

Operative part

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ms Kalliope Agapiou Joséphidès to pay the costs.

Judgment of the General Court (Seventh Chamber) of 21 October 2010 — Umbach v Commission

(Case T-474/08)

(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Documents relating to a contract concluded under the TACIS programme — Request for access concerning a dispute between the applicant and the Commission before a Belgian civil court — Partial refusal of access — Request for access based on the principles deriving from the EU Treaty — Overriding public interest)

1. *Actions for annulment — Actionable measures — Concept — Measures producing binding legal effects — Silence or inaction of an institution — Assimilation to an implied decision of refusal — Not included — Limits (Art. 230 EC) (see paras 35-36)*
2. *European Communities — Institutions — Right of public access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Exceptions to the right of access to documents — Overriding public interest justifying the disclosure of documents — Concept — Individual interest of the applicant — Not included (European Parliament and Council Regulation No 1049/2001, Arts 2(1) and 4(2)) (see paras 56, 58-59, 71)*

Re:

APPLICATION for annulment of the Commission's decision of 2 September 2008 refusing the applicant access to certain data in documents concerning a contract headed 'TACIS Service Contract No 98.0414' relating to assistance in the drafting of an administrative code for the Russian Federation.

Operative part

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Mr Dieter C. Umbach to pay the costs.

**Order of the President of the General Court of 25 October 2010 —
Inuit Tapiriit Kanatami and Others v Parliament and Council**

(Case T-18/10R II)

(Application for interim measures — Regulation (EC) No 1007/2009 — Trade in seal products — Ban on import and sale — Exception in favour of Inuit communities — Second application for suspension of operation of a measure — New facts — No urgency)

1. *Applications for interim measures — Suspension of operation of a measure — Dismissal of application — Possibility of bringing a new application — Condition — New facts — Concept — Condition for granting the interim measure — Capacity of new facts to call into question the assessments which determined the dismissal of the first application (Art. 278 TFEU; Rules of Procedure of the General Court, Art. 109) (see paras 17-19, 22)*