Judgment of the General Court of 23 November 2018 — Cyprus v EUIPO — Papouis Dairies (fino Cyprus Halloumi Cheese)

(Case T-417/17) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU figurative mark fino Cyprus Halloumi Cheese — Earlier United Kingdom certification word mark HALLOUMI — Rejection of the opposition — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))

(2019/C 44/44)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Cyprus (represented by: S. Malynicz QC, and V. Marsland, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Gája and D. Walicka, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Papouis Dairies Ltd (Nicosia, Cyprus) (represented by: N. Korogiannakis, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 20 April 2017 (Case R 2650/2014-4) concerning opposition proceedings between the Republic of Cyprus and Papouis Dairies.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 20 April 2017 (Case R 2650/2014-4) concerning opposition proceedings between the Republic of Cyprus and Papouis Dairies Ltd;
- 2. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the Republic of Cyprus;
- 3. Orders Papouis Dairies to bear its own costs.

(1) OJ C 277, 21.8.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 26 November 2018 — Shindler and Others v Council

(Case T-458/17) (1)

(Action for annulment — Institutional law — Withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU — Agreement setting out the arrangements for withdrawal — Article 50 TEU — Council Decision authorising the opening of negotiations with the United Kingdom with a view to conclusion of that agreement — UK citizens residing in another EU Member State — Preparatory act — Act not open to challenge — Lack of direct concern — Inadmissibility)

(2019/C 44/45)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Harry Shindler (Porto d'Ascoli, Italy) and 12 other applicants whose names are listed in the annex to the judgment (represented by: J. Fouchet, lawyer)