

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) by order of that court of 11 November 1993 in the case of Centro Italiano Mobili Srl v. Mayor of the Municipality of Pineto

(Case C-461/93)

(94/C 76/09)

Reference has been made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities by order of 11 November 1993 of the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) (Magistrates' Court, Rome, Castelnuovo di Porto Division) which was received at the Court Registry on 8 December 1993, for a preliminary ruling in the case of Centro Italiano Mobili Srl v. Mayor of the Municipality of Pineto on a number of questions which are identical to those in Case C-460/93 ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ See page 4 of this Official Journal.

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) by order of that court of 28 October 1993 in the case of Il 3C Centro Convenienza Casa Srl v. Mayor of the Municipality of Roveredo in Piano

(Case C-462/93)

(94/C 76/10)

Reference has been made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities by order of 28 October 1993 of the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) (Magistrates' Court, Rome, Castelnuovo di Porto Division) which was received at the Court Registry on 8 December 1993, for a preliminary ruling in the case of Il 3C Centro Convenienza Casa Srl v. Mayor of the Municipality of Roveredo in Piano on a number of questions which are identical to those in Case C-460/93 ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ See page 4 of this Official Journal

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) by order of that court of 11 November 1993 in the case of Benelli Confezioni Snc v. Mayor of the Municipality of Capena

(Case C-464/93)

(94/C 76/11)

Reference has been made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities by order of 11 November 1993 of the Pretura Circondariale di Roma (Sezione Distaccata di Castelnuovo di Porto) (Magistrates' Court, Rome, Castelnuovo di Porto Division) which was received at the Court Registry on 14 December 1993, for a preliminary ruling in the case of Benelli Confezioni Snc v.

Mayor of the Municipality of Capena on a number of questions which are identical to those in Case C-460/93 ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ See page 4 of this Official Journal.

Reference for a preliminary ruling by the Verwaltungsgericht Frankfurt am Main by order of that court of 1 December 1993 in the case of (1) Atlanta Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (2) Atlanta Handelsgesellschaft Harder & Co. GmbH, (3) A & B Fruchthandel GmbH, (4) Dessau-Bremer Frucht GmbH, (5) Dörgeloh & Lehman GmbH, (6) Fruchtunion Duisburg GmbH, (7) Fruchtunion Hamburg GmbH, (8) Gemos Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (9) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Siegen, (10) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Nuremberg, (11) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Rostock, (12) Hameico, Schweller & Co. GmbH, (13) Harder, Meiser & Co. Berlin GmbH, (14) Leipziger Bremer Frucht GmbH, (15) Olfko Fruchthandel GmbH, (16) Roland Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (17) Scipio Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH and (18) Union Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH v. Federal Republic of Germany

(Case C-465/93)

(94/C 76/12)

Reference has been made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities by an order of the First Chamber of the Verwaltungsgericht (Administrative Court) Frankfurt am Main of 1 December 1993, which was received at the Court Registry on 14 December 1993, for a preliminary ruling in the case of (1) Atlanta Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (2) Atlanta Handelsgesellschaft Harder & Co. GmbH, (3) A & B Fruchthandel GmbH, (4) Dessau-Bremer Frucht GmbH, (5) Dörgeloh & Lehmann GmbH, (6) Fruchtunion Duisburg GmbH, (7) Fruchtunion Hamburg GmbH, (8) Gemos Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (9) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Siegen, (10) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Nuremberg, (11) Hameico Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, Rostock, (12) Hameico, Schweller & Co. GmbH, (13) Harder, Meiser & Co. Berlin GmbH, (14) Leipziger Bremer Frucht GmbH, (15) Olfko Fruchthandel GmbH, (16) Roland Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH, (17) Scipio Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH and (18) Union Fruchthandelsgesellschaft mbH v. Federal Republic of Germany on the following questions:

1. May a national court which entertains serious doubts as to the validity of a Community Regulation, and has therefore referred the question of the validity of the Community Regulation to the Court of Justice under the preliminary ruling procedure, provisionally define or determine the disputed legal positions or relationships, with reference to an administrative act of a national authority based on the Community Regulation in respect of which the reference has been made, by making a provisional order for the period until the Court of Justice gives its ruling?