

Official Journal

of the European Union

L 219



English edition

Legislation

Volume 56

15 August 2013

Contents

II *Non-legislative acts*

REGULATIONS

- ★ **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 780/2013 of 14 August 2013 amending Commission Regulation (EU) No 206/2010 laying down lists of third countries, territories or parts thereof authorised for the introduction into the European Union of certain animals and fresh meat and the veterinary certification requirements ⁽¹⁾** 1

- ★ **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 781/2013 of 14 August 2013 amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, as regards the conditions of approval of the active substance fipronil, and prohibiting the use and sale of seeds treated with plant protection products containing this active substance ⁽¹⁾** 22

- ★ **Commission Regulation (EU) No 782/2013 of 14 August 2013 amending Annex III to Regulation (EU) No 66/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the EU Ecolabel ⁽¹⁾** 26

- Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 783/2013 of 14 August 2013 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables 28

- Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 784/2013 of 14 August 2013 fixing the import duties in the cereals sector applicable from 16 August 2013 30

Price: EUR 4

(Continued overleaf)

⁽¹⁾ Text with EEA relevance

EN

Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

DECISIONS

2013/432/EU:

- ★ **Commission Implementing Decision of 13 August 2013 amending Decision 2011/207/EU establishing a specific control and inspection programme related to the recovery of bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean** (notified under document C(2013) 5224) 33

2013/433/EU:

- ★ **Commission Implementing Decision of 13 August 2013 on excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)** (notified under document C(2013) 5225) 49

Notice to readers — Council Regulation (EU) No 216/2013 of 7 March 2013 on the electronic publication of the *Official Journal of the European Union* (see page 3 of the cover)

Note to readers — way of referring to acts (see page 3 of the cover)

II

(Non-legislative acts)

REGULATIONS

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 780/2013

of 14 August 2013

amending Commission Regulation (EU) No 206/2010 laying down lists of third countries, territories or parts thereof authorised for the introduction into the European Union of certain animals and fresh meat and the veterinary certification requirements

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Directive 2004/68/EC of 26 April 2004 laying down animal health rules for the importation into and transit through the Union of certain live ungulate animals, amending Directives 90/426/EEC and 92/65/EEC and repealing Directive 72/462/EEC⁽¹⁾, and in particular the first and second subparagraphs of Article 3(1), the first subparagraph of Article 6(1), Article 7(e), Article 8(c) and Article 13(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Regulation (EU) No 206/2010⁽²⁾ lays down the requirements for the introduction into the Union of, amongst others, certain ungulates. That Regulation does not apply to non-domesticated animals intended for an approved body, institute or centre as defined in Article 2(1)(c) of Directive 92/65/EEC of 13 July 1992 laying down animal health requirements governing trade in and imports into the Community of animals, semen, ova and embryos not subject to animal health requirements laid down in specific Community rules referred to in Annex A (I) to Directive 90/425/EEC⁽³⁾.
- (2) The lack of specific animal health requirements for the introduction of ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre into the Union causes practical problems for such structures and strongly limits their activities, because of their need to introduce those animals.
- (3) It is appropriate to lay down animal health requirements for the introduction of ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre into the Union, which would take account of the specific situation of such animals. In the interest of simplification of Union law, it is appropriate that such rules be laid down in Regulation (EU) No 206/2010. The scope of that Regulation should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (4) Regulation (EU) No 206/2010 provides that consignments of ungulates may only be introduced into the Union if they come from the third countries, territories or parts thereof listed in Part 1 of Annex I to that Regulation.
- (5) Council Directive 2002/99/EC of 16 December 2002 laying down the animal health rules governing the production, processing, distribution and introduction of products of animal origin for human consumption⁽⁴⁾ provides for the adoption by the Commission of lists of the third countries or regions of third countries from which imports of specified products of animal origin are permitted.
- (6) Council Directive 2009/156/EC of 30 November 2009 on animal health conditions governing the movement and importation from third countries of equidae⁽⁵⁾ provides that the importation of equidae into the Union is to be authorised only from third countries that appear on a list to be drawn up or amended in accordance with the procedure laid down in that Directive.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 139, 30.4.2004, p. 321.⁽²⁾ OJ L 73, 20.3.2010, p. 1.⁽³⁾ OJ L 268, 14.9.1992, p. 54.⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 18, 23.1.2003, p. 11.⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 192, 23.7.2010, p. 1.

- (7) Council Directive 2009/158/EC of 30 November 2009 on animal health conditions governing intra-Community trade in, and imports from third countries of, poultry and hatching eggs ⁽¹⁾ provides that poultry and hatching eggs imported into the Union must have originated in a third country or part of a third country included on a list drawn up by the Commission in accordance with the procedure laid down in that Directive.
- (8) The introduction of ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre into the Union should, in particular, fulfil the general requirements for the introduction of live animals into the Union and further specific animal health requirements and offer specific guarantees ensuring that the animals introduced into the Union do not endanger the animal health status of the Union.
- (9) The general requirements for the introduction of live animals into the Union, consisting of an effective system of veterinary services in charge of the control of animal health, are currently fulfilled by those third countries, territories and parts thereof listed pursuant to Directives 2002/99/EC, 2009/156/EC and 2009/158/EC.
- (10) However, the general requirements for the introduction of live animals into the Union do not guarantee that ungulates are free of diseases. Individual animals may still carry infectious diseases that could spread into the Union and consequently constitute a danger to animal health in the Union. Ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre should therefore only be introduced into the Union directly from a body, institute or centre which complies with certain requirements and is approved by the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof in which it is situated.
- (11) It is appropriate that the list of such bodies, institutes or centres be established by the Member State of destination, following an assessment of all relevant information.
- (12) In order to protect the animal health in the Union, it is crucial that consignments of ungulates introduced into the Union and destined to approved bodies, institutes or centres be transported directly and without delay to their destination in sealed containers and that further movement of such animals within the Union be restricted.
- (13) In order to address exceptional circumstances such as situations concerning animal welfare problems, conservation of endangered species, sudden natural disasters or political unrest, in which it is not possible to apply all the animal health requirements and especially those related with the approval of the body, institute or centre of origin, Member States should be able to introduce into their territory certain ungulates destined to an approved body, institute or centre, under specific conditions. However, even in such cases, a permit should be required in order to ensure sufficient reduction of the animal health risk.
- (14) Regulation (EU) No 206/2010 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (15) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,
- HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:
- Article 1*
- Regulation (EU) No 206/2010 is amended as follows:
- (1) In Article 1, paragraph 3 is deleted.
- (2) The following Article 3a is inserted:
- 'Article 3a*
- Conditions for the introduction of ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre**
1. By way of derogation from Article 3, the competent authority of a Member State may authorise the introduction into its territory of consignments of ungulates of the species listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex VI where those consignments are destined for an approved body, institute or centre, provided that the following conditions are complied with:
- (a) an assessment has been carried out by the competent authority of the Member State of destination of the animal health risks that each of the consignments may present for the Union;
- (b) the consignments concerned come from a third country, territory or part thereof which is included in one of the lists set out in:
- (i) Part 1 of Annex I or in Part 1 of Annex II to this Regulation,
- (ii) Decision 2004/211/EC (*), Decision 2007/777/EC (**), Regulation (EC) No 798/2008 (***), Regulation (EC) No 119/2009 (****), Regulation (EU) No 605/2010 (*****),
- (c) the ungulates originate from a body, institute or centre in a third country, territory or part thereof, referred to in point (a), which is included in a list established in accordance with Article 3c;
- (d) the ungulates have been quarantined in a vector-protected facility at the premises of the body, institute or centre referred to in point (c) for the period provided for in the relevant certificates;

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 74.

- (e) the ungulates are conveyed directly to an approved body, institute or centre in the Member State of destination;
- (f) the ungulates are accompanied by an appropriate veterinary certificate, drawn up in accordance with the relevant model of veterinary certificate referred to in Tables 1, 2 and 3 in Part 1 of Annex VI and set out in Part 2 of that Annex;
- (g) the ungulates comply with the requirements set out in the model of veterinary certificate referred to in point (f).

The Member State of destination shall inform the Commission and the other Member States in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health of the authorisation granted pursuant to the first subparagraph, prior to the introduction of the ungulates into their territory.

2. Where exceptional circumstances render compliance with points (c) and (d) of paragraph 1 impossible, the competent authority of the Member State of destination may authorise the introduction, into its territory, of ungulates of the species listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3 of Part 1 of Annex VI from *other holdings* which do not comply with the requirements laid down in those points, provided that the requirements laid down in points (a), (b) and (e) to (g) of paragraph 1 are complied with and that the following additional conditions are met:

- (a) a prior application for a permit has been made by the owner, or a natural person representing that owner, and the Member State of destination has granted such permit after having carried out a risk assessment that has indicated that the introduction of the ungulates concerned into its territory does not constitute an animal health risk for the Union;
- (b) the ungulates have been quarantined in the third country, territory or part thereof of origin under official supervision for the time necessary for them to meet the animal health conditions set out in the model of veterinary certificate referred to in point (f):
 - (i) at a place approved by the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof of origin of the animals;
 - (ii) in accordance with the arrangements prescribed in the permit that shall provide at least the same guarantees as those laid down in points (a), (b) and (e) to (g) of paragraph 1.

Where ungulates are introduced into the Union pursuant to the first subparagraph, they shall be quarantined in an approved body, institute or centre of destination for at least six months from the time of introduction into the Union, during which period the requirements provided for in Article 8(1)(a) of Council Directive 90/425/EEC may be applied by the competent authorities.

The Member State authorising the introduction of ungulates pursuant to the first subparagraph shall inform the Commission and the other Member States in the Standing

Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health of such authorisation, prior to the introduction of the ungulates into its territory.

- (*) OJ L 73, 11.3.2004, p. 1.
- (**) OJ L 312, 30.11.2007, p. 49.
- (***) OJ L 226, 23.8.2008, p. 1.
- (****) OJ L 39, 10.2.2009, p. 12.
- (*****) OJ L 175, 10.7.2010, p. 1.

(3) The following Article 3b is inserted:

'Article 3b

Conditions for the entry and transit of ungulates intended for an approved body, institute or centre through the territory of Member States other than the Member State of destination

The transit of the ungulates referred to in Article 3a through a Member State other than the Member State of destination shall be permitted only subject to the authorisation of the competent authority of the Member State of transit. Such authorisation may be granted only on the basis of a risk assessment by that competent authority, in view of the information submitted to it by the Member State of destination.

The Member State of destination shall inform the Commission and the other Member States in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health, prior to the transit, when authorising the introduction of animals under the conditions provided for in Article 3a.'

(4) The following Article 3c is inserted:

'Article 3c

List of approved bodies, institutes or centres in third countries, territories and parts thereof

1. Following an assessment of compliance with the conditions laid down in paragraph 2, each Member State may establish a list of bodies, institutes and centres from which the introduction of ungulates into its territory may be authorised pursuant to Article 3a(1).

2. A body, institute or centre in a third country, territory or part thereof shall only be included in the list referred to in paragraph 1 where the following conditions are complied with:

- (a) the body, institute or centre complies with the requirements set out in Part 3 of Annex VI;
- (b) the body, institute or centre is approved by the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof where that body, institute or centre is situated;
- (c) the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof provides sufficient guarantees that the conditions concerning the approval of bodies, institutes or centres set out in Part 4 of Annex VI are complied with.

3. A Member State may include in the list referred to in paragraph (1) bodies, institutes or centres in third countries which are already included in such a list established by another Member State, without having assessed compliance with the conditions laid down in paragraph 2.

4. Member States shall keep the lists referred to in paragraph (1) up to date, taking into account in particular any suspension or withdrawal of the approval granted by the competent authority of a third country, territory or part thereof to the bodies, institutes or centres situated therein and included in those lists.

5. Member States shall make available to the public, by means of Internet-based information pages, the lists referred to in paragraph 1 and shall keep those Internet-based information pages up to date.

6. Member States shall communicate the Internet address of their Internet-based information pages to the Commission.'

(5) Article 4 is replaced by the following:

'Article 4

Conditions for the assembly centres for certain consignments of ungulates

1. Consignments of ungulates which contain live animals from more than one holding shall only be introduced into the Union if they are assembled in assembly centres approved by the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof of origin of the animals in accordance with the requirements set out in Part 5 of Annex I.

2. Consignments of ungulates introduced into the Union in accordance with Article 3a or Article 6 shall not originate from more than one holding and shall not be assembled in assembly centres.'

(6) In Article 8, point (b) is replaced by the following:

'(b) unloaded in, or when transported by air, moved to another aircraft, or transported by road, by rail, or moved on foot through a third country, territory or part thereof which is not authorised for imports of the animals concerned into the Union.'

(7) In Article 11, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

'1. Following their introduction into the Union, consignments of ungulates, other than those referred to in Article 3a shall be conveyed in a vector-protected means of transport without delay to the holding of destination.

Those ungulates shall remain on that holding for a period of at least 30 days, unless they are dispatched directly to a slaughterhouse.'

(8) The following Article 13a is inserted:

'Article 13a

Conditions to be applied following the introduction of consignments of ungulates intended for approved bodies, institutes or centres

1. Following their introduction into the Union, consignments of ungulates intended for approved bodies, institutes or centres shall be transported without delay to the approved body, institute or centre of destination in means of transport that are vector-protected and so constructed that the animals cannot escape and faeces, urine, litter, fodder, waste or any other material cannot flow or fall out from the vehicle or container during transportation.

2. The animals shall be kept in quarantine in vector-protected facilities on the premises of the approved body, institute or centre of the Member State of destination for a minimum of 30 days. After the 30 days quarantine period the animals may be moved to another approved body, institute or centre.

3. Animals introduced into an approved body, institute or centre can only be moved to a destination other than an approved body, institute or centre provided that:

(a) at least six months have elapsed from the time of introduction into the Union, and

(b) the movement is carried out in accordance with paragraph 4 of Annex C to Directive 92/65/EEC.

4. By way of derogation from paragraph 3, animals may leave an approved body, institute or centre before the end of the six-month period provided for in that paragraph, only where the following conditions are complied with:

(a) the animals are exported to a third country, territory or part thereof;

(b) for the purpose of their export as referred to in a) the animals are transported in means of transport that are vector-protected and so constructed that the animals cannot escape and faeces, urine, litter, fodder, waste or any other material cannot flow or fall out from the vehicle or container during transportation.'

(9) Annex VI, the text of which is set out in the Annex to this Regulation, is added.

Article 2

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 August 2013.

For the Commission
The President
José Manuel BARROSO

ANNEX

‘ANNEX VI

PART 1

Table 1		
"RUM-A": Model of veterinary certificate for animals of the species listed below that are originating from and intended for an approved body, institute or centre.		
Order	Family	Genera/species
Artiodactyla	Antilocapridae	<i>Antilocapra</i> ssp.
	Bovidae	<i>Addax</i> ssp., <i>Aepyceros</i> ssp., <i>Alcelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Ammodorcas</i> ssp., <i>Ammotragus</i> ssp., <i>Antidorcas</i> ssp., <i>Antilope</i> ssp., <i>Bison</i> ssp., <i>Bos</i> ssp. (including <i>Bibos</i> , <i>Novibos</i> , <i>Poephagus</i>), <i>Boselaphus</i> ssp., <i>Bubalus</i> ssp. (including <i>anoa</i>), <i>Budorcas</i> ssp., <i>Capra</i> ssp., <i>Cephalophus</i> ssp., <i>Connochaetes</i> ssp., <i>Damaliscus</i> ssp. (including <i>Beatragus</i>), <i>Dorcatragus</i> ssp., <i>Gazella</i> ssp., <i>Hemitragus</i> ssp., <i>Hippotragus</i> ssp., <i>Kobus</i> ssp., <i>Litocranius</i> ssp., <i>Madoqua</i> ssp., <i>Naemorhedus</i> ssp. (including <i>Nemorhaedus</i> and <i>Capricornis</i>), <i>Neotragus</i> ssp., <i>Oreamnos</i> ssp., <i>Oreotragus</i> ssp., <i>Oryx</i> ssp., <i>Ourebia</i> ssp., <i>Ovibos</i> ssp., <i>Ovis</i> ssp., <i>Patholops</i> ssp., <i>Pelea</i> ssp., <i>Procapra</i> ssp., <i>Pseudois</i> ssp., <i>Pseudoryx</i> ssp., <i>Raphicerus</i> ssp., <i>Redunca</i> ssp., <i>Rupicapra</i> ssp., <i>Saiga</i> ssp., <i>Sigmoceros-Alecelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Sylvicapra</i> ssp., <i>Syncerus</i> ssp., <i>Taurotragus</i> ssp., <i>Tetracerus</i> ssp., <i>Tragelaphus</i> ssp. (including <i>Boocerus</i>).
	Camelidae	<i>Camelus</i> ssp., <i>Lama</i> ssp., <i>Vicugna</i> ssp.
	Cervidae	<i>Alces</i> ssp., <i>Axis-Hyelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Blastoceros</i> ssp., <i>Capreolus</i> ssp., <i>Cervus-Rucervus</i> ssp., <i>Dama</i> ssp., <i>Elaphurus</i> ssp., <i>Hippocamelus</i> ssp., <i>Hydropotes</i> ssp., <i>Mazama</i> ssp., <i>Megamuntiacus</i> ssp., <i>Muntiacus</i> ssp., <i>Odocoileus</i> ssp., <i>Ozotoceros</i> ssp., <i>Pudu</i> ssp., <i>Rangifer</i> ssp.
	Giraffidae	<i>Giraffa</i> ssp., <i>Okapia</i> ssp.
	Moschidae	<i>Moschus</i> ssp.
	Tragulidae	<i>Hyemoschus</i> ssp., <i>Tragulus-Moschiola</i> ssp.

Table 2		
"SUI-A": Model of veterinary certificate for animals of the species listed below that are originating from and intended for an approved body, institute or centre.		
Order	Family	Genera/species
Artiodactyla	Suidae	<i>Babyroura</i> ssp., <i>Hylochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Phacochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Potamochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Sus</i> ssp.
	Tayassuidae	<i>Catagonus</i> ssp., <i>Pecari-Tayassu</i> ssp.
	Hippopotamidae	<i>Hexaprotodon-Choeropsis</i> ssp., <i>Hippopotamus</i> ssp.

Table 3		
"TRE-A": Model of veterinary certificate for animals of the species listed below that are originating from and intended for an approved body, institute or centre.		
Order	Family	Genera/species
Perissodactyla	Tapiridae	<i>Tapirus</i> ssp.
	Rhinocerotidae	<i>Ceratotherium</i> ssp., <i>Dicerorhinus</i> ssp., <i>Diceros</i> ssp., <i>Rhinoceros</i> ssp.
Proboscidea	Elephantidae	<i>Elephas</i> ssp., <i>Loxodonta</i> ssp.

PART 2

Model RUM-A

COUNTRY

Veterinary certificate to EU

Part I: Details of dispatched consignment	I.1. Consignor Name Address Tel.				I.2. Certificate reference No		I.2.a.									
					I.3. Central competent authority											
					I.4. Local competent authority											
	I.5. Consignee Name Address Postal code Tel.				I.6.											
	I.7. Country of origin		ISO code		I.8. Region of origin		Code		I.9. Country of destination		ISO code		I.10. Region of destination		Code	
	I.11. Place of origin Name Address Approval number				I.12.											
	I.13. Place of loading Address Approval number				I.14. Date of departure											
	I.15. Means of transport Aeroplane <input type="checkbox"/> Ship <input type="checkbox"/> Railway wagon <input type="checkbox"/> Road vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Identification Documentary references				I.16. Entry BIP in EU				I.17.							
	I.18. Description of commodity						I.19. Commodity code (HS code)									
							I.20. Quantity									
I.21.						I.22. Number of packages										
I.23. Seal/Container No						I.24.										
I.25. Commodities certified for: Approved body <input type="checkbox"/>																
I.26.				I.27. For import or admission into EU <input type="checkbox"/>												
I.28. Identification of the commodities																
Species (scientific name)		Identification system		Identification number		Age		Sex								

COUNTRY

Model RUM-A

II. Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
------------------------	------------------------------------	-------

Part II: Certification

II.1. Animal health attestation

I, the undersigned official veterinarian responsible for the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ of origin certify that the animals described in Part I meet the following requirements:

II.1.1. They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7.:

- (a) where the diseases referred to in this certificate are notifiable,
- (b) which at the date of issuing this certificate has been free for the past 12 months from rinderpest.

II.1.2. They come from the body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ described in Box I.11;

- (a) which is approved according to the requirements and conditions set out in Part 3 and 4 of Annex VI to Regulation (EU) No 206/2010;
- (b) which is not subjected to any restrictions relating to a national programme for the control of infectious diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible;
- (c) where there have been no clinical cases of the following diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible:
 - anthrax for the last 30 days;
 - foot-and-mouth disease, bluetongue, Rift valley fever, vesicular stomatitis, rabies, contagious bovine pleuropneumonia, lumpy skin disease, peste des petits ruminants, sheep pox, goat pox, contagious caprine pleuropneumonia for the past 6 months;
- (d) where there have been no clinical or non-clinical cases of tuberculosis and brucellosis for the past 6 months;
- (e) around which in an area of 10 km radius for the last 30 days, there has been no case of the following diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible: foot-and-mouth disease, vesicular stomatitis, contagious bovine pleuropneumonia, peste des petits ruminants, sheep pox, goat pox, contagious caprine pleuropneumonia;
- (f) around which in an area of 150 km radius for the last 30 days, there has been no case of the following diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible: bluetongue, epizootic haemorrhagic disease, Rift valley fever, lumpy skin disease;
- (g) in which they have remained since birth or for the past 6 months before dispatch to the Union.

II.1.3. They:

- (a) have not come into contact with other animals not complying with at least the same health requirements as described in this certificate for the last 30 days and during their transportation from the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ to the place of shipment;
- (b) were examined by an official veterinarian within 24 hours of loading and showed no clinical sign of disease and are fit for the intended transport;
- (c) are not animals to be killed under a national programme for the eradication of diseases.

II.1.4. Foot-and-Mouth Disease

either ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from foot-and-mouth disease with or without vaccination, and]

or ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They have been subjected to the following tests:

- a serological test for evidence of foot-and-mouth disease virus infection carried out in accordance with one of the prescribed tests for international trade laid down in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (OIE Terrestrial Manual), with negative results, taken within 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union,
- ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾[a probang test for evidence of foot-and-mouth disease virus infection carried out in accordance with the procedures described in the OIE Terrestrial Manual with negative results, ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾[taken 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union] ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾[taken on two occasions 15 days apart, the second of which must have been taken 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union, and]

⁽¹⁾ [(b) they have not been vaccinated against foot-and-mouth disease.

COUNTRY

Model RUM-A

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
II.1.5.	Bluetongue and Epizootic haemorrhagic disease (EHD)		
<i>either</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7 which has been free for 24 months from bluetongue/EHD in accordance with the OIE Terrestrial Animal Health Code (OIE Terrestrial Code).]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They were held in a vector-protected facility in the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ for at least 30 days prior to shipment and were subjected to a serology test according to the OIE Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after introduction into the approved body, institute or centre.]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They were held in a vector-protected facility in the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ for at least 30 days prior to shipment and were subjected to a PCR test according to the OIE Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after introduction into the approved body, institute or centre.]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They come from a seasonally free area and were subjected during that period to an serology test according to the OIE Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out at least 28 days after introduction into the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ .]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They come from a seasonally free area and were subjected during that period to a PCR test according to the OIE Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, carried out at least 14 days after introduction into the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ .]		
II.1.6.	Rift valley fever		
<i>either</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7. which has been free for 48 months from Rift valley fever and have not been vaccinated against that disease.]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They were held in a vector-protected facility in the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ for at least 30 days prior to shipment during which the animals showed no clinical signs of Rift valley fever and were protected from vectors between the vector-protected facility and the place of shipment to the Union as well as at the place of shipment.]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They have been subjected to a virus neutralisation test ⁽²⁾ with negative results for evidence of Rift valley fever, as laid down and prescribed for international trade by the OIE Terrestrial Manual, taken at the beginning of the isolation/quarantine period and at least 42 days later on, the second of which must have been taken within 10 days of dispatch to the Union.]		
II.1.7.	Brucellosis		
<i>either</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They come from a country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from brucellosis and which have not been vaccinated against that disease;]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They have been subjected to a test as laid down and prescribed for international trade by the OIE Terrestrial Manual, in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union;]		
<i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾	[They are castrated males of any age].		
II.1.8.	Other vaccinations		
	(a) They have not been vaccinated against vesicular stomatitis,		
	⁽⁵⁾ (b) They have been vaccinated against:		
	⁽¹⁾ [anthrax on the (dd/mm/yyyy)(date(s)) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine(s) used)],		
	⁽¹⁾ [rabies on the (dd/mm/yyyy)(date(s)) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine(s) used) and a blood test performed on (dd/mm/yyyy)(date(s)) shows a protective immune response].		
II.1.9.	Parasite treatment		
	They have been treated at least twice during the 40 days prior to dispatch to the Union against internal and external parasites with the following product(s) Specify the active ingredients and the doses of the products used		
II.1.10.	Loading on the means of transport		
	They have been loaded for dispatch to the Union on (dd/mm/yyyy) ⁽⁶⁾ in the means of transport described in Box I.15. that were cleaned and disinfected before loading with an officially authorised disinfectant and so constructed that faeces, urine, litter or fodder could not flow or fall out of the vehicle or container during transportation.		

COUNTRY

Model RUM-A

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
-----	--------------------	------------------------------------	-------

Notes

This certificate is to be used for live animals listed in the note for Box I.28. coming from an approved body, institute or centre in a third country, territory or part thereof, and destined to an approved body, institute or centre situated within a Member State. Use one certificate per species.

Part I:

— Box reference I.15.: Registration number (railway wagons or container and lorries), flight number (aircraft) or name (ship) is to be provided. In case of unloading and reloading, the consignor shall inform the BIP of entry into the EU.

— Box reference I.19.: Use appropriate HS code: 010613 or 010619.

— Box reference I.28.: *Identification system:* Specify the identification system (tag, tattoos, brand, chip, transponder). The identifier shall include the ISO code of the exporting country and permit tracing of their premises of origin.

Age: months.

Sex (M = male, F = female, C = castrated).

Species: Select the species amongst those listed below:

Order	Family	Genera/species
Artiodactyla	Antilocapridae	<i>Antilocapra</i>
	Bovidae	<i>Addax</i> ssp., <i>Aepyceros</i> ssp., <i>Alcelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Ammodorcas</i> ssp., <i>Ammotragus</i> ssp., <i>Antidorcas</i> ssp., <i>Antilope</i> ssp., <i>Bison</i> ssp., <i>Bos</i> ssp. (including <i>Bibos</i> , <i>Novibos</i> , <i>Poephagus</i>), <i>Boselaphus</i> ssp., <i>Bubalus</i> ssp. (including <i>anoa</i>), <i>Budorcas</i> ssp., <i>Capra</i> ssp., <i>Cephalophus</i> ssp., <i>Connochaetes</i> ssp., <i>Damaliscus</i> ssp. (including <i>Beatragus</i>), <i>Dorcatragus</i> ssp., <i>Gazella</i> ssp., <i>Hemitragus</i> ssp., <i>Hippotragus</i> ssp., <i>Kobus</i> ssp., <i>Litocranius</i> ssp., <i>Madoqua</i> ssp., <i>Naemohedus</i> ssp. (including <i>Nemorhaedus</i> and <i>Capricornis</i>), <i>Neotragus</i> ssp., <i>Oreamnos</i> ssp., <i>Oreotragus</i> ssp., <i>Oryx</i> ssp., <i>Ourebia</i> ssp., <i>Ovibos</i> ssp., <i>Ovis</i> ssp., <i>Patholops</i> ssp., <i>Pelea</i> ssp., <i>Procapra</i> ssp., <i>Pseudois</i> ssp., <i>Pseudoryx</i> ssp., <i>Raphicerus</i> ssp., <i>Redunca</i> ssp., <i>Rupicapra</i> ssp., <i>Saiga</i> ssp., <i>Sigmoceros-Alecelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Sylvicapra</i> ssp., <i>Syncerus</i> ssp., <i>Taurotragus</i> ssp., <i>Tetracerus</i> ssp., <i>Tragelaphus</i> ssp. (including <i>Boocerus</i>).
	Camelidae	<i>Camelus</i> ssp., <i>Lama</i> ssp., <i>Vicugna</i> ssp.
	Cervidae	<i>Alces</i> ssp., <i>Axis-Hyelaphus</i> ssp., <i>Blastoceros</i> ssp., <i>Capreolus</i> ssp., <i>Cervus-Rucervus</i> ssp., <i>Dama</i> ssp., <i>Elaphurus</i> ssp., <i>Hippocamelus</i> ssp., <i>Hydropotes</i> ssp., <i>Mazama</i> ssp., <i>Megamuntiacus</i> ssp., <i>Muntiacus</i> ssp., <i>Odocoileus</i> ssp., <i>Ozotoceros</i> ssp., <i>Pudu</i> ssp., <i>Rangifer</i> ssp.
	Giraffidae	<i>Giraffa</i> ssp., <i>Okapia</i> ssp.
	Moschidae	<i>Moschus</i> ssp.
	Tragulidae	<i>Hyemoschus</i> ssp., <i>Tragulus-Moschiola</i> ssp.

Part II:

(¹) Keep as appropriate.

(²) This attestation is only applicable to *Bovidae* and *Cervidae*.

(³) This attestation is only applicable to *Bovidae* and *Cervidae* other than African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*).

(⁴) This attestation is only applicable to African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*).

(⁵) Vaccination is not compulsory, but if the animals have been vaccinated, information on the vaccine(s) used and the time of vaccination shall be filled in.

(⁶) Date of loading. Imports of these animals shall not be allowed when the animals were loaded either prior to the date of authorisation for exportation to the Union of the third country, territory or part thereof described in Boxes I.7. and I.8., or during a period where restrictive measures have been adopted by the Union against imports of these animals from that country, territory or part thereof.

COUNTRY**Model RUM-A**

II. Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
Official veterinarian		
Name (in capital letters):		Qualification and title:
Date:		Signature:
Stamp:		

Model SUI-A

COUNTRY

Veterinary certificate to EU

Part I: Details of dispatched consignment	I.1. Consignor Name Address Tel.				I.2. Certificate reference No		I.2.a.	
					I.3. Central competent authority			
					I.4. Local competent authority			
	I.5. Consignee Name Address Postal code Tel.				I.6.			
	I.7. Country of origin		ISO code					
	I.9. Country of destination		ISO code		I.10. Region of destination		Code	
	I.11. Place of origin Name Address Approval number				I.12.			
	I.13. Place of loading Address Approval number							
	I.15. Means of transport Aeroplane <input type="checkbox"/> Ship <input type="checkbox"/> Railway wagon <input type="checkbox"/> Road vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Identification Documentary references				I.16. Entry BIP in EU			
	I.18. Description of commodity				I.19. Commodity code (HS code) 01.06.19			
I.20. Quantity								
I.21.				I.22. Number of packages				
I.23. Seal/Container No				I.24.				
I.25. Commodities certified for: Approved body <input type="checkbox"/>								
I.26.				I.27. For import or admission into EU <input type="checkbox"/>				
I.28. Identification of the commodities Species (scientific name) Identification system Identification number Age Sex								

COUNTRY

Model SUI-A

Part II: Certification

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
II.1.	<p>Animal health attestation</p> <p>I, the undersigned official veterinarian responsible for the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ of origin certify that the animals described in Part I meet the following requirements:</p> <p>II.1.1. They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7.</p> <p>(a) where the diseases referred to in this certificate are notifiable,</p> <p>(b) which at the date of issuing this certificate has been free for the past 12 months from rinderpest.</p> <p>II.1.2. They come from the body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ described in Box I.11.</p> <p>(a) which is approved according to the requirements and conditions set out in Part 3 and 4 of Annex VI to Regulation (EU) No 206/2010;</p> <p>(b) which is not subjected to any restrictions relating to a national programme for the control of infectious diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible;</p> <p>(c) where there have been no clinical cases of the following diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible:</p> <p>— anthrax for the last 30 days;</p> <p>— foot-and-mouth disease, vesicular stomatitis, rabies, African swine fever, classical swine fever and swine vesicular disease for the past 6 months;</p> <p>(d) where there have been no clinical or non-clinical cases of tuberculosis and brucellosis for the past 6 months;</p> <p>(e) around which in an area of radius of 10 km for the last 12 months, there has been no case/outbreak of African swine fever, classical swine fever and swine vesicular disease;</p> <p>(f) around which in an area of 10 km radius for the past 30 days, there has been no case/outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease or vesicular stomatitis,</p> <p>(g) in which they have remained since birth or for the past 6 months before dispatch to the Union.</p> <p>II.1.3. They:</p> <p>(a) have not come into contact with other animals not complying with at least the same health requirements as described in this certificate since birth or for the last 30 days and during their transportation from the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ to the place of shipment;</p> <p>(b) were examined by an official veterinarian within 24 hours of loading and showed no clinical sign of disease and are fit for the intended transport;</p> <p>(c) are not animals to be killed under a national programme for the eradication of diseases.</p> <p>II.1.4. Foot-and-Mouth Disease</p> <p><i>either</i> ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7. which at the date of issuing this certificate has been free for the past 12 months from foot-and-mouth disease and;]</p> <p><i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They have been subjected to a virological and serological test for evidence of foot-and-mouth disease virus infection carried out in accordance with one of the prescribed tests for international trade laid down in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (OIE Terrestrial Manual), with negative results, taken in the 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union; and]</p> <p>(b) they have not been vaccinated against foot-and-mouth disease.</p> <p>II.1.5. Brucellosis</p> <p>⁽¹⁾ <i>either</i> [They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from brucellosis and have not been vaccinated against that disease]</p> <p>⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ <i>or</i> [They have been subjected, with negative results, to a buffered <i>Brucella</i> antigen test for porcine brucellosis taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union.]</p>		

COUNTRY

Model SUI-A

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
II.1.6.	Swine vesicular disease		
(¹) <i>either</i>	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in box 1.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from swine vesicular disease.]		
(¹) <i>or</i>	[They have been subjected, with negative results, to a virology and serology test for evidence of swine vesicular disease, as laid down and prescribed for international trade by the OIE Terrestrial Manual, taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union.]		
II.1.7.	Vesicular Stomatitis		
(¹) <i>either</i>	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box 1.7 which has been free for the last 6 months from vesicular stomatitis.]		
(¹) <i>or</i>	[They have been subjected, with negative results, to a virology and serology test for evidence of vesicular stomatitis, as laid down and prescribed for international trade by the OIE Terrestrial Manual, taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union.]		
II.1.8.	Classical swine fever		
(¹) <i>either</i>	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box 1.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from classical swine fever.]		
(¹) <i>or</i>	[They have been subjected to a virological and serological test for classical swine fever carried out in accordance with one of the prescribed tests for international trade laid down in the OIE Terrestrial Manual, with negative results, taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union.]		
II.1.9.	African swine fever		
(¹) <i>either</i>	[They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box 1.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from African swine fever.]		
(¹) <i>or</i>	[They have been subjected, with negative results, to a virus and serology test for African swine fever, as laid down and prescribed for international trade in the OIE Terrestrial Manual, taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union.]		
II.1.10.	Aujeszky's disease		
	According to official information, no clinical, pathological or serological evidence of Aujeszky's disease has been recorded for the last 12 months in the approved body, institute or centre/holding (¹) and in an area with a 5 km radius around the approved body, centre or institute, and		
	They have been subjected, with negative results, to a virology and serology test for evidence of Aujeszky's disease, as laid down and prescribed for international trade by the OIE Terrestrial Manual, taken in the 30 days prior to dispatch to the Union, and		
	They have not been vaccinated against Aujeszky's disease and have not been in contact with vaccinated animals.		
II.1.11.	Other vaccinations		
	(a) They have not been vaccinated against rinderpest, vesicular stomatitis, classical swine fever or swine vesicular disease,		
	(²)(b) They have been vaccinated against:		
	(¹) [anthrax on the (dd/mm/yyyy) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine (s) used)],		
	(¹) [rabies on the (dd/mm/yyyy) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine (s) used)].		
II.1.12.	Parasite treatment		
	They have been treated at least twice in the 40 days prior to dispatch to the Union against internal and external parasites with the following product(s) Specify the active ingredients and the doses of the products used		

COUNTRY

Model SUI-A

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
-----	--------------------	------------------------------------	-------

II.1.13. Loading on the means of transport

They have been loaded for dispatch to the Union on(dd/mm/yyyy) ⁽⁴⁾ in the means of transport described in Box I.15. that were cleaned and disinfected before loading with an officially authorised disinfectant and so constructed that faeces, urine, litter or fodder could not flow or fall out of the vehicle or container during transportation.

Notes

This certificate is meant for animals of species listed in the note for Box I. 28. coming from an approved body, institute or centre in a third country, territory or part thereof, and destined to an approved body, institute or centre located within a Member State.

Part I:

— Box reference I.15.: Registration number (railway wagons or container and lorries), flight number (aircraft) or name (ship) is to be provided. In case of unloading and reloading, the consignor shall inform the BIP of entry into the EU.

— Box reference I.28.: *Identification system*: Specify the identification system (tag, tattoos, brand, chip, transponder). The identifier shall include the ISO code of the exporting country and permit tracing of their premises of origin.

Age: months.

Sex (M = male, F = female, C = castrated).

Species Select the species amongst those listed below:

Order	Family	Genera/species
Artiodactyla	Suidae	<i>Babyrusa</i> ssp., <i>Hylochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Phacochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Potamochoerus</i> ssp., <i>Sus</i> ssp.
	Tayassuidae	<i>Catagonus</i> ssp., <i>Pecari-Tayassu</i> ssp.
	Hippopotamidae	<i>Hexaprotodon-Choeropsis</i> , <i>Hippopotamus</i> ssp.

Part II:

(¹) Keep as appropriate.

(²) Vaccination is not compulsory, but if the animals have been vaccinated, information on the vaccine(s) used and the time of vaccination must be filled in.

(³) Tests carried out in accordance with the protocols that, for the disease concerned, are described in Part 6 of Annex I to Regulation (EU) No 206/2010.

(⁴) Date of loading. Imports of these animals shall not be allowed when the animals were loaded either prior to the date of authorisation for exportation to the Union of the country, territory or part thereof described in Boxes I.7. and I.8., or during a period where restrictive measures have been adopted by the Union against imports of these animals from that country, territory or part thereof.

Official veterinarian

Name (in capital letters):	Qualification and title:
Date:	Signature:
Stamp:	

Model TRE-A

COUNTRY

Veterinary certificate to EU

Part I : Details of dispatched consignment	I.1. Consignor Name Address Tel.				I.2. Certificate reference No		I.2.a.	
					I.3. Central competent authority			
					I.4. Local competent authority			
	I.5. Consignee Name Address Postal code Tel.				I.6.			
	I.7. Country of origin		ISO code					
	I.9. Country of destination		ISO code		I.10. Region of destination		Code	
	I.11. Place of origin Name Address Approval number				I.12.			
	I.13. Place of loading Address Approval number							
	I.15. Means of transport Aeroplane <input type="checkbox"/> Ship <input type="checkbox"/> Railway wagon <input type="checkbox"/> Road vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> Identification Documentary references				I.16. Entry BIP in EU			
	I.18. Description of commodity				I.19. Commodity code (HS code) 01.06.19			
I.20. Quantity								
I.21.				I.22. Number of packages				
I.23. Seal/Container No				I.24.				
I.25. Commodities certified for: Approved body <input type="checkbox"/>								
I.26.				I.27. For import or admission into EU <input type="checkbox"/>				
I.28. Identification of the commodities Species (scientific name) Identification system Identification number Age Sex								

COUNTRY

Model TRE-A

Part II: Certification

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
-----	--------------------	------------------------------------	-------

II.1.	<p>Animal health attestation</p> <p>I, the undersigned official veterinarian responsible for the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ of origin certify that the animals described in Part I meet the following requirements:</p> <p>II.1.1. They come from the third country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7.</p> <p>(a) where the diseases referred to in this certificate are notifiable,</p> <p>(b) which at the date of issuing this certificate has been free for the past 12 months from rinderpest.</p> <p>II.1.2. They come from the body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ described in Box I.11.,</p> <p>(a) which is approved according to the requirements and conditions set out in Part 3 and 4 of Annex VI to Regulation (EU) No 206/2010;</p> <p>(b) which is not subjected to any restrictions relating to a national programme for the control of infectious diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible;</p> <p>(c) where there have been no clinical cases of the following diseases to which the animals referred to in Box I.28. are susceptible:</p> <p>— anthrax for the last 30 days;</p> <p>— foot-and-mouth disease, rabies, ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ [African horse sickness] for the past 6 months,</p> <p>(d) where there have been no clinical or non-clinical cases of tuberculosis for the past 6 months;</p> <p>(e) around which in an area of 10 km radius for the last 30 days, there has been no case/outbreak of foot-and-mouth disease,</p> <p>(f) in which they have remained since birth or for the past 6 months before dispatch to the Union,</p> <p>⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ [(g) around which in an area of radius of 150 km for the last 60 days, there has been no case/outbreak of African horse sickness].</p> <p>II.1.3. They:</p> <p>(a) have not come into contact with other animals not complying with at least the same health requirements as described in this certificate since birth or for the past 30 days and during their transportation from the approved body, institute or centre/holding ⁽¹⁾ to the place of shipment;</p> <p>(b) were examined by an official veterinarian within 24 hours of loading and showed no clinical sign of disease and are fit for the intended transport;</p> <p>(c) are not animals to be killed under a national programme for the eradication of diseases.</p> <p>⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾ II.1.4. Foot-and-Mouth Disease</p> <p><i>either</i> ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They come from the country, territory or part thereof described in Box I.7 which has been free for the past 12 months from foot-and-mouth disease with or without vaccination, and]</p> <p><i>or</i> ⁽¹⁾ [(a) They have been subjected to the following tests:</p> <p>— a serological test for evidence of foot-and-mouth disease virus infection carried out in accordance with one of the prescribed tests for international trade laid down in the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals (OIE Terrestrial Manual), with negative results, taken in the 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union, and</p> <p>— [a probang test for evidence of foot-and-mouth disease virus infection carried out in accordance with the procedures described in the OIE Terrestrial Manual with negative results, taken 10 days prior to dispatch to the Union and]</p> <p>(b) have not been vaccinated against foot-and-mouth disease.</p> <p>II.1.5. Other vaccinations</p> <p>(a) They have not been vaccinated against rinderpest,</p>
-------	--

COUNTRY

Model TRE-A

II.	Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
-----	--------------------	------------------------------------	-------

(⁴) (b) They have been vaccinated against:

(¹) [anthrax on the (dd/mm/yyyy)(date(s)) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine(s) used)],

(¹) [rabies on the (dd/mm/yyyy)(date(s)) with the following vaccine(s) (name of vaccine (s) used)].

II.1.6. Parasite treatment

They have been treated at least twice in the 40 days prior to dispatch to the Union against internal and external parasites with the following product(s) Specify the active ingredients and the doses of the products used

II.1.7. Loading on the means of transport

They have been loaded for dispatch to the Union on (dd/mm/yyyy) (⁵) in the means of transport described in Box I.15 that were cleaned and disinfected before loading with an officially authorised disinfectant and so constructed that faeces, urine, litter or fodder could not flow or fall out of the vehicle or container during transportation.

Notes

This certificate is meant for live animals as listed in the note for Box I.28. coming from an approved body, institute or centre in a third country, territory or part thereof, and destined for an approved body, institute or centre located within a Member State. Use one certificate per species.

Part I:

— Box reference I.15.: Registration number (railway wagons or container and lorries), flight number (aircraft) or name (ship) is to be provided. In case of unloading and reloading, the consignor shall inform the BIP of entry into the EU.

— Box reference I.28.: *Identification system*: Specify the identification system (tag, tattoos, brand, chip, transponder). The identifier shall include the ISO code of the exporting country and permit tracing of their premises of origin.

Age: months.

Sex (M = male, F = female, C = castrated).

Species: Select the species amongst those listed below:

Order	Family	Genera/species
Perissodactyla	Tapiridae	<i>Tapirus</i> ssp.
	Rhinocerotidae	<i>Ceratotherium</i> ssp., <i>Dicerorhinus</i> ssp., <i>Diceros</i> ssp., <i>Rhinoceros</i> ssp
Proboscidea	Elephantidae	<i>Elephas</i> ssp., <i>Loxodonta</i> ssp.

Part II:

(¹) Keep as appropriate.

(²) This attestation is only applicable to *Rhinocerotidae*.

(³) This attestation is only applicable to *Elephas*. ssp.

(⁴) Vaccination is not compulsory, but if the animals have been vaccinated, information on the vaccine(s) used and the time of vaccination must be filled in.

(⁵) Date of loading. Imports of these animals shall not be allowed when the animals were loaded either prior to the date of authorisation for exportation to the Union of the third country, territory or part thereof described in Boxes I.7. and I.8., or during a period where restrictive measures have been adopted by the Union against imports of these animals from that third country, territory or part thereof.

COUNTRY		Model TRE-A
II. Health information	II.a. Certificate reference number	II.b.
Official veterinarian <div> <div>Name (in capital letters):</div> <div>Qualification and title:</div> <div>Date:</div> <div>Signature:</div> <div>Stamp:</div> </div>		

PART 3

Requirements concerning bodies, institutes or centres in third countries

The body, institute or centre in a third country must:

- (a) be clearly demarcated and separated from its surroundings;
- (b) have adequate means for catching, confining and isolating animals, and have available adequate quarantine facilities and approved standard operating procedures for animals coming from unknown origin;
- (c) have a vector-protected structure complying with the following requirements:
 - (i) it has appropriate physical barriers at entry and exit points;
 - (ii) the openings of the vector-protected structure are vector-screened with mesh of appropriate gauge impregnated regularly with an approved insecticide according to the instructions of the manufacturer;
 - (iii) vector surveillance and control are carried out within and around the vector-protected structure;
 - (iv) measures are taken to limit or eliminate breeding sites for vectors in the vicinity of the vector-protected structure;
 - (v) standard operating procedures are in place, including descriptions of back-up and alarm systems, for the operation of the vector-protected structure and for the transport of the animals from that structure to the place of loading;
- (d) keep, for a minimum period of ten years, up-to-date records indicating:
 - (i) the number and identity (age, sex, species and individual identification, where appropriate) of the animals of each species present on their premises;
 - (ii) the number and identity (age, sex, species and individual identification where appropriate) of animals arriving in or leaving their premises, together with information on their origin or destination, the means of transport, and the health status of those animals;
 - (iii) the results of blood tests or any other diagnostic procedures carried out on the animals on their premises;
 - (iv) cases of disease and, where appropriate, the treatment administered;
 - (v) the results of the post-mortem examinations on animals that have died on their premises, including still-born animals;
 - (vi) observations made during any isolation or quarantine period;
- (e) be free from the diseases listed in Annex A to Directive 92/65/EEC or mentioned in the veterinary certificates for the relevant species set out in Part 2 of Annex VI to this Regulation, for at least the previous three years, as evidenced by the records kept pursuant to point (d) and the results of the clinical and laboratory tests carried out on the animals on their premises;
- (f) either have an arrangement with a laboratory approved by *the competent authority* to perform post-mortem examinations, or have one or more appropriate premises where these examinations may be performed under the authority of the approved veterinarian;
- (g) ensure disposal of the carcasses of animals which die of a disease or are euthanised;
- (h) secure, by contract or legal instrument, the services of a veterinarian approved by and acting under the control of the competent authority, who must perform at least the following tasks:

- (i) ensure that appropriate disease surveillance and control measures are applied in that body, institute or centre. Such measures must be approved by the competent authority of the third country, territory or part thereof where the body, institute or centre is situated, taking into account the disease situation and must include at least the following elements:
 - an annual disease surveillance plan including appropriate control measures concerning zoonoses in the animals present on the premises,
 - clinical, laboratory and post-mortem testing of animals suspected to be affected by transmissible diseases and zoonoses,
 - vaccination of susceptible animals against infectious diseases and zoonoses;
- (ii) ensure that any suspect deaths or the presence of any other symptom suggesting that animals have contracted one or more of the diseases listed in Annex A to Directive 92/65/EEC or mentioned in the veterinary certificates for the relevant species set out in Part 2 of Annex VI to this Regulation are notified without delay to the competent authority, where that particular disease is notifiable in the third country, territory or part thereof concerned;
- (iii) ensure that incoming animals have been quarantined as necessary, in accordance with the instructions given by the competent authority;
- (iv) ensure compliance with the animal health requirements which the animals must fulfil in order to be introduced into the Union.

PART 4

Conditions concerning the approval of bodies, institutes or centres in third countries

1. Approval must be granted only to those bodies, institutes or centres which comply with the requirements set out in Part 3.
2. Where vector protection is required, the approval of a structure as vector-protected must be granted only if the criteria in point (c) of Part 3 are met. In order to grant the approval, the competent authority must verify at least three times during the required protection period (at the beginning, during and at the end of the period) the effectiveness of the vector protection measures, by means of a vector trap inside the vector protected structure.
3. Each approved body, institute and centre must be assigned an approval number.
4. Approval must be maintained only as long as the following conditions continue to be met:
 - the premises are under the control of an official veterinarian, who must perform at least the following tasks:
 - (i) inspect the premises of the body, institute or centre at least once per year;
 - (ii) audit the activity of the veterinarian referred to in point (h) of Part 3 and the implementation of the annual disease surveillance plan referred to in the first indent of point (h)(i);
 - (iii) ensure that the provisions laid down in Parts 3 and 4 are met;
 - (iv) verify that:
 - compliance with the animal health requirements which the animals must fulfil in order to be introduced into the Union;
 - the results of the clinical, post-mortem and laboratory tests on the animals have revealed no occurrence of the diseases listed in Annex A to Directive 92/65/EEC or mentioned in the veterinary certificates for the relevant species set out in Part 2 of Annex VI to this Regulation.
5. The approval must be withdrawn where the competent authority finds that the requirements of Part 3 are no longer being fulfilled.
6. Where notification is given of the suspicion of the occurrence of one of the diseases listed in Annex A to Directive 92/65/EEC or mentioned in the veterinary certificates for the relevant species laid down in Part 2 of Annex VI to this Regulation, the competent authority must suspend the approval of the body, institute or centre, until the suspicion has been officially ruled out. Depending on the disease involved and the risk of disease transmission, the suspension may relate to the the body, institute or centre as a whole or only to certain categories of animals susceptible to the disease in question. The competent authority must ensure that the measures necessary to confirm or rule out the suspicion and to avoid any spread of disease are taken.
7. Where the suspected disease referred to in point 6 is confirmed, the approval of the body, institute or centre must be withdrawn.

-
8. Where the approval of a body, institute or centre has been withdrawn, it must be restored only where the following conditions are complied with:
 - (a) the disease and the source of infection were eradicated on the premises of the body, institute or centre concerned;
 - (b) the premises of the body, institute or centre concerned were appropriately cleaned and disinfected;
 - (c) the body, institute or centre concerned complies with the requirements set out in points (a) to (d) and (f) to (h) of Part 3.
 9. The competent authority which approved the body, institute or centre must inform the Member States that included the body, institute or centre on their lists of approved bodies, institutes and centres of the suspension, withdrawal or restoration of that approval.'
-

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 781/2013

of 14 August 2013

amending Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, as regards the conditions of approval of the active substance fipronil, and prohibiting the use and sale of seeds treated with plant protection products containing this active substance

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC⁽¹⁾, and in particular the first alternative of Article 21(3), Article 49(2) and Article 78(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) The active substance fipronil was included in Annex I to Council Directive 91/414/EEC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market⁽²⁾ by Commission Directive 2007/52/EC⁽³⁾.
- (2) Commission Directive 2010/21/EU⁽⁴⁾ amended Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC as regards the specific provisions relating to fipronil.
- (3) Active substances included in Annex I to Directive 91/414/EEC are deemed to be approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 and are listed in Part A of the Annex to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 of 25 May 2011 implementing Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the list of approved active substances⁽⁵⁾.
- (4) Based on new information received from Italy concerning risks to honeybees caused by coated maize seeds treated with plant protection products containing fipronil, the Commission decided to review the approval of that active substance. The Commission, in accordance with Article 21(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, asked the European Food Safety Authority, hereinafter 'the Authority', for scientific and technical assistance to assess this new information and to review the risk assessment of fipronil as regards its impact on bees.
- (5) The Authority presented its conclusion on the risk assessment of fipronil as regards bees on 27 May 2013⁽⁶⁾.
- (6) The Authority identified for the use as seed treatment in maize, high acute risks for bees from plant protection products containing the active substance fipronil. The Authority identified, in particular, a high acute risk for bees resulting from dust. In addition, unacceptable risks due to acute or chronic effects on colony survival and development could not be excluded for several crops. Furthermore, the Authority identified some missing information for each of the evaluated uses, in particular as regards long term risk to honeybees from dust exposure, from potential exposure to residues in pollen and nectar, from potential exposure to guttation fluid and from exposure to residues in succeeding crops, weeds and soil.
- (7) In the light of the new scientific and technical knowledge, the Commission considered that there are indications that some approved uses of fipronil do not satisfy the approval criteria provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 with respect to the impact on bees and that the high risk for bees could not be excluded except by imposing further restrictions.
- (8) The Commission invited the notifier to submit comments.
- (9) The conclusion of the Authority was reviewed by the Member States and the Commission within the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health and finalised on 16 July 2013 in the format of an addendum to the review report for fipronil.
- (10) The Commission has come to the conclusion that a high risk for bees cannot be excluded except by imposing further restrictions.
- (11) It is confirmed that the active substance fipronil is deemed to have been approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009. In order to minimise the exposure of bees, it is, however, appropriate to restrict the use of

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 309, 24.11.2009, p. 1.⁽²⁾ OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1.⁽³⁾ OJ L 214, 17.8.2007, p. 3.⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 65, 13.3.2010, p. 27.⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 153, 11.6.2011, p. 1.⁽⁶⁾ European Food Safety Authority; Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment for bees for the active substance fipronil. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(5):3158. [51 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3158. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

plant protection products containing fipronil and to provide for specific risk mitigation measures for the protection of bees. In particular the use of plant protection products containing fipronil should be limited to the treatment of seeds intended to be sown in greenhouses and to the treatment of seeds of leek, onions, shallots and the group of *Brassica* vegetables intended to be sown in fields and harvested before flowering. Crops which are harvested before flowering are not considered attractive to bees.

(12) Concerning applications of fipronil which may be authorised under Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011, it is appropriate to require further confirmatory information.

(13) Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 should therefore be amended accordingly.

(14) Risks for bees from treated seeds have been identified in particular from exposure via dust as regards the use in maize. Taking into consideration the risks linked with the use of treated seeds, the use and the placing on the market of seeds treated with plant protection products containing fipronil should be prohibited except for seeds sown in greenhouses and for treatment of seeds of leek, onions, shallots and the group of *Brassica* vegetables sown in fields and harvested before flowering. Pending submission of missing information regarding the use of treated sunflower seeds, it is appropriate to apply similar measures as for maize.

(15) Member States should be provided with time to withdraw authorisations for plant protection products containing fipronil.

(16) For plant protection products containing fipronil, where Member States grant any period of grace in accordance with Article 46 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, this period should expire on 28 February 2014 at the latest. Accordingly, the prohibition of placing on the market of treated seeds should apply as of 1 March 2014 in order to allow for a period of transition.

(17) Within two years from the date of entry into force of the present Regulation the Commission will initiate a review of the new scientific information, including new studies, information on new product formulations provided by the applicants, which it has received.

(18) Article 36(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 provides that Member States may, under certain circumstances, impose further risk mitigation measures or restrictions to the placing on the market or use of the plant protection products containing fipronil. Concerning the placing on the market and use of the seeds treated with plant protection products containing fipronil, Regulation

(EC) No 1107/2009 provides for the possibility of Member States to take emergency measures pursuant to Article 71 thereof.

(19) Seeds treated with plant protection products containing fipronil, which are subject to the restrictions referred to in Article 1 of this Regulation, may be used for experiments or tests for research or development purposes pursuant to Article 54 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009.

(20) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Amendment to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

The Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 is amended in accordance with the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2

Prohibition of placing on the market of treated seeds

Seeds of crops which have been treated with plant protection products containing fipronil shall not be used or placed on the market with the exception of seeds intended to be sown in greenhouses and seeds of leek, onions, shallots, and the group of *Brassica* vegetables intended to be sown in fields and harvested before flowering.

Article 3

Transitional measures

Member States shall, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, where necessary amend or withdraw existing authorisations for plant protection products containing fipronil as active substance by 31 December 2013.

Article 4

Period of grace

Any period of grace granted by Member States in accordance with Article 46 of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 shall be as short as possible and shall expire on 28 February 2014 at the latest.

Article 5

Entry into force

This Regulation shall enter into force and apply from the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

However, Article 2 shall apply from 1 March 2014.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 August 2013.

For the Commission

The President

José Manuel BARROSO

ANNEX

Amendments to the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011

The column 'Specific provisions' of row 157, fipronil, of Part A of the Annex to Implementing Regulation (EU) No 540/2011 is replaced by the following:

PART A

Only uses as insecticide for use as seed treatment may be authorised. Uses shall only be authorised for seeds intended to be sown in greenhouses and seeds of leek, onions, shallots and the group of *Brassica* vegetables intended to be sown in fields and harvested before flowering.

PART B

For the implementation of the uniform principles as referred to in Article 29(6) of Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009, the conclusions of the review report on fipronil, and in particular Appendices I and II thereof, as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 15 March 2007 and the conclusions of the addendum of the review report on fipronil as finalised in the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 16 July 2013 shall be taken into account.

In this overall assessment Member States shall pay particular attention to:

- (a) the packaging of the marketed products to avoid the generation of photodegradation products of concern;
- (b) the potential for groundwater contamination, especially from metabolites which are more persistent than the parent compound, when the active substance is applied in regions with vulnerable soil and/or climatic conditions;
- (c) the protection of granivorous birds and mammals, aquatic organisms, non-target arthropods and honey bees.

Member States shall also ensure that:

- (a) the seed coating shall only be performed in professional seed treatment facilities; those facilities shall apply the best available techniques in order to ensure that the release of dust during application to the seed, storage, and transport can be minimised;
- (b) adequate seed drilling equipment shall be used to ensure a high degree of incorporation in soil, minimisation of spillage and minimisation of dust emission;
- (c) the label of the treated seeds shall include the indication that the seeds were treated with fipronil and sets out the risk mitigation measures provided for in the authorisation;
- (d) monitoring programmes shall be initiated to verify the real exposure of bees to fipronil in areas extensively used by bees for foraging or by beekeepers, where and as appropriate.

Conditions of use shall include risk mitigation measures, where appropriate.

The notifier shall submit confirmatory information as regards:

- (a) the risk to pollinators other than honey bees;
- (b) the acute and long-term risk to colony survival and development, and the risk to bee brood from plant and soil metabolites, except the soil photolysis metabolites;
- (c) the potential exposure to dust drift emitted during the drilling procedure and the acute and long-term risk to colony survival and development, and the risk to bee brood for situations where bees forage on vegetation exposed to dust drift;
- (d) the acute and long-term risk to colony survival and development, and the risk to bee brood from foraging on insect honeydew;
- (e) the potential exposure to guttation fluid and the acute and long-term risk to colony survival and development, and the risk to bee brood;
- (f) the potential exposure to residues in nectar and pollen, honeydew and guttation fluid of succeeding crops or weeds occurring in fields, including the persistent soil metabolites (RPA 200766, MB 46136 and MB 45950).

The notifier shall submit that information to the Commission, the Member States and the Authority by 30 March 2015.'

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 782/2013**of 14 August 2013****amending Annex III to Regulation (EU) No 66/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council
on the EU Ecolabel****(Text with EEA relevance)**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 66/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 on the EU Ecolabel ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 15 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) In order to increase the use of the EU Ecolabel and to encourage those whose products meet the EU Ecolabel criteria, the costs of using the EU Ecolabel should be as low as possible but still sufficient to cover the costs for running the EU Ecolabel scheme.
- (2) Regulation (EC) No 66/2010 provides the possibility of increasing the maximum fees where necessary and appropriate.

(3) The competent bodies carried out an internal evaluation in order to assess if the current level of fees is sufficient to cover all tasks they are asked to carry out for running the EU Ecolabel scheme.

(4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee set up by Article 16 of Regulation (EC) No 66/2010,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Annex III to Regulation (EC) No 66/2010 is replaced by the text set out in the Annex to this Regulation.

*Article 2*This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 August 2013.

*For the Commission**The President*

José Manuel BARROSO

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 27, 30.1.2010, p. 1.

ANNEX

'ANNEX III

1. Application fee

The competent body to which an application is made shall charge a fee for processing the application. This fee shall be no lower than EUR 200 and no higher than EUR 2 000.

In the case of small and medium enterprises ⁽¹⁾ and operators in developing countries, the maximum application fee shall be no higher than EUR 600.

In the case of micro-enterprises ⁽²⁾ the maximum application fee shall be EUR 350.

The application fee shall be reduced by 30 % for applicants registered under the EU eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS) or by 15 % certified under the standard ISO 14001. Reductions are not cumulative. Where both systems are met, only the higher reduction shall apply.

The reduction is subject to the condition that the applicant explicitly commits to ensuring full compliance of its ecolabelled products with the relevant EU Ecolabel criteria throughout the period of validity of the contract and that this commitment is appropriately incorporated into its environmental policy and detailed environmental objectives.

Competent bodies may charge a fee for modification or extension of a licence. The fee for this shall not be higher than the application fee and the above reductions shall also apply.

The application fee does not cover the cost of testing and verification by third parties and any on-site inspections that may be required by a third party or competent body. Applicants will meet the cost of such testing, verifications and inspections themselves.

2. Annual fee

The competent body may require each applicant who has been awarded an EU Ecolabel to pay an annual fee. This can be a flat fee or a fee based on the annual value of sales within the Union of the product awarded the EU Ecolabel.

The period covered by the fee will begin from the date of the award of the EU Ecolabel to the applicant.

Where the fee is calculated as a percentage of the annual sales value it shall not be more than 0,15 % of that value. The fee shall be based on ex-factory prices where the product that has been awarded the EU Ecolabel is a good. The fee shall be based on delivery price where it is related to services.

The maximum annual fee shall be EUR 25 000 per product group per applicant.

In the case of SMEs, micro-enterprises or applicants from developing countries, the annual fee shall be reduced by at least 25 %.

The annual fee does not cover the cost of testing, verification and any on-site inspections that may be required. Applicants will cover the cost of such testing, verification and inspections themselves.

3. Inspection fee

The competent body may charge an inspection fee.

⁽¹⁾ Small and medium enterprises as defined by Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC (OJ L 124, 20.5.2003, p. 36).

⁽²⁾ Micro-enterprises as defined by Recommendation 2003/361/EC.'

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 783/2013**of 14 August 2013****establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 of 7 June 2011 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 in respect of the fruit and vegetables and processed fruit and vegetables sectors ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 136(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multi-lateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the

Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in Annex XVI, Part A thereto.

- (2) The standard import value is calculated each working day, in accordance with Article 136(1) of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011, taking into account variable daily data. Therefore this Regulation should enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The standard import values referred to in Article 136 of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 are fixed in the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 August 2013.

*For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,*

*Jerzy PLEWA
Director-General for Agriculture and
Rural Development*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 299, 16.11.2007, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 157, 15.6.2011, p. 1.

ANNEX

Standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

(EUR/100 kg)		
Code NC	Code des pays tiers ⁽¹⁾	Valeur forfaitaire à l'importation
0709 93 10	TR	148,4
	ZZ	148,4
0805 50 10	AR	99,2
	CL	101,4
	TR	70,0
	UY	115,6
	ZA	103,6
	ZZ	98,0
0806 10 10	EG	186,1
	MA	161,7
	MX	264,4
	TR	155,7
0808 10 80	ZZ	192,0
	AR	199,4
	BR	106,7
	CL	139,8
	CN	74,9
	NZ	129,5
	US	164,7
	ZA	114,8
0808 30 90	ZZ	132,8
	AR	183,4
	CL	146,4
	TR	152,4
	ZA	102,7
0809 30	ZZ	146,2
	TR	148,5
0809 40 05	ZZ	148,5
	BA	44,8
	MK	61,9
	TR	92,4
	ZZ	66,4

⁽¹⁾ Nomenclature of countries laid down by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1833/2006 (OJ L 354, 14.12.2006, p. 19). Code 'ZZ' stands for 'of other origin'.

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 784/2013
of 14 August 2013
fixing the import duties in the cereals sector applicable from 16 August 2013

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EU) No 642/2010 of 20 July 2010 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 in respect of import duties in the cereals sector ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 2(1) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Article 136(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 states that the import duty on products covered by CN codes 1001 19 00, 1001 11 00, ex 1001 91 20 (common wheat seed), ex 1001 99 00 (high quality common wheat other than for sowing), 1002 10 00, 1002 90 00, 1005 10 90, 1005 90 00, 1007 10 90 and 1007 90 00 is to be equal to the intervention price valid for such products on importation and increased by 55 %, minus the cif import price applicable to the consignment in question. However, that duty may not exceed the rate of duty in the Common Customs Tariff.

(2) Article 136(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 lays down that, in order to calculate the import duty

referred to in paragraph 1 of that Article, representative cif import prices are to be established on a regular basis for the products in question.

(3) Under Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010, the price to be used for the calculation of the import duty on products covered by CN codes 1001 19 00, 1001 11 00, ex 1001 91 20 (common wheat seed), ex 1001 99 00 (high quality common wheat other than for sowing), 1002 10 00, 1002 90 00, 1005 10 90, 1005 90 00, 1007 10 90 and 1007 90 00 is the daily cif representative import price determined as specified in Article 5 of that Regulation.

(4) Import duties should be fixed for the period from 16 August 2013 and should apply until new import duties are fixed and enter into force.

(5) Given the need to ensure that this measure applies as soon as possible after the updated data have been made available, this Regulation should enter into force on the day of its publication,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

From 16 August 2013, the import duties in the cereals sector referred to in Article 136(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 shall be those fixed in Annex I to this Regulation on the basis of the information contained in Annex II.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 14 August 2013.

*For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,*

Jerzy PLEWA
*Director-General for Agriculture and
Rural Development*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 299, 16.11.2007, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 187, 21.7.2010, p. 5.

ANNEX I

Import duties on the products referred to in Article 136(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 applicable from 16 August 2013

CN code	Description	Import duties ⁽¹⁾ (EUR/t)
1001 19 00	Durum wheat, high quality	0,00
1001 11 00	medium quality	0,00
	low quality	0,00
ex 1001 91 20	Common wheat seed	0,00
ex 1001 99 00	High quality common wheat other than for sowing	0,00
1002 10 00	Rye	0,00
1002 90 00		
1005 10 90	Maize seed other than hybrid	0,00
1005 90 00	Maize other than seed ⁽²⁾	0,00
1007 10 90	Grain sorghum other than hybrids for sowing	0,00
1007 90 00		

⁽¹⁾ The importer may benefit, under Article 2(4) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010, from a reduction in the duty of:

- EUR 3/t, where the port of unloading is located on the Mediterranean Sea (beyond the Strait of Gibraltar) or on the Black Sea, for goods arriving in the Union via the Atlantic Ocean or the Suez Canal,
- EUR 2/t, where the port of unloading is located in Denmark, Estonia, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Finland, Sweden, the United Kingdom or on the Atlantic coast of the Iberian Peninsula, for goods arriving in the Union via the Atlantic Ocean.

⁽²⁾ The importer may benefit from a flat-rate reduction of EUR 24/t where the conditions laid down in Article 3 of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010 are met.

ANNEX II

Factors for calculating the duties laid down in Annex I

31.7.2013-14.8.2013

1. Averages over the reference period referred to in Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010:

(EUR/t)

	Common wheat ⁽¹⁾	Maize	Durum wheat, high quality	Durum wheat, medium quality ⁽²⁾	Durum wheat, low quality ⁽³⁾
Exchange	Minneapolis	Chicago	—	—	—
Quotation	217,78	140,42	—	—	—
Fob price USA	—	—	234,54	224,54	204,54
Gulf of Mexico premium	—	33,42	—	—	—
Great Lakes premium	27,28	—	—	—	—

⁽¹⁾ Premium of EUR 14/t incorporated (Article 5(3) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010).

⁽²⁾ Discount of EUR 10/t (Article 5(3) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010).

⁽³⁾ Discount of EUR 30/t (Article 5(3) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010).

2. Averages over the reference period referred to in Article 2(2) of Regulation (EU) No 642/2010:

Freight costs: Gulf of Mexico-Rotterdam: 16,76 EUR/t

Freight costs: Great Lakes-Rotterdam: 49,25 EUR/t

DECISIONS

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 13 August 2013

amending Decision 2011/207/EU establishing a specific control and inspection programme related to the recovery of bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean

(notified under document C(2013) 5224)

(2013/432/EU)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 95 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) In 2006, the International Commission for the Conservation of the Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) adopted a multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean. ICCAT amended that multiannual recovery plan during the 2008 Annual Meeting. The amended plan was transposed into Union Law by way of Council Regulation (EC) No 302/2009 of 6 April 2009 concerning a multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean ⁽²⁾. This plan was further amended and endorsed in the 2010 ICCAT Annual Meeting by way of ICCAT Recommendation 10-04 and transposed into Union Law by way of Regulation (EU) No 500/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2012 amending Council Regulation (EC) No 302/2009 concerning a multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean ⁽³⁾.
- (2) To ensure the successful implementation of the amended recovery plan, Commission Decision 2009/296/EC ⁽⁴⁾ establishing a specific control and inspection programme covering a period of two years from 15 March 2009 to 15 March 2011 was adopted.
- (3) The specific control and inspection programme related to the recovery of bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and

the Mediterranean, as established by Commission Decision 2011/207/EU ⁽⁵⁾, was adopted with a view of ensuring the continuity of the programme established by Decision 2009/296/EC and immediately implementing certain provisions of ICCAT Recommendation 10-04. Decision 2011/207/EU covers the period 15 March 2011 to 15 March 2014.

- (4) In light of the discussions in ICCAT at the 2011 Annual Meeting and with a view to fully implement the provisions required by ICCAT, it was appropriate, in the absence of transposition of those requirements to EU Law, to amend Decision 2011/207/EU to implement the requirements concerning sampling and pilot operations set out in paragraph 87 of the ICCAT Recommendation 10-04 establishing a multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean. Therefore, Commission Implementing Decision 2012/246/EU of 2 May 2012 amending Decision 2011/207/EU establishing a specific control and inspection programme related to the recovery of bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean ⁽⁶⁾ was published.
- (5) At its Annual Meeting in 2012, ICCAT adopted Recommendation 12-03 amending the multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna. With a view of ensuring the continuity of the programme established by Decision 2011/207/EU and immediately implementing certain provisions of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03 it is appropriate to update and correct certain obsolete or erroneous references that existed in Decision 2011/207/EU.
- (6) Decision 2011/207/EU should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (7) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee for Fisheries and Aquaculture,

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 96, 15.4.2009, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 157, 16.6.2012, p. 1.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 80, 26.3.2009, p. 18.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 87, 2.4.2011, p. 9.

⁽⁶⁾ OJ L 121, 8.5.2012, p. 25.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

Decision 2011/207/EU is amended as follows:

(1) Article 1 is replaced by the following:

Article 1

This Decision establishes a specific control and inspection programme in order to ensure the harmonised implementation of the multiannual recovery plan for bluefin tuna in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean adopted by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) in 2006 as transposed by Regulation (EC) No 302/2009, further transposed into Union Law by way of Regulation (EU) No 500/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council (*) and last amended by ICCAT Recommendation 12-03 of 10 December 2012.

(*) OJ L 157, 16.6.2012, p. 1.;

(2) in Article 3, point 2 is replaced by the following:

2. all catches, landings, transfers, transshipments and caging operations, including implemented pilot studies on how better estimate both the number and weight of bluefin tuna at the point of capture and caging, including through the use of stereoscopic systems and the programme using stereoscopic cameras systems or alternative techniques that provide the equivalent precision, which shall cover 100 % of all caging operations in order to refine the number and weight of the fish in each caging operation;;

(3) Article 4 is amended as follows:

(a) point 4 is replaced by the following:

4. the implementation of any observer programme in the Union, including the Member States' observer programme, and the ICCAT Regional Observer Programme, as provided for by points 90, 91 and 92 and Annex 7 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03;;

(b) points 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 are replaced by the following:

6. specific technical measures and conditions for fishing for bluefin tuna as provided in ICCAT Recommendation 12-03, in particular minimum size rules and associated conditions;
7. quantitative restrictions on catches and any specific conditions associated therewith, including the

monitoring of quota uptake, as provided for by ICCAT Recommendation 12-03;

8. documentation rules applicable to bluefin tuna, as provided for by ICCAT Recommendation 12-03;

9. the implementation of pilot studies on how to better estimate both the number and the weight of bluefin tuna at the point of capture and caging including through the use of stereoscopic systems;

10. the implementation of a programme using stereoscopic cameras systems or alternative techniques that provide the equivalent precision, which shall cover 100 % of all caging operations in order to refine the number and weight of the fish in each caging operation.;

(4) in Article 7, points 1 and 2 are replaced by the following:

1. the ICCAT Scheme of Joint International Inspection, as provided for by points 99, 100 and 101 and Annex 8 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03;

2. the methodology of inspection as provided for by ICCAT Recommendation 12-03, and in particular its Annex 8;

(5) in Article 9, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

1. Member State intending to conduct surveillance and inspect fishing vessels in the waters under the jurisdiction of another Member State in the framework of a Joint Deployment Plan shall notify its intentions to the contact point of the coastal Member State concerned, as referred to in Article 80, paragraph 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 and to the EFCA.;

(6) in Article 12, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

1. The specific control and inspection programme shall be implemented through the national control action programmes, as referred to in Article 46 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, adopted by Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Cyprus, Malta and Portugal, and as from 1 July 2013 by the national control action programme as adopted by Croatia.;

(7) Article 15 is amended as follows:

(a) paragraph 2 is replaced by the following:

2. Interim reports shall be communicated on 15 July and 15 September every year for the period until end of the previous month and a final report shall be communicated on 15 January, covering the previous year.;

(b) in paragraph 3(b), points (i) and (ii) are replaced by the following:

‘(i) the fishing vessel (name, flag, gear and ICCAT number, Community fleet register number or external identification code), the trap, the farm or the enterprise engaged in the processing and/or trade of bluefin tuna products concerned;

(ii) the date and the location of the inspection and the amount of the bluefin tuna related to the infringement;’

(8) Annexes I, II, III and IV are replaced by the text in the Annex to this Decision.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 13 August 2013.

For the Commission

Maria DAMANAKI

Member of the Commission

ANNEX

‘ANNEX I

BENCHMARKS

The benchmarks set out in this Annex shall be implemented so as to ensure in particular:

- (a) the full monitoring of caging operations taking place in the EU waters;
- (b) the full monitoring of transfer operations;
- (c) the full monitoring of joint fishing operations;
- (d) the control of all documents required by the legislation applicable to bluefin tuna, in particular verifying the reliability of the information recorded.

Place of inspection	Benchmark
Caging activities (including harvest)	<p>All caging operation into a farm must have been authorised by the flag Member State of the catching vessel within 48 hours following the submission of the information required for the caging operation;</p> <p>The CPC under whose jurisdiction the farm for bluefin tuna is located shall take the necessary measures to prohibit placing in cages for farming or fattening bluefin tuna that are not accompanied by the documents required by ICCAT as confirmed and validated by the catching vessel or trap Flag State authorities (*) (as provided for by point 86 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03);</p> <p>All caging and harvesting operations shall be inspected, by the competent authorities of the farm Member State in accordance with the relevant control obligations laid down ICCAT Recommendation 06-07 and 12-03;</p> <p>Fish shall be caged before 15 August unless valid reason as per Recommendation. 12-03 (as provided for by paragraph 85).</p>
Inspection at sea	<p>Benchmark, to be set after a detailed analysis of the fishing activity in each area;</p> <p>Benchmarks at sea shall refer to the number of patrol days at sea in the bluefin tuna recovery specific area and shall refer as well to the number of patrol days identifying the fishing season and the type of fishing activity targeted.</p>
Transfer operation	<p>Any transfer operations must have been authorised previously by the flag States on the basis of a prior transfer notification (as provided for by point 77 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03);</p> <p>An authorisation number shall be assigned to each transfer operation (as provided for by point 78 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03);</p> <p>A transfer shall be authorised within 48 hours following the submission of the prior transfer notification (as provided for by point 78 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03);</p> <p>An ICCAT transfer declaration shall be sent to the flag State at the end of the transfer operation (as provided for by point 79 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03);</p> <p>All transfer operations must be monitored by video camera in the water (as provided for by point 81 and Annex 9 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03).</p>

Place of inspection	Benchmark
Transhipments	<p>All concerned vessels shall be inspected on arrival before the transhipment operations start, as well as before departure after the transhipment operations. Random checks shall be made in non-designated ports;</p> <p>A transhipment declaration shall be transmitted to the Flag States no later than 48 hours after the date of transhipment in port (as provided for by point 66 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03).</p>
Joint fishing operation	<p>All joint fishing operations must have been authorised previously by the flag States;</p> <p>Member States shall then establish and maintain a record of all joint fishing operations authorised by them.</p>
Aerial surveillance	Flexible benchmark, to be set after a detailed analysis of the fishing activity conducted in each area and taking into consideration the available resources at the Member State's disposal.
Landings	<p>All vessels entering a designated port for the purpose of landing bluefin tuna shall be controlled and a percentage shall be inspected based on a risk assessment system, involving quota, fleet size and fishing effort;</p> <p>Random checks shall be made in non-designated ports;</p> <p>The relevant authority shall send a record of the landings to the flag State authority of the fishing vessel within 48 hours after the landing has ended (as provided for by point 70 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03).</p>
Marketing	Flexible benchmark, to be set after a detailed analysis of the marketing activity conducted.
Sport and Recreational Fisheries	Flexible benchmark, to be set after a detailed analysis of the sport and recreational fisheries activities conducted.
Traps	100 % of harvesting and transfer operations shall be inspected.

(*) Modification requested by Malta by mail 12 June 2013.

ANNEX II

PROCEDURES TO BE FOLLOWED BY OFFICIALS

1. **Inspection tasks**1.1. *General inspection tasks*

An inspection report shall be drawn up for each control and inspection, in the appropriate format as established in point 2 of this Annex. Officials shall in any case verify and note in their report the following information:

- (1) the details of the identity of the responsible persons, as well as those of the vessel, farm, trap, personnel etc. involved in the activities inspected;
- (2) the authorisations, licences and fishing authorisation;
- (3) relevant vessel documentation such as the logbooks, transfer and transshipment declarations, ICCAT bluefin tuna catch documents, re-export certificates and other documentation examined for the purpose of the control and inspection as provided for by ICCAT Recommendation 12-03;
- (4) detailed observation of the sizes of bluefin tuna caught, trapped, transferred, transhipped, landed, transported, farmed, processed or traded in the context of compliance with the provisions of the recovery plan;
- (5) the by-catch percentage of bluefin tuna retained on board vessels not fishing actively for bluefin tuna.

The information referred to all relevant findings from the inspection done at sea, by aerial surveillance, in ports, traps, farms or in any other enterprise concerned shall be noted in the inspection reports. In case of an inspection in the framework of the ICCAT Scheme of Joint International Inspection, the inspection party should register the inspections undertaken and any infringements detected in the vessel log.

Those findings shall be compared with the information made available to the officials by other competent authorities, including Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) information, lists of authorised vessels, observer's reports, video records and all documents related to fishing activities.

1.2. *Inspection tasks for aerial surveillance*

Officials shall report on surveillance data for cross-checking purposes, and in particular verify sightings of fishing vessels against VMS and authorised lists.

Officials shall sight and report on Illegal Unidentified and Unreported (IUU) fishing activities, and on the use of spotting aircrafts or helicopters.

Particular attention shall be devoted to closure areas, fishing season's periods as defined in point 21 to 26 of ICCAT Recommendation 12-03, and to the activities of fleets for which derogations apply.

1.3. *Inspection tasks at sea*1.3.1. *General inspection tasks*

Where dead fish are onboard a catching vessel or present onboard any other vessel, officials shall always verify if the fish is legally retained on board. Officials shall verify the quantities of all fish species retained on board in order to ensure compliance with ICCAT by-catch and minimum size rules.

Where live fish are being transferred, officials shall seek to identify the means used by the parties involved to estimate the quantities, expressed in numbers ⁽¹⁾ of live bluefin tuna transferred. Where video footage is available, officials shall gain access to, verify the quantities transferred as observed by the video footage and verify that the video footage respects the minimum standards for video recording procedures as defined in Annex 9 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03.

⁽¹⁾ Modification requested by Spain.

Officials shall systematically verify during all inspections:

- (1) that the fishing vessels are authorised to operate (markings, identity, licence, fishing authorisation and ICCAT lists);
- (2) compliance with the vessel documentation requirements;
- (3) that the fishing vessels are equipped with an operational VMS and that requirements on VMS transmission are respected;
- (4) fishing vessels are not operating inside close areas and are respecting the closure of fishing seasons;
- (5) respect of quotas and by-catch limitations;
- (6) the size composition of catches on board;
- (7) the physical quantities of catches on board, and their presentation;
- (8) the fishing gear on board;
- (9) the presence of an observer where it is relevant.

Officials shall sight and report on IUU fishing activities, and on the use of spotting aircrafts or helicopters.

1.3.2. Inspection tasks at transfer operations

Officials shall systematically verify:

- (1) compliance with the requirements regarding the prior transfer notification (where possible);
- (2) that the flag State has assigned and communicated to the master of the fishing vessel, or trap or farm as appropriate, an authorisation number for each transfer operation within 48 hours following the submission of the prior transfer notification;
- (3) compliance with the ICCAT transfer declaration requirements;
- (4) if the transfer declaration has been signed by the ICCAT regional observer on board and transmitted to the master of the towing vessel;
- (5) Compliance with the video recording requirements as defined in Annex 9 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03.

1.3.3. Inspection tasks at joint fishing operations

Officials shall systematically verify:

- (1) compliance with the joint fishing operations' requirements regarding the information to be reported in the fishing logbook including allocation key;
- (2) that a joint fishing operation authorisation has been delivered to the fishing vessels by their flag States authorities using the model set out in Annex V to Regulation (EC) No 302/2009;
- (3) the presence of an ICCAT regional observer during the joint fishing operation.

1.4. Inspection tasks at landing

Officials shall systematically verify for those landings inspected in accordance with the provisions laid down in Recommendation 12-03:

- (1) that fishing vessels are authorised to operate (markings, identity, licence, fishing authorisation and ICCAT lists, if relevant);
- (2) that the pre-notification of arrival for landing was received in due time by the competent authorities;
- (3) that a record of the landing is sent to the flag State authority of the fishing vessel, within 48 hours after the landing has ended;
- (4) that an accurate landing declaration is sent by the master of the catching vessel within 48 hours after the landing has ended to his/her flag state authorities and port state authorities where applicable;

- (5) that the fishing vessels are equipped with an operational VMS and that requirements on VMS transmission are respected;
- (6) compliance with the vessel documentation requirements;
- (7) the physical quantities of bluefin tuna on board, and presentation;
- (8) the total catch composition on board in order to verify the respect of by-catch rules and, in the case of longliners, the implementation of relevant management measures;
- (9) the size composition of catches of bluefin tuna on board in order to verify the minimum size rules;
- (10) the fishing gears on board;
- (11) in the case of landing of processed products, the use of the ICCAT conversion factors to calculate the equivalent round weight of the processed bluefin tuna;
- (12) that the bluefin tuna offered for retail sale to the final consumer, from fishing vessels and/or traps in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean, is correctly marked or labelled;
- (13) that the bluefin tuna landed by the bait boats, long liners, hand liners or trolling boats in the eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean is correctly tail tagged where applicable.

1.5. *Inspection tasks during transhipment*

Officials shall systematically verify:

- (1) that the fishing vessels are authorised to operate (markings, identity, licence, fishing authorisation and ICCAT lists);
- (2) that the pre-notification of arrival in port was sent and contained the correct information concerning the transhipment;
- (3) that transshipping fishing vessels wishing to tranship have received prior authorisation from their flag State;
- (4) that the quantities pre-notified to be transhipped are verified;
- (5) that a transhipment declaration has been transmitted to the Port State States no later than 48 hours after the date of transhipment in port;
- (6) that the relevant documentation is on board is present and duly completed, including the transhipment declaration, the relevant and ICCAT bluefin tuna catch document and re-export certificate;
- (7) in the case of processed products, the use of the ICCAT conversion factors to calculate the equivalent round weight of the processed bluefin tuna.

1.6. *Inspection tasks on farm installations*

Officials shall systematically verify:

- (1) that the relevant documentation is present and duly completed and reported (bluefin tuna catch document and re-export certificate, transfer and caging declarations, transhipment declaration);
- (2) that the caging operation has been previously authorised by the catching vessel flag State authorities;
- (3) that an ICCAT regional observer was present during all transfer, caging and harvesting of bluefin tuna, and has validated the caging declarations;
- (4) that all the transfer and caging activities in the farm have been monitored by video camera in the water, and that the video record is made available for the inspectors and complies with the video recording requirements as defined in Annex 9 to ICCAT Recommendation 12-03.
- (5) that all caging activities have been subject to a programme using stereoscopic cameras or techniques that provide the equivalent precision in order to refine the number and weight of fish being caged;

- (6) that the farming State will not accept caging of bluefin tuna where the quantity by number and/or weight above that authorised to be caged by the flag State.

1.7. *Inspection tasks concerning transports and marketing*

Officials shall systematically verify:

- (1) as regards transport, in particular the relevant accompanying documents and check them against the physical quantities transported;
- (2) as regards marketing, that the relevant documentation is present and duly completed, including the relevant bluefin tuna catch document and re-export certificate.

2. **Inspection reports**

- (1) For the inspections undertaken in the framework of the ICCAT Scheme of Joint International Inspection, officials shall use the template of the Appendix to this Annex.
- (2) For the other inspections, officials shall use the inspection reports as per Article 76 of Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009. Inspection reports shall include the relevant information contained in the appropriate inspection module established in Annex XXVII as required in Article 115 of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 404/2011 ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 112, 30.4.2011, p. 1.

Appendix

ICCAT REPORT OF INSPECTION No ...

1. Inspector(s) 2. Inspector's witness
- 1.1. Name 3. Name
- 1.2. Nationality 4. Nationality
- 1.3. Contracting Parties 5. Contracting Parties
- 1.4. ICCAT identity card number 6. ICCAT identity card number
7. Vessel carrying the inspector
- 7.1. Name and registration
- 7.2. Flag
8. Vessel inspected
- 8.1. Name and registration
- 8.2. Flag
- 8.3. Captain (Name and address)
- 8.4. Ship owner (Name and address)
- 8.5. ICCAT Record number
- 8.6. Type of vessel
9. Position
- 9.1. As determined by the inspector: Lat. Long.
- 9.2. As determined by the captain of the fishing vessel: Lat. Long.
- 9.3. Time (GMT) when position was recorded:
10. Date (dd/mm/yyyy)
11. Time
- 11.1. On arrival on board
- 11.2. Of departure from the vessel
12. Fishing gear on board
- Purse seine ☐ Trolling lines ☐
- Long line ☐ Pole & Line (Baitboat) ☐
- Other (specify) Number of cages
- Towing cage(s) Yes No
13. Statement of photographs taken with description of subjects:
-
-
-
-
-
14. List of documents inspected and comments:
- 14.1. Log book Yes No Infringement Yes No
- 14.2. BCD Yes No Infringement Yes No
- 14.3. Transfer/transhipment Declaration Yes No Infringement Yes No
- 14.4. Other (specify)
15. Results of the inspection of the fish on board:
- 15.1. Species observed on board

SPECIES				
TOTAL CATCH (kg)				
INFORMATION SOURCE				
PRODUCT TYPE				
SAMPLE INSPECTED				
% UNDER MIN SIZE				

15.2. Species declared to be in the cage/s

Transfer document No Date of first transfer

Farm of Destination

Catching vessel name

ICCAT No

Cage No Specie Individuals No Weight (kg)

16. Infringements of ICCAT conservation and management measures observed (description of infringement with mention of legal reference, and if serious violation(s) have been detected, please complete the attached sheet)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

17. Inspector's comments (if necessary use a complementary sheet specifying: "attachment to ICCAT report number xxx")

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

18. Inspector's signature Witness' signature

19. Observer's name, comments and signature

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

20. Captain's comments and signature

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

SERIOUS VIOLATIONS OBSERVED

Vessel name:

Vessel flag:

ICCAT number:

- ☐ fishing without a license, permit or authorisation issued by the flag CPC;
- ☐ failure to maintain sufficient records of catch and catch-related data in accordance with the Commission's reporting requirements or significant misreporting of such catch and/or catch-related data;
- ☐ fishing in a closed area;
- ☐ fishing during a closed season;
- ☐ intentional taking or retention of species in contravention of any applicable conservation and management measure adopted by the ICCAT;
- ☐ significant violation of catch limits or quotas in force pursuant to the ICCAT rules;
- ☐ use of prohibited fishing gear;
- ☐ falsification or intentional concealment of the markings, identity or registration of a fishing vessel;
- ☐ concealment, tampering with or disposal of evidence relating to investigation of a violation;
- ☐ multiple violations which taken together constitute a serious disregard of measures in force pursuant to the ICCAT;
- ☐ such other violations as may be determined by the ICCAT, once these are included and circulated in a revised version of these procedures;
- ☐ assault, resistance, intimidation, sexual harassment, interference with, or undue obstruction or delay of an authorised inspector or observer;
- ☐ intentional tampering with or disabling the vessel monitoring system;
- ☐ fishing with assistance of spotter planes;
- ☐ interference with the satellite monitoring system and/or operates without VMS system;
- ☐ transfer activity without transfer declaration;
- ☐ transshipment at sea;

Inspector's signature Witness' signature

Date

ANNEX III

CONTENTS OF NATIONAL CONTROL ACTION PROGRAMMES AS REFERRED TO IN ARTICLE 12

National control action programmes shall specify the following:

1. Means of control**(a) Human means**

The numbers of shore-based and seagoing officials, and the periods and zones where these are available to be deployed.

(b) Technical means

The numbers of patrol vessels and aircrafts, and the periods and zones where these are available to be deployed.

(c) Financial means

The budgetary allocation for deployment of human resources, patrol vessels and aircrafts.

2. Designation of ports

The list of the designated ports and designated hours required under ICCAT Recommendation 12-03.

3. Inspection protocols

Detailed protocols explaining the methodology for all inspection activities.

Member States shall also ensure that the following points are included in their control action programmes.

1. Catches:

- (a) Quantity (biomass estimation) and precise number of specimens;
- (b) Verification that the quantities caught respect the quota allocation;
- (c) Verification of minimum size requirements, with a tolerance of % in numbers.

2. Transfer:

- (a) Prior authorisation for transfers into towing cage and farming cage;
- (b) Accurate quantity (in weight) and number of fish transferred into towing cage;
- (c) Mortality during towing operation and destination of dead fish.

3. Farm:

- (a) Confirmation of legitimacy of catch as well as pre-authorisation by flag Member State;
- (b) Accurate quantity (in weight) and number of fish transferred into fattening cages;
- (c) The use of Sampling/tagging programme to estimate weight gain.

4. Harvest and export:

- (a) Accurate quantity (in weight) and number of fish harvested;
- (b) Coverage by ICCAT Regional Observer Programme;
- (c) Accurate quantity by product type (clearly indicating the conversion factors).

4. Guidelines

Explanatory guidelines for officials, producers' organisations and fishermen.

5. Communication protocols

Protocols for communication with the competent authorities designated by other Member States as being responsible for the specific control and inspection programme for bluefin tuna.

MONTHLY STATE OF PLAY OF THE BLUEFIN TUNA NATIONAL CONTROL ACTION PROGRAMME

SECTION A

Number of inspections related to the national control action programme

Member State: ... Year: ... Month:	On Member State vessels and operators						On vessels and operators from other Member States or countries					
Reporting by:	Number of inspections and infringements within JDP			Number of inspections and infringements outside JDP			Number of inspections and infringements within JDP			Number of inspections and infringements outside JDP		
VESSELS, FARMS AND TRAPS WHICH ARE AUTHORISED IN BLUEFIN TUNA FISHERY	At sea	Ashore	Infringe-ments (*)	At sea	Ashore	Infringe-ments (*)	At sea	Ashore	Infringe-ments (*)	At sea	Ashore	Infringe-ments (*)
Purse seiners												
Longliners												
Other line vessels												
Bait boats												
Trawlers												
Towing, support, auxiliary and processing vessels												
Traps												
Farms												
VESSLS NOT AUTHORISED IN BLUEFIN TUNA FISHERY AND OTHER OPERATORS												
Fishing vessels (in the Community fleet register)												
Sport and recreational vessels												
Other vessels												
Trucks and transport inspections												
First sale inspections												
Retail inspections												
Restaurant inspections												
Other inspection (describe)												

SECTION B

Detailed information of the infringements (*)

ID	Date	Type of control	Area	Inspection report No	Flag	ICCAT No (or CFR or external marking)	Name	Gear or type	Description	Legal references	Serious violation?	Amount of bluefin tuna related to the infringement N kg		Actions taken	Next action or justification for no action	Expected length of investigation	Details of the final judgement	Case closed?	Comments
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)

(*) Infringements against measures laid down in this decision and relevant to EU and ICCAT provisions related to bluefin tuna.

KEY (for Section B)

No	FIELD	DESCRIPTION
1	ID	Unique ID number for each infringement
2	Date	Date inspection activity took place
3	Type of control	S-sea P-port F-farm T-trap O-other
4	Area	For “S” indicate coordinates. For “P,F,T or O” indicate area or port or municipality name.
5	Inspection report No	Number of ICCAT inspection report (or national)

No	FIELD	DESCRIPTION
6	Flag	Flag of inspected vessel/operator
7	ICCAT No (or CFR or external marking)	ICCAT number or Union Fleet Register number or if neither exist, external marking of inspected vessel/operator
8	Name	Name of the inspected vessel/operator
9	Gear or type	Main gear used by vessel/operator at time of inspection or "farm", "trap", "first buyer", "retail", "restaurant", "transport", "sport/rec", "other" (describe)
10	Description	Description of the infringement
11	Legal references	Indicate legal reference(s) (EU legislation and/or ICCAT Recommendation)
12	Serious violation?	Indicate if a serious violation Y/N
13	N	Amount of bluefin tuna related to the infringement
14	kg	Amount of bluefin tuna related to the infringement
15	Actions taken	Description of all actions done after the inspection or "none" if no action
16	Next action or justification for no action	Next planned action if any. Justification in case no action was taken.
17	Expected length of investigation	Expected length of investigation and/or process
18	Details of the final judgement	Fine, penalty, seize... (indicate also quantities)
19	Case closed?	Y if the case is closed, otherwise N
20	Comments	General comments'

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 13 August 2013

on excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund (EAGGF), under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD)

(notified under document C(2013) 5225)

(Only the Danish, Dutch, English, Finnish, French, German, Greek, Hungarian, Italian, Latvian, Polish, Slovenian, Spanish and Swedish texts are authentic)

(2013/433/EU)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999 of 17 May 1999 on the financing of the common agricultural policy ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 7(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1290/2005 of 21 June 2005 on the financing of the common agricultural policy ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 31 thereof,

Having consulted the Committee on the Agricultural Funds,

Whereas:

- (1) Under Article 7(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999, and Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No 1290/2005, the Commission is to carry out the necessary verifications, communicate to the Member States the results of these verifications, take note of the comments of the Member States, initiate a bilateral discussion so that an agreement may be reached with the Member States in question, and formally communicate its conclusions to them.
- (2) The Member States have had an opportunity to request the launch of a conciliation procedure. That opportunity has been used in some cases and the reports issued on the outcome have been examined by the Commission.
- (3) Under Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999 and Regulation (EC) No 1290/2005, only agricultural expenditure which has been incurred in a way that has not infringed European Union rules may be financed.
- (4) In the light of the verifications carried out, the outcome of the bilateral discussions and the conciliation procedures, part of the expenditure declared by the Member States does not fulfil this requirement and cannot, therefore, be financed under the EAGGF Guarantee Section, the EAGF and the EAFRD.
- (5) The amounts that are not recognised as being chargeable to the EAGGF Guarantee Section, the EAGF and the EAFRD should be indicated. Those amounts do not

relate to expenditure incurred more than 24 months before the Commission's written notification of the results of the verifications to the Member States.

- (6) As regards the cases covered by this decision, the assessment of the amounts to be excluded on grounds of non-compliance with European Union rules was notified by the Commission to the Member States in a summary report on the subject.
- (7) This Decision is without prejudice to any financial conclusions that the Commission may draw from the judgments of the Court of Justice in cases pending on 1 June 2013 and relating to its content,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The expenditure itemised in the Annex hereto that has been incurred by the Member States' accredited paying agencies and declared under the EAGGF Guarantee Section, under the EAGF or under the EAFRD shall be excluded from European Union financing because it does not comply with European Union rules.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Kingdom of Belgium, the Kingdom of Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, Ireland, the Hellenic Republic, the Kingdom of Spain, the French Republic, the Italian Republic, the Republic of Latvia, the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Hungary, the Republic of Poland, the Republic of Slovenia, the Republic of Finland, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland.

Done at Brussels, 13 August 2013.

For the Commission

Dacian CIOLOȘ

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 160, 26.6.1999, p. 103.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 209, 11.8.2005, p. 1.

ANNEX

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
BUDGET ITEM: 6 7 0 1									
BE	Fruit and Vegetables - Operational Programmes	2008	Non compliance with recognition criteria	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 922 684,37	0,00	- 922 684,37
BE	Fruit and Vegetables - Operational Programmes	2009	Non compliance with recognition criteria	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 2 091 855,58	0,00	- 2 091 855,58
BE	Fruit and Vegetables - Operational Programmes	2010	Non compliance with recognition criteria	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 1 093 697,47	0,00	- 1 093 697,47
TOTAL BE						EUR	- 4 108 237,42	0,00	- 4 108 237,42
DE	Starch	2003	deficiencies in the payment mechanism in a potato starch company	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 901 395,66	0,00	- 1 901 395,66
DE	Starch	2004	deficiencies in the payment mechanism in a potato starch company	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 883 474,60	0,00	- 1 883 474,60
DE	Starch	2005	deficiencies in the payment mechanism in a potato starch company	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 2 408 081,08	0,00	- 2 408 081,08
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2007	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 235 167,91	0,00	- 235 167,91
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2007	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 2 816,41	0,00	- 2 816,41
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 297 448,70	0,00	- 297 448,70
DE	Other Direct Aids	2008	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 525,06	0,00	- 525,06
DE	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2008	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 1 506,39	0,00	- 1 506,39
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 6 993,20	0,00	- 6 993,20
DE	Other Direct Aids	2009	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 324,85	0,00	- 324,85

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 296 114,43	0,00	- 296 114,43
DE	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2009	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 743,76	0,00	- 743,76
DE	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 6 706,48	0,00	- 6 706,48
TOTAL DE						EUR	- 7 041 298,53	0,00	- 7 041 298,53
DK	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 8 175 799,16	0,00	- 8 175 799,16
DK	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 894 733,68	0,00	- 894 733,68
DK	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 1 098 146,81	0,00	- 1 098 146,81
TOTAL DK						EUR	- 10 168 679,65	0,00	- 10 168 679,65
ES	Cross Compliance	2008	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 502 153,89	- 385,00	- 2 501 768,89
ES	Cross Compliance	2008	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	144,00	0,00	144,00
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 7 122,69	0,00	- 7 122,69
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	498,64	0,00	498,64
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 720 206,24	- 23,84	- 2 720 182,40
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	238,57	0,00	238,57
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 83,48	0,00	- 83,48
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	18,98	0,00	18,98
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 952,97	0,00	- 2 952,97

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	69,69	0,00	69,69
ES	Cross Compliance	2011	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00%	EUR	– 650,69	0,00	– 650,69
ES	Cross Compliance	2011	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	26,14	0,00	26,14
TOTAL ES						EUR	– 5 232 173,94	– 408,84	– 5 231 765,10
FI	Public Storage - Cereals	2010	Mistakes in the management in case of in-situ movements	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 715 273,00	0,00	– 715 273,00
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2007	deficiencies in recalculation of entitlements; claim years 2006-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 1 706,39	0,00	– 1 706,39
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2007	non-application of sanctions for previous claim years; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 830 460,62	0,00	– 830 460,62
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	deficiencies in recalculation of entitlements; claim years 2006-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 1 688,89	0,00	– 1 688,89
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	non-application of sanctions for previous claim years; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 420 558,19	0,00	– 420 558,19
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	deficiencies in recalculation of entitlements; claim years 2006-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 1 695,55	0,00	– 1 695,55
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	deficiencies in reductions and sanctions for holdings having areas in different "support regions"	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 8 789,63	0,00	– 8 789,63
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	deficiencies in the quality of on-the-spot checks; claim year 2008-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 747 109,66	0,00	– 747 109,66
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Extrapolation in cases where over-declaration is less than 3 %	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 119 108,47	0,00	– 119 108,47
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	non-application of sanctions for previous claim years; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 190 181,58	0,00	– 190 181,58
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	retro-active recovery following LPIS updates; claim years 2008-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 488 113,00	0,00	– 488 113,00

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	deficiencies in recalculation of entitlements; claim years 2006-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 1 719,00	0,00	- 1 719,00
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	deficiencies in reductions and sanctions for holdings having areas in different "support regions"	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 4 833,46	0,00	- 4 833,46
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	deficiencies in the quality of on-the-spot checks; claim year 2008-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 594 924,89	0,00	- 594 924,89
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	Extrapolation in cases where over-declaration is less than 3 %	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 97 167,26	0,00	- 97 167,26
FI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	retro-active recovery following LPIS updates; claim years 2008-2009	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 472 260,00	0,00	- 472 260,00
TOTAL FI						EUR	- 4 695 589,59	0,00	- 4 695 589,59
FR	Public Storage - Alcohol	2008	classification mistake of the alcohol stock movements	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 122 165,29	0,00	- 122 165,29
FR	Public Storage - Alcohol	2008	deficiencies in control and reporting mechanism	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 282 590,55	0,00	- 282 590,55
FR	Public Storage - Skimmed-milk powder	2009	late payments	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 88 690,73	0,00	- 88 690,73
FR	Other Direct Aid - Ewe and Goats	2010	deficiencies in the verification of eligibility criteria	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 1 334 634,43	0,00	- 1 334 634,43
TOTAL FR						EUR	- 1 828 081,00	0,00	- 1 828 081,00
GB	Other Direct Aid - Article 69 of Reg. 1782/2003 - only Ovines and Bovines	2008	Non-application of reductions and exclusions (administrative checks, late notifications, missing ear tags)	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 295 796,24	0,00	- 295 796,24
GB	Other Direct Aid - Article 69 of Reg. 1782/2003 - only Ovines and Bovines	2009	Non-application of reductions and exclusions (administrative checks, late notifications, missing ear tags)	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 181 965,27	0,00	- 181 965,27

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
GB	Other Direct Aid - Article 69 of Reg. 1782/2003 - only Ovines and Bovines	2010	Non-application of reductions and exclusions (administrative checks, late notifications, missing ear tags)	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 299 059,21	0,00	- 299 059,21
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	2,05 %	EUR	- 11 874 798,65	- 11 257,09	- 11 863 541,56
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	2,05 %	EUR	- 11 511 587,28	- 6 505,77	- 11 505 081,51
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	2,05 %	EUR	- 9 780,22	0,00	- 9 780,22
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 11 538 789,46	0,00	- 11 538 789,46
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Errors in allocation of National Reserve	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 5 102 862,39	- 1 020 572,48	- 4 082 289,91
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Errors in allocation of National Reserve	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 5 669 847,10	- 113 396,94	- 5 556 450,16
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	Errors in allocation of National Reserve	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 3 691 257,79	- 738 251,55	- 2 953 006,24
GB	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	Errors in allocation of National Reserve	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 4 101 397,55	- 82 027,95	- 4 019 369,60
TOTAL GB						EUR	- 54 277 141,16	- 1 972 011,78	- 52 305 129,38
GR	Fruit and Vegetables - Peaches and Pears Processing	2006	Deficiencies in controls of records kept by Producer Organisations, in the administrative and accounting checks of the producers and Producer Organisations; unauthorised cash payments; absence of control of tally checks and of checks on stocks	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 528 781,33	0,00	- 1 528 781,33
GR	Fruit and Vegetables - Peaches and Pears Processing	2007	Deficiencies in controls of records kept by Producer Organisations, in the administrative and accounting checks of the producers and Producer Organisations; unauthorised cash payments; absence of control of tally checks and of checks on stocks	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 489 520,41	0,00	- 1 489 520,41

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
GR	POSEI (2007+)	2005	inadequate olive cultivation GIS	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 968 440,20	0,00	– 968 440,20
GR	POSEI (2007+)	2006	inadequate olive cultivation GIS	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 1 204 598,37	0,00	– 1 204 598,37
TOTAL GR						EUR	– 5 191 340,31	0,00	– 5 191 340,31
HU	Export refunds- Live animals	2008	Absence of tachograph checks at exit on live cattle exports	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 34 687,50	0,00	– 34 687,50
HU	Export refunds- Live animals	2009	Absence of tachograph checks at exit on live cattle exports	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 101 292,70	0,00	– 101 292,70
HU	Export refunds- Live animals	2010	Absence of tachograph checks at exit on live cattle exports	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 77 256,09	0,00	– 77 256,09
HU	Export refunds- Live animals	2011	Absence of tachograph checks at exit on live cattle exports	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 21 647,22	0,00	– 21 647,22
HU	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS (claim year 2008)	ESTIMATED		EUR	– 4 404 011,26	0,00	– 4 404 011,26
HU	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS (claim year 2008)	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 64 177,87	0,00	– 64 177,87
TOTAL HU						EUR	– 4 703 072,64	0,00	– 4 703 072,64
IE	Exceptional support measures	2009	ineligible amounts compensated in the framework of the exceptional support measure for the pigmeat and beef market	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 450 450,00	0,00	– 450 450,00
IE	Direct Payments	2006	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 976 058,01	0,00	– 976 058,01
IE	Rural Development EAGGF (2000-2006) - Area related measures	2006	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 174 441,64	0,00	– 174 441,64

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
IE	Rural Development EAGGF (2000-2006) - Area related measures	2006	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 661 538,00	0,00	- 661 538,00
IE	Direct Decoupled Aid (single payment scheme - SPS)	2007	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 984 432,96	0,00	- 984 432,96
IE	Direct Decoupled Aid (single payment scheme - SPS)	2008	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 1 010 190,95	0,00	- 1 010 190,95
TOTAL IE						EUR	- 4 257 111,56	0,00	- 4 257 111,56
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2007	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 3 030 017,94	0,00	- 3 030 017,94
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2008	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 816 747,50	0,00	- 1 816 747,50
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2009	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 14 290,80	0,00	- 14 290,80
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2006	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	15,00 %	EUR	- 2 434,82	0,00	- 2 434,82
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Pre-recognised Producer Groups	2007	Lack of recovery of undully spent amounts	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 14 248,39	0,00	- 14 248,39

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2007	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	15,00 %	EUR	- 8 102 327,00	0,00	- 8 102 327,00
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2008	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	15,00 %	EUR	- 793 622,94	0,00	- 793 622,94
IT	Fruit and Vegetables - Citrus Processing	2009	Recurrent weaknesses in the administrative, accounting and physical checks; deficiencies in the application of sanctions	FLAT RATE	15,00 %	EUR	- 24 581,67	0,00	- 24 581,67
IT	Private Storage - Cheese	2007	Late payments	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 46 792,07	0,00	- 46 792,07
IT	Private Storage - Cheese	2008	Late payments	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 125 871,36	0,00	- 125 871,36
IT	Private Storage - Cheese	2009	Late payments	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 803 060,21	0,00	- 803 060,21
IT	Cross Compliance	2006	Reimbursemnt due to the overlapping corrections not taken into account in Decision 40	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	0,00	- 28 812,86	28 812,86
IT	Cross Compliance	2006	Reimbursemnt due to the overlapping corrections not taken into account in Decision 40	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	0,00	- 47 081,71	47 081,71
TOTAL IT						EUR	- 14 773 994,70	- 75 894,57	- 14 698 100,13
PL	Public Storage - Cereals	2006	incorrect booking of the costs for 'removal costs' in e-Faudit application on maize and butter	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 46 404,00	0,00	- 46 404,00
PL	Public Storage - Butter and Cream	2006	incorrect booking of the costs for 'removal costs' in e-Faudit application on maize and butter	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 482,00	0,00	- 482,00
PL	Public Storage - Cereals	2006	incorrect booking of the value of the products in the public storage accounts (e-Faudit application) for cereals and sugar	ONE-OFF		PLN	8 973,39	0,00	8 973,39
PL	Public Storage - Sugar	2006	incorrect booking of the value of the products in the public storage accounts (e-Faudit application) for cereals and sugar	ONE-OFF		PLN	- 56 439,85	0,00	- 56 439,85
PL	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2008	Lateness of on-the-spot checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 65 091,00	- 325,46	- 64 765,54

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
PL	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 21 735,51	0,00	- 21 735,51
PL	Decoupled Direct Aids	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 9 653 891,41	- 417 527,94	- 9 236 363,47
PL	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2009	Lateness of on-the-spot checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 499,37	- 2,50	- 496,87
PL	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 14 569 612,98	- 630 131,45	- 13 939 481,53
PL	Other Direct Aids	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 22 622,77	- 0,00	- 22 622,77
PL	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 22 718,93	0,00	- 22 718,93
PL	Other Direct Aid - Energy Crops	2010	Lateness of on-the-spot checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 39 676,15	0,00	- 39 676,15
TOTAL PL						EUR	- 24 442 734,12	- 1 047 987,35	- 23 394 746,77
TOTAL PL						PLN	- 47 466,46	0,00	- 47 466,46
SI	Other Direct Aid - Direct Payments	2007	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 274 950,95	0,00	- 274 950,95
SI	Other Direct Aid - Direct Payments	2008	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 619,15	0,00	- 619,15
SI	Direct Decoupled Aid (single payment scheme - SPS)	2008	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 439 465,62	0,00	- 2 439 465,62
SI	Other Direct Aids	2009	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 52,03	0,00	- 52,03

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
SI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 1 205 011,43	0,00	– 1 205 011,43
SI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2009	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 5 609,71	0,00	– 5 609,71
SI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 2 148,21	0,00	– 2 148,21
SI	Decoupled Direct Aids	2010	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"; incorrect calculation of sanctions; retro-active recoveries of undue payments	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 27 603,66	0,00	– 27 603,66
TOTAL SI						EUR	– 3 955 460,76	0,00	– 3 955 460,76
6 7 0 1 TOTAL						EUR	– 144 674 915,38	– 3 096 302,54	– 141 578 612,84
6 7 0 1 TOTAL						PLN	– 47 466,46	0,00	– 47 466,46

BUDGET ITEM: 6 7 1 1

DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2007	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 10 622,49	0,00	– 10 622,49
DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2007	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 26 244,45	0,00	– 26 244,45
DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 26 877,60	0,00	– 26 877,60
DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 58 076,51	0,00	– 58 076,51

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Ineligibility of landscape features; claim years 2006-2008	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 35 471,28	0,00	– 35 471,28
DE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weakness of on-the-spot checks	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 44 521,80	0,00	– 44 521,80
TOTAL DE						EUR	– 201 814,13	0,00	– 201 814,13
DK	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 885 368,35	0,00	– 885 368,35
DK	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 21 910,78	0,00	– 21 910,78
DK	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 163 737,87	0,00	– 163 737,87
DK	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 107 621,71	0,00	– 107 621,71
DK	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	deficiencies in the LPIS and in the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	– 107 845,80	0,00	– 107 845,80
TOTAL DK						EUR	– 1 286 484,51	0,00	– 1 286 484,51
ES	Cross Compliance	2008	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 41 632,02	0,00	– 41 632,02
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 59,42	0,00	– 59,42
ES	Cross Compliance	2009	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 35 698,54	0,00	– 35 698,54

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2007	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 5,65	0,00	– 5,65
ES	Cross Compliance	2010	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 113,20	0,00	– 113,20
ES	Cross Compliance	2011	Weaknesses in evaluation of sanction, GAEC missing, claim year 2008	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 146,92	0,00	– 146,92
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2009	not correctly carried out verification of the respect of the selection criterion no. 15	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 92 988,50	0,00	– 92 988,50
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2010	not correctly carried out verification of the respect of the selection criterion no. 15	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 75 946,93	0,00	– 75 946,93
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2011	not correctly carried out verification of the respect of the selection criterion no. 15	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 102 417,68	0,00	– 102 417,68
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 4 456,32	0,00	– 4 456,32
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 11 935,48	– 525,56	– 11 409,92
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 5 969,01	0,00	– 5 969,01
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 355 791,09	0,00	– 355 791,09

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	– 6 137,24	0,00	– 6 137,24
ES	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	Weaknesses of the on-the-spot controls	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 791 741,75	0,00	– 791 741,75
TOTAL ES						EUR	– 1 525 039,75	– 525,56	– 1 524 514,19
FI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	Weaknesses in verification of fulfilment of agri-environment commitments	ONE-OFF		EUR	– 286 100,58	0,00	– 286 100,58
FI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2009	lack of verification of annual veterinary certificates	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	621,39	0,00	621,39
FI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2010	lack of verification of annual veterinary certificates	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 155 565,56	0,00	– 155 565,56
FI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2011	lack of verification of annual veterinary certificates	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 178 498,21	0,00	– 178 498,21
TOTAL FI						EUR	– 619 542,96	0,00	– 619 542,96
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 1 925 838,04	0,00	– 1 925 838,04
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 172 593,69	0,00	– 172 593,69
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	– 956 216,42	0,00	– 956 216,42

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 27 483,84	0,00	- 27 483,84
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 371 120,39	0,00	- 2 371 120,39
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, non area related measures)	2010	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS, to the on-the-spot checks and to the payments and sanctions	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 66 227,19	0,00	- 66 227,19
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Lack of traceability for on-the-spot-checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 24 281,80	- 14 359,03	- 9 922,77
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	Lack of traceability for on-the-spot-checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 61 967,26	- 160,30	- 61 806,96
GB	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2011	Lack of traceability for on-the-spot-checks	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 48 023,61	0,00	- 48 023,61
TOTAL GB						EUR	- 5 653 752,24	- 14 519,33	- 5 639 232,91
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses in the LPIS Art. 19 (claim year 2008)	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 91 666,28	0,00	- 91 666,28
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses in the LPIS Art. 20 (claim year 2008)	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 15 653,21	0,00	- 15 653,21
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS (claim year 2008)	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 419 699,24	0,00	- 419 699,24

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2008	Eligibility criterion was not correctly verified by the Member State; weakness in the calculation of the aid	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 421 885,53	0,00	- 421 885,53
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2008	Key controls have not been applied in the depth required by Regulation (EC) No. 1975/2006	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 135 576,72	0,00	- 135 576,72
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2009	Eligibility criterion was not correctly verified by the Member State; weakness in the calculation of the aid	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 1 287 110,85	0,00	- 1 287 110,85
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2009	Key controls have not been applied in the depth required by Regulation (EC) No. 1975/2006	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 376 604,75	0,00	- 376 604,75
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2010	Eligibility criterion was not correctly verified by the Member State; weakness in the calculation of the aid	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 252 412,16	0,00	- 252 412,16
HU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1+3 - Investment orientated measures (2007-2013)	2010	Key controls have not been applied in the depth required by Regulation (EC) No. 1975/2006	ESTIMATED		EUR	- 120 805,70	0,00	- 120 805,70
TOTAL HU						EUR	- 3 121 414,44	0,00	- 3 121 414,44
IE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2007	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 111 165,09	0,00	- 111 165,09

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
IE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2007	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 790 740,00	0,00	- 790 740,00
IE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 195 334,84	0,00	- 195 334,84
IE	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	weaknesses in the LPIS-GIS, on the spot checks and in the registration and control procedure of Common land, application of undue tolerances during administrative cross-checks, incorrect penalty calculation under art.49(1) of R.796/2004	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 635 490,00	0,00	- 635 490,00
TOTAL IE						EUR	- 1 732 729,93	0,00	- 1 732 729,93
LU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	deficiencies in control of animals and in traceability of controls, lack of controls of the delegated on-the-spot controls and lack of comparison of the controls' results	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 145 895,97	0,00	- 145 895,97
LU	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	deficiencies in control of animals and in traceability of controls, lack of controls of the delegated on-the-spot controls and lack of comparison of the controls' results	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 133 296,52	0,00	- 133 296,52
TOTAL LU						EUR	- 279 192,49	0,00	- 279 192,49
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2008	Insufficient control on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 2 052,65	0,00	- 2 052,65
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2008	No control at all on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 3 177,15	0,00	- 3 177,15

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2009	Insufficient control on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 180 994,16	0,00	- 180 994,16
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2009	No control at all on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 280 147,49	0,00	- 280 147,49
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2010	Insufficient control on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 52 158,43	0,00	- 52 158,43
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2010	No control at all on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 80 732,19	0,00	- 80 732,19
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2011	Insufficient control on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 391,95	0,00	- 391,95
LV	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 1 - Measures with flat rate support (2007-2013)	2011	No control at all on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 606,67	0,00	- 606,67
TOTAL LV						EUR	- 600 260,69	0,00	- 600 260,69
PL	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 6 803 699,79	- 3 550 419,56	- 3 253 280,23
PL	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	Weaknesses related to the LPIS-GIS and the administrative cross-checks and to the payments, application of sanctions and retro-active recoveries	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 7 977 501,30	- 4 162 952,15	- 3 814 549,15

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
TOTAL PL						EUR	- 14 781 201,09	- 7 713 371,71	- 7 067 829,38
SI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 3 486,92	0,00	- 3 486,92
SI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2008	Retro-active recoveries of undue payments	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 1 341 839,55	0,00	- 1 341 839,55
SI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2009	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 1 334 760,31	0,00	- 1 334 760,31
SI	Rural Development EAFRD Axis 2 (2007-2013, area related measures)	2010	LPIS weaknesses including ineligible payments resulting from "bridges"	ONE-OFF		EUR	- 744,29	0,00	- 744,29
TOTAL SI						EUR	- 2 680 831,07	0,00	- 2 680 831,07
6 7 1 1 TOTAL						EUR	- 32 482 263,30	- 7 728 416,60	- 24 753 846,70

BUDGET ITEM: 6 5 0 0

HU	Rural Development - Transitional Instrument	2007	Eligibility criterion was not correctly verified by the Member State; weakness in the calculation of the aid	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 575 800,83	0,00	- 575 800,83
TOTAL HU						EUR	- 575 800,83	0,00	- 575 800,83
LV	Rural Development - Transitional Instrument	2008	Insufficient control on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	5,00 %	EUR	- 247 891,63	0,00	- 247 891,63
LV	Rural Development - Transitional Instrument	2008	No control at all on the turnover of the beneficiaries (eligibility criterion)	FLAT RATE	10,00 %	EUR	- 383 693,13	0,00	- 383 693,13
TOTAL LV						EUR	- 631 584,76	0,00	- 631 584,76
SI	Rural Development - Transitional Instrument	2007	LPIS weakness	FLAT RATE	2,00 %	EUR	- 1 453 839,27	0,00	- 1 453 839,27

MS	Measure	Financial Year	Reason	Type	%	Currency	Amount	Deductions	Financial impact
TOTAL SI						EUR	– 1 453 839,27	0,00	– 1 453 839,27
6 500 TOTAL						EUR	– 2 661 224,86	0,00	– 2 661 224,86

NOTICE TO READERS

Council Regulation (EU) No 216/2013 of 7 March 2013 on the electronic publication of the *Official Journal of the European Union*

In accordance with Council Regulation (EU) No 216/2013 of 7 March 2013 on the electronic publication of the *Official Journal of the European Union* (OJ L 69, 13.3.2013, p. 1), as of 1 July 2013, only the electronic edition of the Official Journal shall be considered authentic and shall have legal effect.

Where it is not possible to publish the electronic edition of the Official Journal due to unforeseen and exceptional circumstances, the printed edition shall be authentic and shall have legal effect in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in Article 3 of Regulation (EU) No 216/2013.

NOTE TO READERS — WAY OF REFERRING TO ACTS

As of 1 July 2013 the way of referring to acts has changed.

During a transitional period this new practice will coexist with the previous one.

EUR-Lex (<http://new.eur-lex.europa.eu>) offers direct access to European Union legislation free of charge. The *Official Journal of the European Union* can be consulted on this website, as can the Treaties, legislation, case-law and preparatory acts.

For further information on the European Union, see: <http://europa.eu>



Publications Office of the European Union
2985 Luxembourg
LUXEMBOURG

EN