

Official Journal of the European Union

C 315



English edition

Information and Notices

Volume 62

19 September 2019

Contents

II *Information*

INFORMATION FROM EUROPEAN UNION INSTITUTIONS, BODIES, OFFICES AND AGENCIES

European Commission

2019/C 315/01	Non-opposition to a notified concentration (Case M.9431 — KKR/Grupo Gallardo Balboa) ⁽¹⁾	1
2019/C 315/02	Non-opposition to a notified concentration (Case M.9514 — Bain Capital Investors/Kantar) ⁽¹⁾	1

IV *Notices*

NOTICES FROM EUROPEAN UNION INSTITUTIONS, BODIES, OFFICES AND AGENCIES

European Commission

2019/C 315/03	Euro exchange rates	2
---------------	---------------------------	---

EN

⁽¹⁾ Text with EEA relevance.

V Announcements

COURT PROCEEDINGS

EFTA Court

2019/C 315/04	Judgment of the Court of 14 May 2019 in Case E-2/18 — C v Concordia Schweizerische Kranken- und Unfallversicherung AG, Landesvertretung Liechtenstein (<i>Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 — Article 24 — Pensioner residing outside the competent State — Benefits in kind in the place of residence — Reimbursement procedure</i>)	3
2019/C 315/05	Judgment of the Court of 14 May 2019 in Case E-3/18 — EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland (<i>Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Regulation (EU) 2015/1051</i>)	4
2019/C 315/06	Judgment of the Court of 14 May 2019 in Case E-4/18 — EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland (<i>Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Regulation (EU) No 524/2013</i>)	5
2019/C 315/07	Judgment of the Court of 14 May 2019 in Case E-5/18 — EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland (<i>Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Directive 2013/11/EU</i>)	6
2019/C 315/08	Judgment of the Court of 14 May 2019 in Case E-6/18 — EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland (<i>Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Directive 2014/52/EU</i>)	7

PROCEDURES RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETITION POLICY

European Commission

2019/C 315/09	Prior notification of a concentration (Case M.9551 — Toyota/Panasonic/Prime Life Technologies JV) — Candidate case for simplified procedure ⁽¹⁾	8
---------------	--	---

⁽¹⁾ Text with EEA relevance.

II

*(Information)*INFORMATION FROM EUROPEAN UNION INSTITUTIONS, BODIES, OFFICES
AND AGENCIES

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Non-opposition to a notified concentration**(Case M.9431 — KKR/Grupo Gallardo Balboa)****(Text with EEA relevance)**

(2019/C 315/01)

On 16 August 2019, the Commission decided not to oppose the above notified concentration and to declare it compatible with the internal market. This decision is based on Article 6(1)(b) of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 ⁽¹⁾. The full text of the decision is available only in English and will be made public after it is cleared of any business secrets it may contain. It will be available:

- in the merger section of the Competition website of the Commission (<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/>). This website provides various facilities to help locate individual merger decisions, including company, case number, date and sectoral indexes,
- in electronic form on the EUR-Lex website (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html?locale=en>) under document number 32019M9431. EUR-Lex is the online access to European law.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1.

Non-opposition to a notified concentration**(Case M.9514 — Bain Capital Investors/Kantar)****(Text with EEA relevance)**

(2019/C 315/02)

On 9 September 2019, the Commission decided not to oppose the above notified concentration and to declare it compatible with the internal market. This decision is based on Article 6(1)(b) of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 ⁽¹⁾. The full text of the decision is available only in English and will be made public after it is cleared of any business secrets it may contain. It will be available:

- in the merger section of the Competition website of the Commission (<http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/>). This website provides various facilities to help locate individual merger decisions, including company, case number, date and sectoral indexes,
- in electronic form on the EUR-Lex website (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/homepage.html?locale=en>) under document number 32019M9514. EUR-Lex is the online access to European law.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1.

IV

(Notices)

NOTICES FROM EUROPEAN UNION INSTITUTIONS, BODIES, OFFICES AND AGENCIES

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Euro exchange rates ⁽¹⁾

18 September 2019

(2019/C 315/03)

1 euro =

Currency	Exchange rate	Currency	Exchange rate		
USD	US dollar	1,1053	CAD	Canadian dollar	1,4645
JPY	Japanese yen	119,54	HKD	Hong Kong dollar	8,6533
DKK	Danish krone	7,4673	NZD	New Zealand dollar	1,7428
GBP	Pound sterling	0,88720	SGD	Singapore dollar	1,5182
SEK	Swedish krona	10,7298	KRW	South Korean won	1 316,22
CHF	Swiss franc	1,0999	ZAR	South African rand	16,1576
ISK	Iceland króna	136,80	CNY	Chinese yuan renminbi	7,8349
NOK	Norwegian krone	9,8905	HRK	Croatian kuna	7,3980
BGN	Bulgarian lev	1,9558	IDR	Indonesian rupiah	15 541,22
CZK	Czech koruna	25,892	MYR	Malaysian ringgit	4,6246
HUF	Hungarian forint	332,89	PHP	Philippine peso	57,643
PLN	Polish zloty	4,3370	RUB	Russian rouble	70,9405
RON	Romanian leu	4,7366	THB	Thai baht	33,750
TRY	Turkish lira	6,2663	BRL	Brazilian real	4,5045
AUD	Australian dollar	1,6149	MXN	Mexican peso	21,3629
			INR	Indian rupee	78,7070

⁽¹⁾ Source: reference exchange rate published by the ECB.

V

(Announcements)

COURT PROCEEDINGS

EFTA COURT

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

of 14 May 2019

in Case E-2/18

C

v

Concordia Schweizerische Kranken- und Unfallversicherung AG, Landesvertretung Liechtenstein

(Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 — Article 24 — Pensioner residing outside the competent State — Benefits in kind in the place of residence — Reimbursement procedure)

(2019/C 315/04)

In Case E-2/18, C v Concordia Schweizerische Kranken- und Unfallversicherung AG, Landesvertretung Liechtenstein — REQUEST to the Court under Article 34 of the Agreement between the EFTA States on the Establishment of a Surveillance Authority and a Court of Justice by the Princely Court of Liechtenstein (*Fürstliches Landgericht*) concerning the interpretation of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the coordination of social security systems, Páll Hreinsson, President, Per Christiansen (Judge-Rapporteur) and Bernd Hammermann, Judges, gave judgment on 14 May 2019, the operative part of which is as follows:

1. When a pensioner is not entitled to benefits in kind in the EEA State of residence, due to the fact that the benefits fall outside the scope of its social security system, the pensioner is entitled, pursuant to Article 24(1) of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 on the coordination of social security systems, to receive benefits in kind at the expense of the competent institution in the EEA State under whose legislation the pension is paid.
2. The pensioner has a right to submit claims for reimbursement directly to the competent institution in the EEA State under whose legislation the pension is paid, in particular, but not only, if he has been refused reimbursement by the State of residence. In accordance with Article 22(1) of Regulation (EC) No 987/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 laying down the procedure for implementing Regulation (EC) No 883/2004 on the coordination of social security systems and Article 76(4) of Regulation (EC) No 883/2004, if the competent institution does not provide the pensioner with information as to the procedure to be followed, that must not adversely affect the pensioner's rights vis-à-vis the institution.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**of 14 May 2019****in Case E-3/18****EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland***(Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Regulation (EU) 2015/1051)**(2019/C 315/05)*

In Case E-3/18, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland — APPLICATION for a declaration that Iceland has failed to adopt the measures necessary to make the Act referred to at point 7ja of Annex XIX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1051 of 1 July 2015 on the modalities for the exercise of the functions of the online dispute resolution platform, on the modalities of the electronic complaint form and on the modalities of the cooperation between contact points provided for in Regulation (EU) No 524/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes) as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement, part of its internal legal order as required by Article 7 of the Agreement, the Court, composed of Páll Hreinsson, President, Per Christiansen (Judge-Rapporteur) and Bernd Hammermann, Judges, gave judgment on 14 May 2019, the operative part of which is as follows:

The Court hereby:

1. Declares that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 7 of the Agreement on the European Economic Area by failing, within the time prescribed, to make part of its internal legal order the Act referred to at point 7ja of Annex XIX to the Agreement (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/1051 of 1 July 2015 on the modalities for the exercise of the functions of the online dispute resolution platform, on the modalities of the electronic complaint form and on the modalities of the cooperation between contact points provided for in Regulation (EU) No 524/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement.
2. Orders Iceland to bear the costs of the proceedings.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**of 14 May 2019****in Case E-4/18****EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland***(Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Regulation (EU) No 524/2013)**(2019/C 315/06)*

In Case E-4/18, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland — APPLICATION for a declaration that Iceland has failed to adopt the measures necessary to make the Act referred to at points 7d, 7f and 7j of Annex XIX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Regulation (EU) No 524/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC (Regulation on consumer ADR)), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement, part of its internal legal order as required by Article 7 of the Agreement, the Court, composed of Páll Hreinsson, President, Per Christiansen (Judge-Rapporteur) and Bernd Hammermann, Judges, gave judgment on 14 May 2019, the operative part of which is as follows:

The Court hereby:

1. Declares that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 7 of the Agreement on the European Economic Area by failing, within the time prescribed, to make part of its internal legal order the Act referred to at points 7d, 7f and 7j of Annex XIX to the Agreement (Regulation (EU) No 524/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on online dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC (Regulation on consumer ADR)), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement.
2. Orders Iceland to bear the costs of the proceedings.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**of 14 May 2019****in Case E-5/18****EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland***(Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Directive 2013/11/EU)**(2019/C 315/07)*

In Case E-5/18, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland — APPLICATION for a declaration that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Act referred to at points 7d, 7f and 7k of Annex XIX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on alternative dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement, and under Article 7 of the Agreement, by failing to adopt the measures necessary to implement the Act within the time prescribed, or in any event, by failing to inform the EFTA Surveillance Authority thereof, the Court, composed of Páll Hreinsson, President, Per Christiansen (Judge-Rapporteur) and Bernd Hammermann, Judges, gave judgment on 14 May 2019, the operative part of which is as follows:

The Court hereby:

1. Declares that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Act referred to at points 7d, 7f and 7k of Annex XIX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Directive 2013/11/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2013 on alternative dispute resolution for consumer disputes and amending Regulation (EC) No 2006/2004 and Directive 2009/22/EC), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement, and under Article 7 of the Agreement, by failing to adopt the measures necessary to implement the Act within the time prescribed.
 2. Orders Iceland to bear the costs of the proceedings.
-

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**of 14 May 2019****in Case E-6/18****EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland***(Failure by an EFTA State to fulfil its obligations — Failure to implement — Directive 2014/52/EU)**(2019/C 315/08)*

In Case E-6/18, EFTA Surveillance Authority v Iceland — APPLICATION for a declaration that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Act referred to at point 1a of Annex XX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment), as adapted by Protocol 1, and under Article 7 of the Agreement, by failing to adopt the measures necessary to implement the Act within the time prescribed, or in any event, by failing to inform the EFTA Surveillance Authority thereof, the Court, composed of Páll Hreinsson, President, Per Christiansen (Judge-Rapporteur) and Bernd Hammermann, Judges, gave judgment on 14 May 2019, the operative part of which is as follows:

The Court hereby:

1. Declares that Iceland has failed to fulfil its obligations under the Act referred to at point 1a of Annex XX to the Agreement on the European Economic Area (Directive 2014/52/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 amending Directive 2011/92/EU on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment), as adapted by Protocol 1 to the Agreement, and under Article 7 of the Agreement, by failing to adopt the measures necessary to implement the Act within the time prescribed.
 2. Orders Iceland to bear the costs of the proceedings.
-

PROCEDURES RELATING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPETITION
POLICY

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Prior notification of a concentration

(Case M.9551 — Toyota/Panasonic/Prime Life Technologies JV)

Candidate case for simplified procedure

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2019/C 315/09)

1. On 10 September 2019, the Commission received notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 ⁽¹⁾.

This notification concerns the following undertakings:

- Toyota Motor Corporation (Japan),
- Panasonic Corporation (Japan).

Toyota and Panasonic acquire within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) and 3(4) of the Merger Regulation joint control of Prime Life Technologies JV.

The concentration is accomplished by way of purchase of shares in a newly created company constituting a joint venture.

2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:

- for Toyota: primarily active in the design, manufacture, assembly and sale of passenger vehicles, minivans and commercial vehicles (such as trucks) and related parts and accessories globally,
- for Panasonic: primarily active in the development, manufacture, and sale of a wide range of audio-visual and communication products, home appliances, electronic components and devices (including batteries), industrial and other products globally,
- for Prime Life Technologies JV: to be active in construction services, housing construction, home remodelling and/or elderly care services mainly in Japan.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified transaction could fall within the scope of the Merger Regulation. However, the final decision on this point is reserved.

Pursuant to the Commission Notice on a simplified procedure for treatment of certain concentrations under the Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 ⁽²⁾ it should be noted that this case is a candidate for treatment under the procedure set out in the Notice.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation to the Commission.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. The following reference should always be specified:

M.9551 — Toyota/Panasonic/Prime Life Technologies JV

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 24, 29.1.2004, p. 1 (the 'Merger Regulation').

⁽²⁾ OJ C 366, 14.12.2013, p. 5.

Observations can be sent to the Commission by email, by fax, or by post. Please use the contact details below:

Email: COMP-MERGER-REGISTRY@ec.europa.eu

Fax +32 22964301

Postal address:

European Commission
Directorate-General for Competition
Merger Registry
1049 Bruxelles/Brussel
BELGIQUE/BELGIË

ISSN 1977-091X (electronic edition)
ISSN 1725-2423 (paper edition)



Publications Office of the European Union
2985 Luxembourg
LUXEMBOURG

EN