

#### EUROOPAN YHTEISÖJEN KOMISSIO

Bryssel, 17.11.1995 KOM(95) 552 lopull.

95/0279 (COD)

Ehdotus

#### EUROOPAN PARLAMENTIN JA NEUVOSTON DIREKTIIVIKSI

henkilönsuojaimia koskevan jäsenvaltioiden

lainsäädännön lähentämisestä annetun neuvoston direktiivin 89/686/ETY muuttamisesta

(komission esittämä)

#### Explanatory memorandum

- I <u>General</u>
- 1. Purpose

This proposal for a Directive has been prepared on the basis of Article 100a of the Treaty.

It consists of an amendment to Council Directive  $89/686/\text{EEC}^1$  of 21 December 1989 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to personal protective equipment, as amended by directives  $93/68/\text{EEC}^2$  and  $93/95/\text{EEC}^3$ . Its purpose is to simplify the administrative requirements imposed on the manufacturers of personal protective equipment (PPE) and in particular to repeal the obligation to indicate on every item of PPE the year in which the EC marking was affixed.

Since this proposal is an amendment to an act adopted by the Community, the Community has sole jurisdiction over it and it can be implemented only by means of an act of the same nature as the original document, namely a directive. This Directive will therefore have the same principles of implementation as the Directive which it amends.

- <sup>2</sup> OJ No L 220, 30.8.1993, p. 1.
- <sup>3</sup> OJ No L 276, 9.11.1993, p. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ No L 399, 30.12.1989, p. 18.

This proposal thus answers to the desire for administrative simplification aimed at eliminating unnecessary and costly obligations from Community provisions.

#### 2. Economic and social impact

Directive 89/686/EEC provides that the CE conformity marking consists of the letters "CE" followed by the last two digits of the year in which the marking was affixed and, in the event of the involvement of a notified body having carried out an EC type examination, its distinguishing number.

Directive 93/68/EEC amending 89/686/EEC on the one hand kept, as additional information with the CE marking, this indication of the last two digits of the year in which the marking was affixed (only PPE covered by a declaration of conformity by the manufacturer himself may be exempt) and, on the other hand, restricted indication of the identification number to only those notified bodies which become involved in the quality control phase mentioned in Article 11 of Directive 89/686/EEC.

PPE manufacturers have pointed out to the Commission that the obligation to indicate on every item of PPE the year in which the CE marking was affixed, i.e. in effect the year of manufacture of the PPE, was the source of considerable costs which are not justified by a substantial increase in safety or information for the user.

3

These costs are estimated by the manufacturers at about 5% of annual turnover, and arise in particular from:

- annual changes (on 1 January) to the marking systems or the moulds when marking is an integral part of the production process;
- keeping separate stocks of products, component parts, packaging materials and documentation for each of the annual production runs;
- the matching of products and packaging that has to be done at the end of the year;
- the depreciation of products marked in previous years;
- the possible costs of returning of "old" products by distributors.

In addition, if the PPE is marked with the date of manufacture the final user might confuse it with the date of obsolescence of the PPE, the date which the Directive makes obligatory for PPE subject to ageing.

Lastly, the final user might be concerned at seeing different dates on the same PPE made up of several component parts, each marked separately.

#### 3. <u>Consultation of interested parties</u>

The manufacturers' request has been mentioned several times in the "PPE" working party of the committee set up by Directive 89/392/EEC<sup>4</sup>, which is competent to deal with all the questions arising from the implementation and practical application of the PPE Directive.

OJ No L 183, 29.6.1989, p. 9.

4

At its meeting of 17 and 18 July 1995, the working party welcomed the draft proposal. The group is made up of the Representatives of the Member States, representatives of EFTA members of the Agreement on the European Economic Area (EEA), of the industrial federations concerned, in particular the European Safety Federation (ESF) and the Federation of the European Sporting Goods Industry (FESI) as well as representatives of the bodies notified and the European standardization organizations (CEN and Cenelec).

#### 4. Application date

This proposal for a Directive shall enter into force on 1 January 1997, the date of the end of the transitional period provided for by Directive 93/68/EEC amending Directive 89/686/EEC, no provision being made for a transitional period.

Having the same date for the termination of one system and the entry into force of another for "CE" marking will make the situation clear for manufacturers and avoid any uncertainty.

#### 5. <u>Relevance to the European Economic Area (EEA)</u>

This proposal falls into the field covered by the Agreement on the European Economic Area. The consultations included experts from the EFTA countries in the EEA.

#### II The proposal for a Directive

The proposal for a Directive confines itself to deleting from Annex IV to the Directive the references to indication of the date on which the CE conformity marking was affixed.

**Ehdotus:** 

Euroopan parlamentin ja neuvoston direktiivi henkilönsuojaimia koskevan jäsenvaltioiden lainsäädännön lähentämisestä annetun neuvoston direktiivin 89/686/ETY muuttamisesta

#### EUROOPAN PARLAMENTTI JA EUROOPAN UNIONIN NEUVOSTO, jotka

ottavat huomioon Euroopan yhteisön perustamissopimuksen ja erityisesti sen 100 a artiklan,

ottavat huomioon komission ehdotuksen,

ottavat huomioon talous- ja sosiaalikomitean lausunnon,

toimivat perustamissopimuksen 189 b artiklassa,

sekä katsovat, että

direktiivissä **89/686/ETY**<sup>1</sup>säädetään, että kaikki henkilönsuojaimet on varustettava "CE"merkinnällä; merkinnän lisäksi henkilönsuojaimissa on oltava lisätieto siitä, minä vuonna merkintä on kiinnitetty;

vuosiluvun ilmoittaminen ei ole hyödyllistä henkilönsuojaimen käyttäjän turvallisuuden kannalta; kyseinen merkintä voisi aiheuttaa sekaannuksen vanhenemispäivän kanssa, jollainen on oltava tietyissä vanhenevissa henkilönsuojaimissa;

1

7

EYVL N:0 L 399, 30.12.1989, s. 18; direktiiviä muutettu direktiiveillä 93/68/ETY (EYVL N:0 L 220, 30.8.1993, s. 1) ja 93/95/ETY (EYVL N:0 L 276, 9.11.1993, s. 11)

vuosilukumerkinnän kiinnittämisestä aiheutuu kustannuksia henkilönsuojainten valmistajille; tehtävän kustannukset eivät suinkaan ole merkityksettömiä, ja

toissijaisuusperiaatteen huomioon ottaen tämä valmistajia koskeva yksinkertaistaminen voi tapahtua vain direktiivillä, jolla muutetaan alkuperäistä direktiiviä,

#### OVAT ANTANEET TÄMÄN DIREKTIIVIN:

#### I artikla

Muutetaan direktiivi 89/686/ETY seuraavasti:

Poistetaan liitteestä IV seuraava teksti:

"Lisätietoja

- CE-merkinnän kiinnittämisvuoden kaksi viimeistä numeroa; tämä tieto ei ole tarpeen 8 artiklan 3 kohdassa tarkoitettujen henkilönsuojaimien osalta."

#### 2 artikla

 Jäsenvaltioiden on annettava ja julkaistava ....<sup>2</sup> mennessä ne näiden säännösten noudattamisen edellyttämät lait, asetukset ja hallinnolliset määräykset. Niiden on ilmoitettava tästä komissiolle viipymättä.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kolmen kuukauden kuluttua tämän direktiivin antamisesta.

Näissä jäsenvaltioiden antamissa säädöksissä on viitattava tähän direktiiviin tai niihin on liitettävä tällainen viittaus, kun ne virallisesti julkaistaan. Jäsenvaltioiden on säädettävä siitä, miten viittaukset tehdään. Niiden on sovellettava näitä säännöksiä 1 päivästä tammikuuta 1997.

2. Jäsenvaltioiden on toimitettava tässä direktiivissä tarkoitetuista kysymyksistä antamansa kansalliset säännökset kirjallisina komissiolle.

#### 3 artikla

Tämä direktiivi tulee voimaan kahdentenakymmenentenä päivänä sen jälkeen, kun se julkaistaan Euroopan yhteisöjen virallisessa lehdessä.

#### 4 artikla

Tämä direktiivi on osoitettu kaikille jäsenvaltioille.

#### **I**0

#### FINANCIAL STATEMENT

Financial implications

(items intended for publication in working documents)

#### 1. TITLE OF OPERATION

Proposal for a European Parliament and Council Directive amending Council Directive 89/686/EEC of 21 December 1989 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to personal protective equipment (PPE).

#### 2. BUDGET HEADING INVOLVED

No expenditure is envisaged in part B of the budget.

#### 3. LEGAL BASIS

Article 100a of the EC Treaty.

#### 4. **DESCRIPTION OF OPERATION**

#### 4.1 General objective:

The purpose of this proposal for a Directive is to simplify manufacturers' obligations relating to "EC" marking, repealing the obligation to indicate on every item of PPE the year in which the "CE" marking was affixed.

4.2 Period covered: this is a single ad hoc operation.

4.3 Population targeted: potentially all PPE users, particularly manufacturers.

#### 5. CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENDITURE

- 5.1 Compulsory/Non-compulsory expenditure: not applicable.
- 5.2 Differentiated/Non-differentiated appropriations: not applicable.
- 5.3 No revenues are envisaged.

#### 6. TYPE OF EXPENDITURE

No expenditure is envisaged in part B of the budget.

6.1 100% subsidy: none.

- 6.2 Subsidy for joint financing with other sources in the public and/or private sector: none.
- 6.3 Interest subsidy: none.
- 6.4 Other: none.
- 6.5 Should the operation prove an economic success, is there provision for all or part of the Community contribution to be reimbursed? Not applicable.
- 6.6 Will the proposed operation cause any change in the level of revenue? No.

#### 7. FINANCIAL IMPACT

- 7.1 Method of calculating total cost of operation: Not applicable.
- 7.2 Itemized breakdown of cost: Not applicable.
- 7.3 Operational expenditure on studies, expert meetings, etc., included in part B of the budget:None.
- 7.4 Indicative schedule of appropriations: Not applicable.

#### 8. FRAUD PREVENTION MEASURES

Not applicable.

#### 9. ELEMENTS OF COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS

9.1 Specific and quantifiable objective:

Repeal of the obligation to indicate the year in which the "CE" marking was affixed is considered by the manufacturers to represent a cost reduction corresponding to about 5% of turnover.

9.2 Grounds for the operation:

The repeal of the obligation to indicate the year in which the "CE" marking was affixed is an administrative simplification which reduces the manufacturing costs of PPE. The change does not affect the safety of PPE, and the obligation to indicate

the date of obsolescence of PPE subject to ageing and

- the useful life of PPE the quality of which deteriorates in use is maintained in the text of the directive.
- 9.2.1 Need for Community financial aid: Not applicable.
- 9.2.2 Choice of ways and means: Not applicable.
- 9.3 Monitoring and evaluation of the operation:
- 9.3.1 Performance indicators selected: Not applicable.
- 9.3.2 Details and frequency of planned evaluations:Continuous monitoring of the conditions of implementation and practical application of this Directive.

#### **IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM**

#### **TITLE OF PROPOSAL**

Proposal for a European Parliament and Council Directive amending Council Directive 89/686/EEC of 21 December 1989 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to personal protective equipment (PPE).

#### **REFERENCE NUMBER:**

#### 1. THE PROPOSAL

This is a proposal for a "new approach" directive based on Article 100a of the Treaty. It is basically aimed at achieving the following objective:

to repeal the obligation to indicate on every item of PPE the year in which the EC marking was affixed.

#### 2. THE IMPACT ON BUSINESS

(a) <u>Characteristics of the firms involved</u>
Most of the firms manufacturing personal protective equipment (PPE) are SMEs.

(b) <u>Geographical distribution of the firms and the market</u>

Firms making PPE are scattered throughout the territory of the Union and EFTA countries.

(c) Are these firms located in regions eligible for regional aid from Member States and the ERDF?

There is not known to be any particular concentration in these regions.

# 3. WHAT WILL BUSINESS HAVE TO DO TO COMPLY WITH THE DIRECTIVE?

The proposal for a directive seeks to simplify some obligations on firms and to simplify manufacture; there are no special steps they need to take to be able to comply with it.

#### 4. WHAT ECONOMIC EFFECTS IS THE PROPOSAL LIKELY TO HAVE?

(a) <u>on employment</u>?

1

The proposal for a directive can only have a positive effect on employment.

16

#### (b) <u>on investment and the creation of new businesses</u>?

Application of the proposal for a Directive requires no investment, on the contrary, it will make it possible to dispense with the wasteful expenditure caused by having to change the marking at the beginning of each year and match the packaging to the products. The creation of a new firm will be unaffected by the measure.

#### (c) <u>on the competitive position of businesses</u>?

The European Federation of Manufacturers considers that the obligation to mark the year of manufacture on every item of PPE represents a cost which could be estimated at some 5% of turnover. Dispensing with this outlay will enable firms to improve their overall competitiveness.

#### (d) <u>on health and safety</u>:

The aspects of the Directive relating to users' health and safety will not be diminished, indeed, quite the reverse, since it will rule out any possible misunderstanding as regards the useful life and obsolescence of PPE; the obligation imposed by the Directive to give indications of the useful life of products likely to deteriorate in use and on the date of obsolescence of products subject to ageing is not changed.

#### ۲۱ 💼

### 5. MEASURES INTENDED TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE SPECIFIC SITUATION OF SMES

The proposal for a Directive does not provide for any specific measures applicable only to SMEs.

### 6. <u>CONSULTATION OF THE TWO SIDES OF INDUSTRY AND THEIR</u> <u>VIEWPOINTS</u>

A draft proposal has been examined by the PPE working party of the Committee created by Directive 89/392/EEC.

This group is competent to deal with all the questions raised by the implementation and practical application of the PPE Directive.

The working party consists of representatives of manufacturers and users.

#### (a) manufacturers:

- ESF (European Safety Federation);
- FESI (Federation of the European Sporting Goods Industry);
- European Confederation of the Footwear Industry.

#### (b) users:

BTS (Bureau technique syndical)

All the interested parties have expressed their approval.

ISSN 1024-4492

## KOM(95) 552 lopullinen

# ASIAKIRJAT

06 05

Luettelonumero : CB-CO-95-588-FI-C

ISBN 92-77-95875-8

Euroopan yhteisöjen virallisten julkaisujen toimisto L-2985 Luxemburg

FI