

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Eli Lilly and Company

Defendant: Genentech Inc.

Operative part of the order

The request for a preliminary ruling from the High Court of Justice (England & Wales), Chancery Division (Patents Court) (United Kingdom), made by decision of 4 March 2019, is manifestly inadmissible.

(¹) OJ C 172, 20.5.2019

Appeal brought on 30 January 2019 by João Miguel Barata against the judgment of the General Court (Second Chamber) delivered on 20 November 2018 in Case T-854/16: Barata v Parliament

(Case C-71/19 P)

(2019/C 383/40)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: João Miguel Barata (represented by G. Pandey and D. Rovetta, avocats, and by J. Grayston, Solicitor)

Other party to the proceedings: European Parliament

By order of 26 September 2019 the Court of Justice (Ninth Chamber) held that the appeal is dismissed as being in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly unfounded and that Mr João Miguel Barata shall bear his own costs.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunalul Arbitral de pe lângă Asociația de arbitraj de pe lângă Baroul Cluj (Romania) lodged on 25 February 2019 — KE v LF

(Case C-185/19)

(2019/C 383/41)

Language of the case: Romanian

Referring court

Tribunalul Arbitral de pe lângă Asociația de arbitraj de pe lângă Baroul Cluj

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: KE

Defendant: LF

By Order of 24 September 2019, the Court (Sixth Chamber) declared the request for a preliminary ruling manifestly inadmissible and declared that it manifestly lacks jurisdiction to give a ruling thereon.

Appeal brought on 27 May 2019 by Xianhao Pan against the judgment of the General Court (Eighth Chamber) delivered on 21 March 2019 in Case T-777/17: Pan v EUIPO - Entertainment One UK (TOBBIA)

(Case C-412/19 P)

(2019/C 383/42)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Xianhao Pan (represented by: M. Oliva, avvocato)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office, Entertainment One UK Ltd

By order of 12 July 2019 of the Vice-President the Court of Justice held that the appeal is dismissed as inadmissible.

Appeal brought on 14 June 2019 by Stada Arzneimittel AG against the judgment of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) delivered on 4 April 2019 in Case T-804/17, Stada Arzneimittel AG v European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

(Case C-460/19 P)

(2019/C 383/43)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Stada Arzneimittel AG (represented by: A.K. Marx, R. Kaase, J.-C. Plate, Rechtsanwälte)

Other party to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office

By order of 1 October 2019, the Court of Justice of the European Union (Chamber determining whether appeals may proceed) decided that the appeal should not be allowed to proceed and ordered the appellant to bear its own costs.
