the real economic value.

On the basis of the available information, in line with the considerations of the IAG, the Authority cannot exclude that incompatible State aid is involved in the notified Mortgage Loan Scheme. In order to assess the compatibility of the scheme, a proper evaluation of the real economic value of the mortgage loans has to be carried out.

### 3.4. Valuation of the HFF bonds

The HFF will give HFF bonds in return for the impaired assets received. To ensure the compatibility of the swap transaction with the EEA Agreement, it is necessary to calculate the correct value the HFF bond, in the same manner as the impaired assets.

The Icelandic Authorities have explained that they have calculated the value of HFF bonds by matching HFF bonds with the relevant mortgage loan portfolios (nominal value, duration, etc.), discounting with the yield of the mortgage loan portfolios, and subtracting operational costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> Annex 1 IAG.

As regards the methodology, the Authority is of the view that the value of the HFF bonds should have been calculated separately from the valuation of the mortgage loan pools, instead of linking the two. By linking the two valuations, the evaluation of the HFF bonds becomes blurred and non-transparent thereby preventing the Authority from verifying that the correct value is fixed.

In addition, the Authority considers that the value of the HFF bonds should be calculated using the yield of the HFF bonds, i.e. finding the net present value of the future yields of the HFF bonds over their remaining duration. Based on the information provided by the Icelandic authorities, this methodology does not appear to have been applied in the case at hand.

On this basis, the Authority doubts whether the Icelandic authorities have carried out a correct valuation of the HFF bonds, given in exchange for impaired assets, from the financial institutions under the Mortgage Loan Scheme. In order to assess whether the Mortgage Loan Scheme is compatible with the EEA Agreement, the value of the HFF bonds given, in exchange for the mortgages loans, by the Icelandic Authorities would have to be obtained and assessed.

### *3.5. Burden sharing*

As regards burden sharing, the IAG state in Section 5.2 the general principle that banks ought to bear the losses associated with impaired assets to the maximum extent. That implies first that banks should bear the difference between the nominal value and the real economic value of the impaired assets.

As the Icelandic government has not established the real economic value of the mortgages loan pools, it is difficult to assess to which extent the banks bears the difference between the nominal value and the real economic value of the impaired assets.

Given that the Authority has doubts as regards the correct valuation of the assets prior to government intervention, there are also doubts as regards the necessary degree of burden sharing included in the Mortgage Loan Scheme.

### *3.6. Remuneration*

The remuneration paid by the banks to HFF, is also an important element of burden sharing. The Authority recalls that, as noted in Annex 4 to the IAG, it is necessary for EFTA States to ensure that 'any pricing of asset relief must include remuneration for the State that adequately takes account of the risks of future losses exceeding those that are projected in determination of the "real economic value" and any additional risk stemming from a transfer value above the real economic value'.

The IAG suggest that such remuneration may be provided by setting the transfer price of assets to a sufficient extent below the 'real economic value' so as to provide for adequate compensation for the risk in the form of a commensurate upside, or by adapting the guarantee fee accordingly. Any pricing system would have to ensure that the overall contribution of beneficiary banks reduces the extent of net State intervention to the minimum necessary (i.e. burden sharing). However, as the Icelandic authorities has provided the Authority neither with an assessment of the real economic value of the mortgage loans pools, nor a real economic value of the HFF bonds used as payment, it is at this stage difficult to assess if the Icelandic authorities have complied with the remuneration requirement.

The IAG further suggest that identifying the necessary target return could be 'inspired' by the remuneration that would have been required for recapitalisation measures. This should be in line with the chapter on recapitalisation of banks of the Authority's State Aid Guidelines, while taking into account the specific features of asset-relief measures and particularly the fact that they may involve higher exposure than capital injections.

The Guidelines refer to the Recommendations of the Governing Council of the European Central Bank on the pricing of recapitalisations, which prescribes the following:

'As a lower bond, the required rate of return on subordinated debt should be the sum of the government bond yield of the country where the bank is domiciled, the issuing bank's five-year CDS spread on subordinated debt (<sup>1</sup>), and an add-on fee of 200 basis points per annum to cover operational costs and provide banks with adequate incentives.'

As an upper bond, the required rate of return on ordinary shares would be determined as the sum of the government bond yield of the country where the bank is domiciled, an equity risk premium of 500 basis points per annum <sup>(2)</sup>, and an add-on fee of 100 basis points per annum to cover operational costs and provide banks with adequate incentives.

- 
- <sup>(1)</sup> If this is not available one should use 73 bp, which is the median of all A CDS subordinated debt spreads in the euro area (at the time).
  - <sup>(2)</sup> This represents a measure of the realised nominal return on euro area banks' ordinary shares in excess of a minimum risk yield over an extended span of time, in order to avoid periods of excessive volatility.'

The Icelandic authorities have argued that 95 basis points (bp) are charged to the beneficiary banks.

According to the information available to the Authority, HFF normally adds a premium of 95 bp to its own cost of capital, to cover operating costs (25 bp), prepayment risk (50 bp) and credit loss (20 bp) when it offers its regular mortgage loans to its customers.

In the Mortgage Loan Scheme, the margin subtracted from the weighted average interest rate of the mortgage loan portfolio, used in the value assessment, resembles the normal HFF customer margin.

The Authority is under the impression that this will reduce the value of the mortgage loan pool, but only with a margin which the mortgage loan takers will pay to the HFF later on. This can therefore not be considered as remuneration paid by the banks to the State for assets relief measures.

Moreover, to date, the Authority has not received any documentary evidence of this premium not being covered by the customers.

Furthermore, even if the Authority was to accept the margin as a price element for the swap, the question still remains whether the level is sufficient to represent an appropriate remuneration.

By reference to the above mentioned principles in the ECB Recommendation, the yield of the HFF bonds could be considered to represent the Government's bonds yield of the country where the bank is domiciled. In addition, the premium should include an add-on between 273 bp to 600 bp. As the measure at hand is a permanent swap, as opposed to a loan or another temporary measure, the add-on should rather be closer to the upper bond, than the lower bond. Therefore, 95 bp which the Icelandic authorities have presented as a price for the swap is far below what could be seen as corresponding to an adequate market premium, which is necessary in order to declare the Mortgage Loan Scheme compatible.

There are therefore doubts as to whether the 95 bp that the HFF charges the beneficiary banks for the swap transactions under the Mortgage Loan Scheme can be accepted as an adequate remuneration.

### 3.7. Unlimited scope and duration

The Icelandic authorities have not specified any time limit within which the financial institutions can enter the Mortgage Loan Scheme (so-called entrance window) <sup>(1)</sup>. The IAG state that in order to find asset relief measures compatible their duration must not go beyond the period of the financial crisis <sup>(2)</sup>. Based on the Commission's decision practice, asset relief measures are approved for maximum six months. Every extension (usually for another six months) must be re-notified well in advance and take account of the evolution of the situation on the financial markets. Provided that biyearly reports are submitted, a scheme may be in force for a period of up to two years <sup>(3)</sup>. In other words, the asset relief measures are not approved for an unlimited period of time.

Furthermore, the Icelandic authorities have neither specified the amount of the total budget of the scheme, nor estimated annual expenditure under the Mortgage Loan Scheme. The fact that the Icelandic authorities have not submitted an estimated number of beneficiaries and no limit of the value of assets potentially subject to a swap indicates that the scheme is unlimited in scope. It is therefore not possible for the Authority to determine whether the Mortgage Loan Scheme is proportionate.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> The argument of the Icelandic authorities that the nature of Act No 125/2008 is temporary and thus schemes implemented on its basis by definition do not have permanent nature cannot be accepted. There is no provision whatsoever in any of the relevant piece of legislation which would prevent the Icelandic authorities from continuing the implementation of the Mortgage Loan Scheme for an indefinite time. This clearly contradicts the general principle of proportionality and necessity which are the core rules of the guidelines for financial crisis. The fact that the Icelandic Parliament intended to review Act No 125/2009 by 1.1.2010 does not change this conclusion. To date, the Icelandic Parliament has not reviewed the act.

<sup>(2)</sup> See paragraph 12 of the Authority's Guidelines on financial institutions.

<sup>(3)</sup> Paragraph 24 of the Authority's Guidelines on financial institutions.

### 3.8. No behavioural commitments

The Icelandic authorities have not suggested imposing any behavioural commitments on the beneficiary banks in order to limit distortions of competition triggered by the Mortgage Loan Scheme. In order to minimise the beneficiary's commercial advantage, usually, such commitments include prohibition of marketing of the State intervention in favour of the bank concerned or a ban on growth. In recapitalisation cases, aggressive commercial conduct or growth of business activities through acquisitions can be prohibited. Therefore, in the context of the formal investigation, the Authority will assess the convenience of imposing such behavioural commitments.

### 3.9. Restructuring or viability plan

The Icelandic authorities have not committed themselves to submitting any restructuring, liquidation or viability plans (or given the Authority an assessment if they are necessary).

The Authority recalls that paragraph 54 of the IAG establishes that a need for in-depth restructuring will be presumed where an appropriate valuation of impaired assets according to the principles set out in Section 5.5 and Annex 4 would lead to negative equity/technical insolvency without State intervention. Repeated requests for aid and departure from the general principles set out in the IAG will normally point to the need for such in-depth restructuring.

Furthermore, paragraph 55 of the IAG establishes that an in-depth restructuring would also be required where the bank has already received State aid in whatever form that either contributes to coverage or avoidance of losses, or altogether exceeds 2 % of the bank's total risk-weighted assets, while taking the specific features of the situation of each beneficiary into due consideration.

Information on the follow-up measures is one of the core principles of the application of the Impaired Assets Guidelines<sup>(1)</sup> and has been consistently applied by the Commission in its decision-making practice. Such plans should be provided in sufficient time for the Authority to evaluate the potential compatibility<sup>(2)</sup>. In order to facilitate the work on the respective plans, the Authority refers to the considerations in the Authority's guidelines on return to viability<sup>(3)</sup> and to Table 2 in Annex III of the Impaired Assets Guidelines<sup>(4)</sup>.

If any restructuring, liquidation or viability plans are deemed necessary, according to the IAG, the Icelandic authorities will have to commit themselves to such plans.

## 4. Conclusion

Based on an assessment of the information submitted by the Icelandic authorities, the Mortgage Loan Scheme appears to constitute State aid within the meaning of Article 61(1) of the EEA Agreement. Furthermore, the Authority doubts that the Mortgage Loan Scheme can be regarded as complying with Article 61(3)(b) of the EEA Agreement, in combination with the requirements laid down in the IAG. The Authority thus doubts that the Mortgage Loan Scheme is compatible with the functioning of the EEA Agreement.

Consequently, and in accordance Article 4(4) of Part II of Protocol 3, the Authority is obliged to open the procedure provided for in Article 1(2) of Part I of Protocol 3. The decision to open proceedings is without prejudice to the final decision of the Authority, which may conclude that the measures in question are compatible with the functioning of the EEA Agreement.

In light of the foregoing considerations, the Authority, acting under the procedure laid down in Article 1(2) of Part I of Protocol 3, invites the Icelandic authorities to submit their comments within one month of the date of receipt of this Decision.

In light of the foregoing considerations, within one month of receipt of this decision, the Authority request the Icelandic authorities to provide all documents, information and data needed for assessment of the compatibility of the transfer of mortgage loans secured against collateral in residential property from financial undertakings to the HFF.

<sup>(1)</sup> See paragraph 48 et seq. of the IAG.

<sup>(2)</sup> In some cases the Commission required the plans to be submitted within three months.

<sup>(3)</sup> See the chapter on 'Return to viability and the assessment of restructuring measures in the financial sector in the current crisis under the State aid rules', under the State Aid Guidelines on the Authority's home page.

<sup>(4)</sup> This table outlines good practices in presenting information of the bank's activities related to the impaired assets that would feed into the viability review for cases of individual aid granting, but could be applied *per analogy* also to schemes.

The Authority invites Iceland to forward a copy of this decision to any potential aid recipients of the aid immediately.

The Authority would like to remind the Icelandic authorities that, according to the provisions of Protocol 3, any incompatible aid unlawfully put at the disposal of the beneficiaries will have to be recovered, unless this recovery would be contrary to the general principal of law,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

*Article 1*

The EFTA Surveillance Authority has decided to initiate the formal investigation procedure provided for in Article 1(2) of Part I of Protocol 3 to the Agreement between the EFTA States on the establishment of a Surveillance Authority and a Court of Justice regarding the scheme on the transfer of mortgage loans secured against collateral in residential property from financial undertakings to the Housing Financing Fund.

*Article 2*

The Icelandic authorities are invited, pursuant to Article 6(1) of Part II of Protocol 3 to the Agreement between the EFTA States on the establishment of a Surveillance Authority and a Court of Justice, to submit their comments on the opening of the formal investigation procedure within one month from the notification of this Decision.

*Article 3*

The Icelandic authorities are requested to provide within one month from notification of this decision, all documents, information and data needed for assessment of the compatibility of the aid measure.

*Article 4*

This Decision is addressed to the Republic of Iceland.

*Article 5*

Only the English version is authentic.

Done at Brussels, 10 March 2010.

*For the EFTA Surveillance Authority*

Per SANDERUD  
President

Kurt JÄGER  
College Member

## IL-KUMITAT PERMANENTI TA' L-ISTATI EFTA

### **IS-SOTTOKUMITAT II DWAR IL-MOVIMENT HIELES TAL-KAPITAL U S-SERVIZZI – IL-GRUPP TA' HIDMA DWAR IS-SERVIZZI FINANZJARJI**

**Prezentazzjoni annotata tas-swieq regolati skont l-Artikolu 47 tad-Direttiva 2004/39/KE dwar Swieq ta' Strumenti Finanzjarji (MiFID)**

(2010/C 277/05)

L-Artikolu 47 tad-Direttiva 2004/39/KE tal-Parlament Ewropew u tal-Kunsill tal-21 ta' April 2004 dwar swieq ta' strumenti finanzjarji jawtorizza lil kull Stat Membru biex jikkonferixxi l-istatus ta' "suq irregolat" għal dawk is-swieq ikkostitw fit-territorju tieghu u li jikkonformaw mar-regolamenti tieghu.

L-Artikolu 4(1)(14) tad-Direttiva 2004/39/KE jiddefinixxi "suq regolat" bħala sistema multilaterali mhaddma u/jew immanigġġata minn operatur tas-suq, li ġġib flimkien jew tiffacilita li ġġib flimkien l-interessi multipli tax-xiri u l-bejgh ta' partijiet terzi u l-interessi tal-bejgh fi strumenti finanzjarji – fis-sistema u skont ir-regoli mhux diskrezzjonali tagħha – f'manġiera li tirriżulta f'kuntratt, rigward l-strumenti finanzjarji mdahħla ghall-kummer skont ir-regoli u/jew is-sistemi tagħha, u li hi awtorizzata u tifunzjona b'mod regolari u skont id-dispożizzjonijiet tat-Titolu III tad-Direttiva.

L-Artikolu 47 tad-Direttiva 2004/39/KE jesīġi li kull Stat Membru jżomm lista aġġornata tas-swieq regolati awtorizzati minnu. Dan it-tagħrif għandu jiġi kkomunikat lill-Istati Membri l-ohra u lill-Kummissjoni. Skont l-istess Artikolu, il-Kummissjoni għandha l-obbligu li kull sena tippubblika f' *Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali tal-Unjoni Ewropea* lista ta' swieq regolati nnotifikati lilha.

Il-Paragrafu 6(b) tal-Protokoll 1 tal-Ftehim taż-ŻEE jez-żejj li meta, b'ligi għandhom jiġu ppubblikati fatti, proċeduri, rapporti u informazzjoni oħra simili f' *Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali tal-Unjoni Ewropea*, l-informazzjoni korrispondenti dwar l-Istati tal-EFTA trid tiġi pubblikata f'taqṣima separata tieghu.

Din il-lista tfasslet mill-Kumitat Permanenti tal-Istati tal-EFTA skont informazzjoni mhoddija lili mill-Istati tal-EFTA kkonċernati. Il-lista tindika t-titlu tas-swieq individwali li huma rikonoxxuti mill-awtoritajiet nazzjonali kompetenti bħala li jikkonformaw mad-definizzjoni ta' "suq irregolat" mill-31 ta' Dicembru 2009. Minbarra dan, tindika l-entità responsabbli ghall-gestjoni ta' dawn is-swieq u l-awtorità kompetenti responsabbli għall-hruġ jew l-approvazzjoni tar-regoli tas-suq.

Pajjiż	Titlu tas-suq regolat	Entità operattiva	Awtorità kompetenti ghad-deżinjazzjoni u s-sorveljanza tas-suq
L-Islanda	1. Kauphöll Íslands (Icelandic Stock Exchange) (suq regolat) (First North (facilità tal-kambju multilaterali))	Nasdaq OMX	Fjármálaeftirlitid (Awtorità ta' Sorveljanza Finanzjarja)
Il-Liechtenstein	Mħux applikabbi (*)	Mħux applikabbi (*)	Mħux applikabbi (*)
In-Norveġja	1. Oslo Stock Exchange (Elenkar uffiċjali) — Suq tat-Titoli — Suq tad-Derivattivi (finanzjarji) — Suq tal-Bonds  2. Oslo Axess — Suq tat-Titoli  3. Nord Pool (elenkar uffiċjali) — Suq tad-Derivattivi (kommoditajiet)	Oslo Børs ASA  Oslo Børs ASA  Nord Pool ASA	Finanstilsynet (L-Awtorità tas-Sorveljanza Finanzjarja tan-Norveġja)

Pajjiż	Titlu tas-suq regolat	Entità operattiva	Awtorità kompetenti ghad-deżinjazzjoni u s-sorveljanza tas-suq
	4. Imarex — Suq tad-Derivattivi (kommoditajiet)  5. Fish Pool — Suq tad-Derivattivi (kommoditajiet)	Imarex ASA  Fish Pool ASA	

(\*) Ma hemm l-ebda suq u l-ebda borża fil-Liechtenstein.

## V

(Avviżi)

**PROCEDURI DWAR L-IMPLEMENTAZZJONI TAL-POLITIKA TAL-KOMPETIZZJONI**

**IL-KUMMISSJONI EWROPEA**

**Notifika minn qabel ta' konċentrazzjoni**

**(Każ COMP/M.6001 – Aker/Lindsay Goldberg/EPAX Holding)**

**Każ li jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għal proċedura simplifikata**

**(Test b'relevanza għaż-ŻEE)**

**(2010/C 277/06)**

1. Fis-6 ta' Ottubru 2010, il-Kummissjoni rċeviet notifika ta' konċentrazzjoni proposta skont l-Artikolu 4 tar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 139/2004<sup>(1)</sup> li permezz tagħha l-impriżi Aker BioMarine ASA ("ABM"), in-Norveġja, permezz tas-sussidjarja possesseduta kollha tagħha Trygg Pharma AS, u Lindsay Goldberg LLC ("LG"), l-Istati Uniti tal-Amerika, jakkwistaw, skont it-tifsira tal-Artikolu 3(1)(b) tar-Regolament dwar l-Għaqdien, il-kontroll kongunt tal-impriżi EPAX Holding ASA ("EPAX"), in-Norveġja, permezz tax-xiri ta' ishma.

2. L-aktivitajiet kummerċjali tal-impriżi kkonċernati huma:

- ghall-impriżi ABM: il-hsad tal-krill tal-Antartiku u l-produzzjoni ta' prodotti derivati bħall-ikel tal-krill u ż-żejt tal-krill,
- ghall-impriżi LG: investimenti fit-tul f'kumpaniji b'opportunitajiet ta' tkabbir f'numru ta' negozji madwar id-dinja,
- ghall-impriżi EPAX: il-produzzjoni ta' žejt tal-ħut ikkonċentrat ghall-użu f'diversi supplimenti nutritivi fil-qasam tal-ikel tajjeb għas-saħħa.

3. Wara eżami preliminari, il-Kummissjoni ssib li l-operazzjoni nnotifikata tista' taqa' fl-ambitu tar-Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdien. Madankollu, id-deċiżjoni finali dwar dan il-punt hija riżervata. Skont l-Avviż tal-Kummissjoni dwar proċedura simplifikata għat-trattament ta' ċerti konċentrazzjonijiet taħt ir-Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdien<sup>(2)</sup> ta' min jinnota li dan il-każ jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għat-trattament taħt il-proċedura stipulata fl-Avviż.

4. Il-Kummissjoni tistieden lill-partijiet terzi interessati biex iressqu kwalunkwe kumenti li jistgħu jkollhom dwar it-tranżizzjoni proposta.

Il-kumenti jridu jaślu għand il-Kummissjoni mhux aktar tard minn ghaxart ijiem wara d-data ta' din il-pubblikazzjoni. Il-kumenti jistgħu jintbagħtu lill-Kummissjoni bil-feks (+32 22964301), jew b'emejl lil COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu jew bil-posta, taħt in-numru ta' referenza COMP/M.6001 – Aker/Lindsay Goldberg/EPAX Holding, fl-indirizz li ġej:

Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea  
 Direttorat Generali ghall-Kompetizzjoni  
 Registru tal-Amalgamazzjonijiet  
 J-70  
 1049 Bruxelles/Brussel  
 BELGIQUE/BELGIË

<sup>(1)</sup> ĠU L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1 (ir-“Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdien”).

<sup>(2)</sup> ĠU C 56, 5.3.2005, p. 32 (“Avviż ta’ proċedura simplifikata”).

**Notifika minn qabel ta' konċentrazzjoni**  
**(Każ COMP/M.5990 – Investor/Mölnlycke)**  
**Każ li jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għal proċedura simplifikata**  
**(Test b'relevanza għaż-ŻEE)**  
**(2010/C 277/07)**

1. Fis-7 ta' Ottubru 2010, il-Kummissjoni rċeviet notifika ta' proposta ta' konċentrazzjoni skont l-Artikolu 4 tar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 139/2004 <sup>(1)</sup> li permezz tagħha l-impriża Investor AB ("Investor", l-Isvezja), ikkontrollata minn Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, takkwista skont it-tifsira tal-Artikolu 3(1)(b) tar-Regolament dwar l-Għaqdiet il-kontroll ewlieni tal-impriża Mölnlycke AB ("Mölnlycke", l-Isvezja, fil-preżejent ikkontrollata b'mod kongunt minn Investor and Morgan Stanley), permezz ta' xiri ta' ishma.
2. L-attivitajiet kummerċjali tal-impriži kkonċernati huma:
  - Investor: kumpannija possedenti industrijali attiva f'dawn l-oqsma ta' negozju: investimenti ċentrali (pussess ta' ishma f'kumpaniji elenkat), investimenti ta' operazzjoni (b'mod partikolari f'Aleris, kumpannija privata tal-kura tas-sahha u f'Mölnlycke), investimenti tal-ekwità privati u investimenti finanzjarji,
  - Mölnlycke: timmanifattura u tipproduċi prodotti kirurgiċi u tal-kura tal-ġrieħi li jintużaw darba biss, primarjament għas-settur tal-kura tas-sahha professjonal.
3. Wara eżami preliminari, il-Kummissjoni ssib li l-operazzjoni nnotifikata tista' taqa' fl-ambitu tar-Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdiet. Madankollu, id-deċiżjoni finali dwar dan il-punt hija riżervata. Skont l-Avviż tal-Kummissjoni dwar proċedura simplifikata għat-trattament ta' ċerti konċentrazzjonijiet taħt ir-Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdiet <sup>(2)</sup> ta' min jinnota li dan il-każ jista' jiġi kkunsidrat għat-trattament taħt il-proċedura stipulata fl-Avviż.
4. Il-Kummissjoni tistieden lill-partijiet terzi interessati biex iressqu kwalunkwe kummenti li jistgħu jkollhom dwar it-tranżizzjoni proposta.

Il-kummenti jridu jaslu għand il-Kummissjoni mhux aktar tard minn ghaxart ijiem wara d-data ta' din il-pubblikazzjoni. Il-kummenti jistgħu jintbagħtu lill-Kummissjoni bil-feks (+32 22964301), jew b'emejil lil-COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu jew bil-posta, taħt in-numru ta' referenza COMP/M.5990 – Investor/Mölnlycke, fl-indirizz li ġej:

Il-Kummissjoni Ewropea  
 Direttorat Ġenerali ghall-Kompetizzjoni  
 Reġistru tal-Amalgamazzjonijiet  
 J-70  
 1049 Bruxelles/Brussel  
 BELGIQUE/BELGIË

<sup>(1)</sup> ĞU L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1 (ir-“Regolament tal-KE dwar l-Għaqdiet”).

<sup>(2)</sup> ĞU C 56, 5.3.2005, p. 32 (“Avviż ta’ proċedura simplifikata”).

## ATTI OHRAJN

## IL-KUMMISSJONI EWROPEA

**Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009**

(2010/C 277/08)

Skont l-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009<sup>(1)</sup>, applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali għandha tīgħi ppubblikata fil-Ġurnal Ufficijali, Serje C, sabiex terzi persuni jkunu infurmati bl-eżistenza ta' din it-tip ta' applikazzjoni, bil-ghan li jkun hemm possibbiltà li ssir oġgezzjoni għar-rikonoxximent u l-protezzjoni tat-terminu tradizzjonali li għalih saret l-applikazzjoni.

**PUBBLIKAZZJONI TA' APPLIKAZZJONI GĦAR-RIKONOXXIMENT TA' TERMINU TRADIZZJONALI SKONT  
L-ARTIKOLU 33 TAR-REGOLAMENT TAL-KUMMISSJONI (KE) NRU 607/2009**

Data tal-wasla tal-applikazzjoni 22.6.2010

Għadd ta' paġni 17

Lingwa tal-applikazzjoni Ingliz

Numru tal-fajl TDT-US-N0020

**Applikant:** Wine America  
1212 New York Avenue, Suite 425  
Washington, DC 20005  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

California Export Association  
425 Market St., Suite 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**Denominazzjoni:** NOBLE

— Terminu tradizzjonali skont l-Artikolu 118u(1)(b) tar-Regolament (KE) Nru 1234/2007

**Lingwa:**

— L-Artikolu 31(1)(b) tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009

**Lista ta' denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini jew indikazzjonijiet ġeografici protetti konċernati:**

— Denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini

<sup>(1)</sup> GU L 193, 24.7.2009, p. 60.

**Kategoriji tal-prodotti tad-dwieli:**

- Inbid, Inbid likur (l-Anness XIb ghInbid għar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 1234/2007)

**Definizzjoni:**

- Inbid imsahħħaħ tal-Istati Uniti minn isem tal-origini kif definit f'27 tas-C.F.R. § 4.25 li ġie mmaturat.

**Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009**

(2010/C 277/09)

Skont l-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009 (<sup>(1)</sup>), applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxxi-ment ta' terminu tradizzjonali għandha tīgħi ppubblikata f'Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali, Serje C, sabiex terzi persuni jkunu infurmati bl-eżistenza ta' din it-tip ta' applikazzjoni, bil-għan li jkun hemm possibbiltà li ssir ogg-ezzjoni għar-rikonoxxi-ment u l-protezzjoni tat-terminu tradizzjonali li għalih saret l-applikazzjoni.

**PUBBLIKAZZJONI TA' APPLIKAZZJONI GHAR-RIKONOXXIMENT TA' TERMINU TRADIZZJONALI SKONT L-ARTIKOLU 33 TAR-REGOLAMENT TAL-KUMMISSJONI (KE) NRU 607/2009**

Data tal-wasla tal-applikazzjoni 22.6.2010

Għadd ta' paġni 17

Lingwa tal-applikazzjoni Ingliz

Numru tal-fajl TDT-US-N0021

**Applikant:**  
Wine America  
1212 New York Avenue, Suite 425  
Washington, DC 20005  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

California Export Association  
425 Market St., Suite 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**Denominazzjoni:** RUBY

— Terminu tradizzjonali skont l-Artikolu 118u(1)(b) tar-Regolament (KE) Nru 1234/2007

**Lingwa:**

— L-Artikolu 31(1)(b) tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009

**Lista ta' denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini jew indikazzjonijiet ġeografici protetti konċernati:**

— Denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini

**Kategoriji tal-prodotti tad-dwieli:**

— Inbid Likur (l-Anness XIb għar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 1234/2007)

**Definizzjoni:**

— It-terminu “Ruby” jiddeskrivi stil ta’ nbid tal-Istati Uniti msahħħah u mmaturat qabel jitqiegħed fil-fliekk. Meta jitqiegħed fil-fliekk, l-inbid iżomm kulur ahmar skur u x’aktarx ikun ta’ karattru sod, b’palat qawwi u b’togħma ta’ frott. Madankollu, l-inbejjed jistgħu jirriflettu karakteristiċi ta’ maturazzjoni għaqqlja li turi karattri “žviluppati”. L-inbid jista’ jithallat minn iktar minn vendemmja wahda, bil-hsieb li jinżammu l-karakteristiċi primarji tal-kulur u tal-aroma. It-tishih għandu jsir minn spirtu tal-ghenba.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) GU L 193, 24.7.2009, p. 60.

**Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009**

(2010/C 277/10)

Skont l-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009 (<sup>(1)</sup>), applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali għandha tīgħi ppubblikata f'Il-Ġurnal Uffiċjali, Serje C, sabiex terzi persuni jkunu infurmati bl-eżistenza ta' din it-tip ta' applikazzjoni, bil-ghan li jkun hemm possibbiltà li ssir ogg-ezzjoni għar-rikonoxximent u l-protezzjoni tat-terminu tradizzjonali li għalih saret l-applikazzjoni.

**PUBBLIKAZZJONI TA' APPLIKAZZJONI GHAR-RIKONOXXIMENT TA' TERMINU TRADIZZJONALI SKONT L-ARTIKOLU 33 TAR-REGOLAMENT TAL-KUMMISSJONI (KE) NRU 607/2009**

Data tal-wasla tal-applikazzjoni 22.6.2010

Għadd ta' paġni 16

Lingwa tal-applikazzjoni Ingliż

Numru tal-fajl TDT-US-N0022

**Applikant:** Wine America  
1212 New York Avenue, Suite 425  
Washington, DC 20005  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

California Export Association  
425 Market St., Suite 1000  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**Denominazzjoni:** SOLERA

— Terminu tradizzjonali skont l-Artikolu 118u(1)(b) tar-Regolament (KE) Nru 1234/2007

**Lingwa:**

— L-Artikolu 31(1)(b) tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009

**Lista ta' denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini jew indikazzjonijiet ġeografiċi protetti konċernati:**

— Denominazzjonijiet protetti tal-origini

**Kategoriji tal-prodotti tad-dwieli:**

— Inbid likur (l-Anness XIb għar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 1234/2007)

**Definizzjoni:**

— It-terminu “Solera” jiddeskrivi sistema ta’ użu ta’ btieti li jkun fihom inbejjed ta’ etajiet differenti. L-inbejjed mill-bettija li jkun fiha l-eqdem taħlita ta’ vendemmji tittieħed mis-“solera”. L-inbejjed kollha tal-btieti tas-solera jitferrgħu fkaskata f’ordini skont l-età u l-bettija li li jkun fiha t-tħallit ta’ nbid tal-iżgħar età timtela b’inbid ġdid. Il-proċess joħloq prodott imżewwaq, li jvarja minn kulur it-tiben ċar ghall-kulur skur tal-ambra, skont l-istil li jkun qed jiġi prodott. Dan il-proċess huwa riżervat għall-produzzjoni tal-inbejjed imsahħin.

(<sup>1</sup>) GU L 193, 24.7.2009, p. 60.



2010/C 277/09	Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009 .....	22
2010/C 277/10	Pubblikazzjoni tal-applikazzjoni għar-rikonoxximent ta' terminu tradizzjonali kif provdut fl-Artikolu 33 tar-Regolament tal-Kummissjoni (KE) Nru 607/2009 .....	23



## **PREZZ TAL-ABBONAMENT 2010 (mingħajr VAT, inkluži l-ispejjeż tal-posta b'kunsinna normali)**

Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-UE, serje L+C, edizzjoni stampata biss	22 lingwa ufficijali tal-UE	1 100 EUR fis-sena
Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-UE, serje L+C, stampati + CD-ROM annwali	22 lingwa ufficijali tal-UE	1 200 EUR fis-sena
Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-UE, serje L, edizzjoni stampata biss	22 lingwa ufficijali tal-UE	770 EUR fis-sena
Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-UE, serje L+C, CD-ROM fix-xahar (kumulattiva)	22 lingwa ufficijali tal-UE	400 EUR fis-sena
Suppliment tal-Ġurnal Ufficijali (serje S), Swieq Pubblici u Appalti, CD-ROM, żewġ edizzjonijiet fil-ġimgħa	multilingwi: 23 lingwa ufficijali tal-UE	300 EUR fis-sena
Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-UE, serje C – Kompetizzjonijiet	Skont il-lingwa/i tal-Kompetizzjoni	50 EUR fis-sena

L-abbonament f'Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea, li johroġ fil-lingwi ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea, hu disponibbli f'22 verżjoni lingwistika. Inkluži fihem is-serje L (Leġiżlazzjoni) u C (Komunikazzjoni u Informazzjoni).

Kull verżjoni lingwistika jeħtiġilha abbonament separat.

B'konformità mar-Regolament tal-Kunsill (KE) Nru 920/2005, ippubblikat fil-Ġurnal Ufficijali L 156 tat-18 ta' Ĝunju 2005, li jistipula li l-istituzzjonijiet tal-Unjoni Ewropea mhumiex temporanjament obbligati li jiktbu l-atti kollha bl-Irlandiż u li jippubblikawhom b'din il-lingwa, il-Ġurnali Ufficijali ppubblikati bl-Irlandiż jinbiegħu apparti.

L-abbonament tas-Suppliment tal-Ġurnal Ufficijali (serje S – Swieq Pubblici u Appalti) jiġbor fihi it-total tat-23 verżjoni lingwistika ufficijali f'CD-ROM waħdieni multilingwi.

Fuq rikiesta, l-abbonament f'Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea jagħti d-dritt li l-abbonat jircievi diversi annessi tal-Ġurnal Ufficijali. L-abbonati jiġu mgħarrfa dwar il-ħruġ tal-annessi permezz ta' "Avviż lill-qarrej" inserit f'Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea.

Il-formati tas-CD-Rom se jinbidlu bil-formati tad-DVD matul l-2010.

### **Bejgħ u Abbonamenti**

Abbonamenti fil-perjodici diversi bi ħlas, bħalma huwa l-abbonament f'Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea, huma disponibbli mill-ufficiċini tal-bejgħ tagħna. Il-lista tal-ufficiċini tal-bejgħ hi disponibbli fuq l-internet fl-indirizz li ġej:

[http://publications.europa.eu/others/agents/index\\_mt.htm](http://publications.europa.eu/others/agents/index_mt.htm)

**EUR-Lex (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu>) joffri aċċess dirett u bla ħlas għal-liġjet tal-Unjoni Ewropea. Dan is-sit jippermetti li jkun ikkonsultat Il-Ġurnal Ufficijali tal-Unjoni Ewropea u jinkludi wkoll it-Trattati, il-leġiżlazzjoni, il-ġurisprudenza u l-atti preparatorji tal-leġiżlazzjoni.**

**Biex tkun taf aktar dwar l-Unjoni Ewropea, ikkonsulta: <http://europa.eu>**

