ISSN 0378-6986

C 124

Volume 45

25 May 2002

# Official Journal

## of the European Communities

Information and Notices

Notice No	Contents	Page
	I Information	
	Council	
2002/C 124/01	Communication relating to the opening of the quotas laid down by Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, of 21 May 2002, for the imports of certain ECSC steel products originating in Kazakhstan	1
2002/C 124/02	Communication relating to the opening of the quotas laid down by Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, of 21 May 2002, for the imports of certain ECSC steel products originating in the Russian Federation	2
2002/C 124/03	Council Decision of 7 May 2002 on the designation of the European Capital of Culture 2005	4
	Commission	
2002/C 124/04	Euro exchange rates	5
2002/C 124/05	Notice of initiation of an examination procedure concerning an obstacle to trade, within the meaning of Council Regulation (EC) No 3286/94, consisting of trade practices maintained by Canada in relation to certain geographical indications for wines	6
2002/C 124/06	Prior notification of a concentration (Case COMP/M.2806 — SABIC/DSM Petro- chemicals) ( <sup>1</sup> )	8



1

English edition

Ι

### (Information)

### COUNCIL

### Communication relating to the opening of the quotas laid down by Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, of 21 May 2002, for the imports of certain ECSC steel products originating in Kazakhstan

(2002/C 124/01)

Following the Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council of 21 May 2002, the communication 2001/C 374/03 relating to the opening of the quotas (<sup>1</sup>) is amended as follows:

- 1. The period of validity mentioned in paragraph 1 is hereby modified into 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002.
- 2. In Appendix 2, Article 4(1), the date '30 September 2002' is replaced by '31 March 2003'; the date '30 June 2002' is replaced by '31 December 2002'.
- 3. Appendix 7 of that Annex is replaced by the attached Appendix 7.

All other elements of communication (2001/C 374/03) remain valid.

(1) OJ C 374, 29.12.2001, p. 23.

### Appendix 7

### QUANTITATIVE LIMITS

	(tonnes)
Products	1.1.2002-31.12.2002
SA. Flat products	
SA1. Coils	50 000
SA1a. Coils for re-rolling	5 000
SA2. Heavy plate	0
SA3. Other flat products	53 000

EN

### Communication relating to the opening of the quotas laid down by Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States, meeting within the Council, of 21 May 2002, for the imports of certain ECSC steel products originating in the Russian Federation

### (2002/C 124/02)

Following the Decision of the Representatives of the Governments of the Member States meeting within the Council of 21 May 2002, the communication 2001/C 374/02 relating to the opening of the quotas (<sup>1</sup>) is amended as follows:

- 1. The period of validity mentioned in paragraph 1 is hereby modified into 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002.
- 2. Appendix 1 of that Annex is replaced by the attached Appendix 1.
- 3. In Appendix 2, Article 4, paragraph 1 is replaced by the following:

'1. To the extent that the Commission pursuant to Article 4 of the Annex has confirmed that the amount requested is available within the quantitative limit in question, the competent authorities of the Member States shall issue an import authorisation within a maximum of five working days of the presentation by the importer of the original of the corresponding export licence. This presentation must be effected not later than 31 March 2003 provided that the goods covered by the licence have been shipped before 31 December 2002. Import authorisation shall be issued by the competent authorities of any Member State irrespective of the Member State indicated on the export licence, to the extent that the Commission, pursuant to Article 4 of the Annex, has confirmed that the amount requested is available within the quantitative limit in question.'

4. Appendix 7 of that Annex is replaced by the attached Appendix 7.

All other elements of communication (2001/C 374/02) remain valid.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 374, 29.12.2001, p. 7.

EN

### Appendix 1 SA. Flat-rolled products 7209 18 99 7219 35 10 7214 91 90 7209 25 00 7219 35 90 SA1. Coils 7214 99 10 7209 26 10 7214 99 31 7208 10 00 7225 40 80 7209 26 90 7214 99 39 7208 25 00 7209 27 10 SA4. Alloyed products 7208 26 00 7214 99 50 7209 27 90 7226 20 20 7208 27 00 7214 99 61 7209 28 10 7226 91 10 7208 36 00 7214 99 69 7209 28 90 7208 37 90 7226 91 90 7214 99 80 7209 90 10 7226 99 20 7208 38 90 7214 99 90 7208 39 90 7210 11 10 SB. Long products 7215 90 10 7211 14 10 7210 12 11 SB1. Beams 7211 19 20 7210 12 19 7216 10 00 7207 19 31 7210 20 10 7219 11 00 7216 21 00 7207 20 71 7210 30 10 7216 22 00 7219 12 10 7210 41 10 7216 40 10 7219 12 90 7216 31 11 7210 49 10 7216 40 90 7219 13 10 7216 31 19 7210 50 10 7219 13 90 7216 31 91 7216 50 10 7210 61 10 7219 14 10 7216 50 91 7216 31 99 7210 69 10 7219 14 90 7216 50 99 7216 32 11 7210 70 31 7216 99 10 7216 32 19 7225 20 20 7210 70 39 7216 32 91 7225 30 00 7218 99 20 7210 90 31 7216 32 99 7210 90 33 7216 33 10 7222 11 11 SA1a. Hot rolled coils 7210 90 38 for rerolling 7216 33 90 7222 11 19 7222 11 21 7208 37 10 7211 14 90 SB2. Wire road 7222 11 29 7208 38 10 7211 19 90 7208 39 10 7213 10 00 7222 11 91 7211 23 10 7213 20 00 7222 11 99 7211 23 51 SA2. Heavy plate 7213 91 10 7222 19 10 7211 29 20 7213 91 20 7222 19 90 7208 40 10 7211 90 11 7222 30 10 7213 91 41 7208 51 10 7222 40 10 7213 91 49 7208 51 30 7212 10 10 7213 91 70 7222 40 30 7208 51 50 7212 10 91 7213 91 90 7212 20 11 7208 51 91 7224 90 31 7213 99 10 7208 51 99 7212 30 11 7224 90 39 7213 99 90 7208 52 10 7212 40 10 7208 52 91 7212 40 91 7228 10 10 7221 00 10 7208 52 99 7212 50 31 7228 10 30 7221 00 90 7208 53 10 7212 50 51 7228 20 11 7227 10 00 7212 60 11 7228 20 19 7211 13 00 7227 20 00 7212 60 91 7228 20 30 7227 90 10 7228 30 20 SA3. Other flat rolled products 7227 90 50 7219 21 10 7228 30 41 7208 40 90 7227 90 95 7219 21 90 7228 30 49 7208 53 90 7219 22 10 7228 30 61 7208 54 10 SB3. Other longs 7219 22 90 7228 30 69 7208 54 90 7207 19 11 7219 23 00 7228 30 70 7208 90 10 7207 19 14 7219 24 00 7228 30 89 7207 19 16 7209 15 00 7219 31 00 7228 60 10 7207 20 51 7209 16 10 7219 32 10 7228 70 10 7207 20 55 7209 16 90 7219 32 90 7228 70 31 7207 20 57 7209 17 10 7219 33 10 7228 80 10 7209 17 90 7219 33 90 7214 20 00 7228 80 90 7209 18 10 7219 34 10 7214 30 00 7301 10 00 7219 34 90 7209 18 91 7214 91 10

### Appendix 7

### QUANTITATIVE LIMITS

	(tonnes)
Products	1.1.2002-31.12.2002
SA. Flat products	
SA1. Coils	259 000
SA1a. Hot-rolled coils for re-rolling	485 000
SA2. Heavy plate	60 000
SA3. Other flat products	80 000
SA4. Alloyed products	90 000
SB. Long products	
SB1. Beams	15 000
SB2. Wire rod	60 000
SB3. Other long products	165 000

### **COUNCIL DECISION**

### of 7 May 2002

### on the designation of the European Capital of Culture 2005

(2002/C 124/03)

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Decision No 1419/1999/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 May 1999 establishing a Community action for the European Capital of Culture event for the years 2005 to 2019 (<sup>1</sup>), and in particular Article 2(3) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) The Selection Panel submitted its report to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on 28 September 2001.
- (2) The European Parliament forwarded its Opinion to the Commission on the nominations on 11 December 2001,

Article 1

The City of Cork shall be designated as the European Capital of Culture 2005.

### Article 2

The City of Cork shall take all necessary measures to ensure the effective implementation of Articles 1 and 5 of Decision No 1419/1999/EC.

Done at Brussels on 7 May 2002.

For the Council

The President

R. DE RATO Y FIGAREDO

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ L 166, 1.7.1999, p. 1.

### COMMISSION

Euro exchange rates (1)

24 May 2002

(2002/C 124/04)

1 euro	=	7,4368	Danish krone
	=	9,1128	Swedish krona
	=	0,6327	Pound sterling
	=	0,9188	United States dollar
	=	1,4157	Canadian dollar
	=	114,94	Japanese yen
	=	1,458	Swiss franc
	=	7,4435	Norwegian krone
	=	84,9	Icelandic króna ( <sup>2</sup> )
	=	1,6578	Australian dollar
	=	1,963	New Zealand dollar
	=	9,1558	South African rand (2)

<sup>(1)</sup> Source: reference exchange rate published by the ECB.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Source: Commission.

EN

# Notice of initiation of an examination procedure concerning an obstacle to trade, within the meaning of Council Regulation (EC) No 3286/94, consisting of trade practices maintained by Canada in relation to certain geographical indications for wines

(2002/C 124/05)

On 6 December 2001, the Commission received a complaint, pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EC) No 3286/94 (<sup>1</sup>) (hereinafter 'the Regulation').

### 1. Complainant

The complaint was lodged by the Conseil Interprofessional du Vin de Bordeaux ('CIVB'). The CVIB is a trade organisation representing winegrowers amd traders in Bordeaux and was set up in 1948. The CIVB is made up of representatives of winegrowers and traders who are chosen by their respective organisations, proposed by each of the production and trader federations and appointed by the State. The CIVB represents all winegrowers and over 85 % of traders in the Bordeaux region.

CIVB is an association acting on behalf of Community enterprises within the meaning of Articles 2(6) and 4(1) of the Regulation.

### 2. Product

This complaint concerns wines with the geographical indication 'Bordeaux' or 'Médoc'.

The wines under examination are classified in the combined nomenclature (CN) under the codes 2204 21 12, 2204 21 42, 2204 29 12 and 2204 29 42.

The examination which the Commission is initiating may also cover other products which appear to be affected in a similar way to the wines under investigation and in particular those in respect of which interested parties that make themselves known within the time limits mentioned below (see point 8), provide evidence that the alleged practices are applicable.

### 3. Subject

The complaint concerns a trade barrier allegedly caused by Canada, which adversely affects the Community exports of the products in question to the Canadian market.

The challenged Canadian practice is based on the legislation adopted by Canada to implement the Agreement on traderelated aspects of intellectual property rights (TRIPs). This Act came into force on 1 January 1996 and amended the Canadian Trade-Marks Act. It is referred to in the complaint as the C-57 Amendment. According to the complainant, the C-57 Amendment deprives the geographical indications at issue (Bordeaux and Médoc) of a standard of protection in compliance with the protection requirements laid down by TRIPs for geographical indications for wines.

### 4. Allegation of obstacles to trade

The complainant claims that Canada by maintaining the abovementioned trade measure violates Articles 23(1) and (2) as well as Article 24(3) of the TRIPs.

The complaint contests Sections 11.14 and 11.15 of the C-57 Amendment, where it is stated that: 'No person shall adopt in connection with a business, as a trademark or otherwise, a protected geographical indication identifying a wine in respect of a wine not originating in the territory indicated by the protected geographical indication; or a translation, in any language of the geographical indication in respect of that wine.'

Canada implemented Article 23 of the TRIPs via a registration system allowing special protection to be conferred on geographical indications for wines and spirits. Nonetheless, subsections 11.18(3) and (4) of the C-57 Amendment provides that: 'Nothwithstanding Sections 11.14 and 11.15 and paragraphs 12(1)(g) and (h), nothing in any of those provisions prevents the adoption, use or registration as a trademark or otherwise, in connection with a business, of the following indications; in respect of wines: ... (m) Bordeaux; ... (r) Médoc; (s) Médoc ...'. Accordingly, 'Bordeaux' and 'Médoc' geographical indications are excluded from the special protection provided for in Section 11.14 of the C-57 Amendment.

The complainant also claims that the C-57 Amendment is in breach of the so-called 'standstill obligation' provided for by Article 24(3) of TRIPs.

The complainant has provided sufficient prima-facie evidence that the contested measures are inconsistent with the obligations of Canada under the WTO Agreement, in particular with Articles 23(1), 23(2) and 24(3) of TRIPs, and that they thereby constitute an obstacle to trade within the meaning of Article 2(1) of the TBR.

### 5. Allegation of adverse trade effects

The complainant contends that it is suffering, and is threatened with further, adverse trade effects within the meaning of Article 2(4) of the Regulation.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Council Regulation (EC) No 3286/94 of 22 December 1994 laying down Community procedures in the field of the common commercial policy in order to ensure the exercise of the Community's rights under international trade rules, in particular those established under the auspices of the World Trade Organisation (OJ L 349, 31.12.1994, p. 71), as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 356/95 (OJ L 41, 23.2.1995, p. 3).

The alleged obstacles to trade identified in CIVB's complaint, i.e. the qualification of 'Bordeaux' and 'Médoc' as generic under Canadian law, appear, to deprive the complainant of its intellectual property rights in 'Bordeaux' and 'Médoc' in Canada, thus causing adverse trade effects.

The adverse trade effects referred to above could have a material impact on a specific region of the Community, namely the Bordeaux reigon in France where most of the exported wine is produced.

Under such circumstances, there appears to be prima facie evidence of adverse trade effects as defined in Article 2(4) of the Regulation.

### 6. Community interest

A correct implementation of the TRIPs Agreement by Canada is undeniably in the interest of the Community. The protection and enforcement of these rights, and in particular of denominations of origin, is of great importance for the economy of several regions of the Community. In this connection, there is a need to examine the WTO compatibility of the Canadian trade practices concerning protection of geographical indications.

The Commission is currently negotiating an agreement with Canada on trade in wine and spirits, aimed, among other things, at improving the protection of EC denominations of origin, in particular by ending their generic usage, in that country. If successfully concluded, this agreement could represent an appropriate means to protect the Community interests in this field.

Given the above circumstances, it is considered to be in the Community's interest to initiate an examination procedure.

### 7. Procedure

Having decided, after consultation of the Advisory Committee established by the Regulation, that there is sufficient evidence to justify initiating an examination procedure for the purpose of considering the legal and factual issues involved, and that this is in the interest of the Community, the Commission has commenced an examination in accordance with Article 8 of the Regulation.

Interested parties may make themselves known and make known their views in writing, in particular by addressing the specific issues raised in the complaint, and by providing supporting evidence.

Furthermore, the Commission will hear parties who so request in writing when making themselves known, provided that they are a party primarily concerned by the result of the procedure.

This notice is published in accordance with Article 8(1)(a) of the Regulation.

### 8. Time limit

Any information relating to the matter and any requests for hearings should be sent in writing to:

European Commission Directorate-General for Trade Mr Ignacio Garcia Bercero, DG Trade D/3 CHAR 9/74 B-1049 Brussels Fax (32-2) 299 32 64.

Any such information or request for a hearing should reach the Commission not later than 30 days following the publication of this notice.

### Prior notification of a concentration

### (Case COMP/M.2806 — SABIC/DSM Petrochemicals)

### (2002/C 124/06)

### (Text with EEA relevance)

1. On 15 May 2002 the Commission received a notification of a proposed concentration pursuant to Article 4 of Council Regulation (EEC) No 4064/89 (<sup>1</sup>), as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1310/97 (<sup>2</sup>), by which the undertaking Sabic Basic Industries Corporation (SABIC), Saudi Arabia, acquires, within the meaning of Article 3(1)(b) of the Regulation, control of the whole of DSM Petrochemicals (DPC), Netherlands, by way of purchase of shares.

- 2. The business activities of the undertakings concerned are:
- SABIC: International industrial group that is primarily active in the manufacture and sale of basic chemicals, polymers, fertilizer and metals,
- DPC: The business group of DSM NV, manufacture and sale of polyethylene, polypropylene, hydrocarbons and other chemicals.

3. On preliminary examination, the Commission finds that the notified concentration could fall within the scope of Regulation (EEC) No 4064/89. However, the final decision on this point is reserved.

4. The Commission invites interested third parties to submit their possible observations on the proposed operation.

Observations must reach the Commission not later than 10 days following the date of this publication. Observations can be sent by fax (No (32-2) 296 43 01 or 296 72 44) or by post, under reference COMP/M.2806 — SABIC/DSM Petrochemicals, to:

European Commission, Directorate-General for Competition, Directorate B — Merger Task Force, J-70, B-1049 Brussels.

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ L 395, 30.12.1989, p. 1; corrigendum: OJ L 257, 21.9.1990, p. 13.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 180, 9.7.1997, p. 1; corrigendum: OJ L 40, 13.2.1998, p. 17.