

Order of the General Court of 19 November 2018 — Landesbank Baden-Württemberg v SRB(Case T-14/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Economic and monetary union — Banking union — Single Resolution Mechanism of credit institutions and certain investment firms (SRM) — Single Resolution Fund (SRF) — Setting of the 2016 ex-ante contribution — Period for bringing an action — Out of time — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2019/C 44/74)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Landesbank Baden-Württemberg (Stuttgart, Germany) (represented by: H. Berger and K. Rübsamen, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (represented by: A. Martin-Ehlers, S. Raes, A. Kopp and T. Van Dyck, lawyers)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Steiblytė and K.-Ph. Wojcik, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment, first, of the decision of the SRB in its executive session of 15 April 2016 on the 2016 ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/06) and, second, of the decision of the SRB in its executive session of 20 May 2016 on the adjustment of the 2016 ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund, supplementing the decision of the Board of 15 April 2016 (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/13), to the extent that they concern the applicant.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *Landesbank Baden-Württemberg is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the Single Resolution Board (SRB).*
3. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 63, 27.2.2017.

Order of the General Court of 19 November 2018 — VR-Bank Rhein-Sieg v SRB(Case T-42/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Economic and monetary union — Banking union — Single Resolution Mechanism of credit institutions and certain investment firms (SRM) — Single Resolution Fund (SRF) — Setting of the 2016 ex-ante contribution — Period for bringing an action — Out of time — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2019/C 44/75)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: VR-Bank Rhein-Sieg eG (Siegburg, Germany) (represented by: H. Berger and K. Rübsamen, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board (represented by: A. Martin-Ehlers, S. Raes, A. Kopp and T. Van Dyck, lawyers)

Intervener in support of the defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Steiblytė and K.-Ph. Wojcik, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment, first, of the decision of the SRB in its executive session of 15 April 2016 on the 2016 ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/06) and, second, of the decision of the SRB in its executive session of 20 May 2016 on the adjustment of the 2016 ex-ante contributions to the Single Resolution Fund, supplementing the decision of the Board of 15 April 2016 (SRB/ES/SRF/2016/13), to the extent that they concern the applicant.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.*
2. *VR-Bank Rhein-Sieg eG is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by the Single Resolution Board (SRB).*
3. *The European Commission shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 78, 13.3.2017.

Order of the General Court of 25 October 2018 — UI v Council

(Case T-282/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil service — Official — Action for failure to act — No decision following the report on the probationary period — Article 34 of the Staff Regulations — Decision to dismiss — No need to adjudicate)

(2019/C 44/76)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: UI (represented by: J. Diaz Cordova, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: R. Meyer and M. Bauer, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 270 TFEU and seeking a declaration that the Council unlawfully failed to adopt, within the period prescribed under the Staff Regulations, a decision regarding the establishment of the applicant as an official.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *The parties shall bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 277, 21.8.2017.