# Official Journal

## L 315

## of the European Union



English edition

## Legislation

Volume 61

12 December 2018

Contents

II Non-legislative acts

#### REGULATIONS

| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1949 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for bigeye tuna in the Atlantic Ocean by vessels flying the flag of Spain   | 1  |
|---|---|----|
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1950 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for haddock in Union and international waters of 5b and 6a by vessels flying the flag of Spain  | 4  |
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1951 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for tusk in Union and international waters of 5, 6 and 7 by vessels flying the flag of Spain  | 7  |
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1952 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for alfonsinos in the Union and international waters of III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XII and XIV by vessels flying the flag of Spain | 10 |
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1953 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for plaice in areas 7h, 7j and 7k by vessels flying the flag of France  | 13 |
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1954 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for cod in NAFO 3M area by vessels flying the flag of a Member State of the European Union  | 16 |
| * | Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/1955 of 4 December 2018 establishing a prohibition of fishing for undulate ray in Union waters of 9 by vessels flying the flag of Portugal  | 19 |
| * | Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1956 of 6 December 2018 approving an amendment to the specification for a Protected Designation of Origin or a Protected Geographical Indication ('Μαντινεία' (Mantinia) (PDO))            | 22 |



Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

| *   | Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/1957 of 11 December 2018 amending Regulation (EC) No 885/2010 as regards the terms of authorisation of the preparation of narasin and nicarbazin as a feed additive for chickens for fattening (holder of authorisation Eli Lilly and Company Ltd) (1)   | 23 |
|-----|---|----|
| DEC | CISIONS   |    |
| *   | Council Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/1958 of 6 December 2018 on the appointment of the Chair of the ECB Supervisory Board  | 25 |
| *   | Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/1959 of 10 December 2018 derogating from Council Directive 2000/29/EC as regards measures to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the Union of the harmful organism Agrilus planipennis (Fairmaire) through wood originating in Canada and in the United States of America (notified under document C(2018) 8235)   | 27 |
| *   | Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/1960 of 10 December 2018 on a safeguard measure taken by Sweden pursuant to Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, to prohibit the placing on the market a type of pinsetter machine and a supplementary kit to be used together with that type of pinsetter machine, manufactured by Brunswick Bowling & Billiards, and to withdraw those machines already placed on the market (notified under document C(2018) 8253) (1) | 29 |
| *   | Commission Decision (EU) 2018/1961 of 11 December 2018 laying down internal rules concerning the provision of information to data subjects and the restriction of certain of their rights in the context of the processing of personal data for the purpose of internal audit activities  | 35 |
| *   | Commission Decision (EU) 2018/1962 of 11 December 2018 laying down internal rules concerning the processing of personal data by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) in relation to the provision of information to data subjects and the restriction of certain of their rights in accordance with Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council  | 41 |
|     |   |    |

II

(Non-legislative acts)

#### **REGULATIONS**

#### **COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1949**

#### of 4 December 2018

## establishing a prohibition of fishing for bigeye tuna in the Atlantic Ocean by vessels flying the flag of Spain

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EÜ) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No           | 46/TQ120                     |
|--------------|------------------------------|
| Member State | Spain                        |
| Stock        | BET/ATLANT                   |
| Species      | Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus) |
| Zone         | Atlantic Ocean               |
| Closing date | 14.11.2018                   |

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1950

#### of 4 December 2018

establishing a prohibition of fishing for haddock in Union and international waters of 5b and 6a by vessels flying the flag of Spain

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No           | 45/TQ120                                    |
|--------------|---|
| Member State | Spain                                       |
| Stock        | HAD/5BC6A.                                  |
| Species      | Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus)          |
| Zone         | Union and international waters of 5b and 6a |
| Closing date | 14.11.2018                                  |

#### **COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1951**

#### of 4 December 2018

establishing a prohibition of fishing for tusk in Union and international waters of 5, 6 and 7 by vessels flying the flag of Spain

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EÜ) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No           | 44/TQ120                                     |
|--------------|--|
| Member State | Spain  |
| Stock        | USK/567EI.                                   |
| Species      | Tusk (Brosme brosme)                         |
| Zone         | Union and international waters of 5, 6 and 7 |
| Closing date | 14.11.2018                                   |

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1952

#### of 4 December 2018

establishing a prohibition of fishing for alfonsinos in the Union and international waters of III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XII and XIV by vessels flying the flag of Spain

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2016/2285 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this (2)Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock, (3)

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### Quota exhaustion

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(</sup>¹) OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1. (²) Council Regulation (EU) 2016/2285 of 12 December 2016 fixing for 2017 and 2018 the fishing opportunities for Union fishing vessels for certain deep-sea fish stocks and amending Council Regulation (EU) 2016/72 (OJ L 344, 17.12.2016, p. 32).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No           | 43/TQ2285   |
|--------------|---|
| Member State | Spain   |
| Stock        | ALF/3X14-   |
| Species      | Alfonsinos (Beryx spp.)   |
| Zone         | Union and international waters of III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XII and XIV |
| Closing date | 14.11.2018  |

#### **COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1953**

#### of 4 December 2018

### establishing a prohibition of fishing for plaice in areas 7h, 7j and 7k by vessels flying the flag of France

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EÜ) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels 4 December 2018.

| No           | 41/TQ120                       |
|--------------|--------------------------------|
| Member State | France                         |
| Stock        | PLE/7HJK.                      |
| Species      | Plaice (Pleuronectes platessa) |
| Zone         | 7h, 7j and 7k                  |
| Closing date | 25.10.2018                     |

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1954

#### of 4 December 2018

establishing a prohibition of fishing for cod in NAFO 3M area by vessels flying the flag of a Member State of the European Union

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No             | 40/TQ120                           |
|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Member State   | European Union (All Member States) |
| Stock          | COD/N3M.                           |
| Species        | Cod (Gadus morhua)                 |
| Zone           | NAFO 3M                            |
| Closing period | 24 October 2018 at 12.00 UTC       |

#### **COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2018/1955**

#### of 4 December 2018

## establishing a prohibition of fishing for undulate ray in Union waters of 9 by vessels flying the flag of Portugal

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009 of 20 November 2009 establishing a Union control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the common fisheries policy (1), and in particular Article 36(2) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2018/120 (2) lays down quotas for 2018.
- (2) According to the information received by the Commission, catches of the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein have exhausted the quota allocated for 2018.
- (3) It is therefore necessary to prohibit fishing activities for that stock,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

#### **Quota exhaustion**

The fishing quota allocated to the Member State referred to in the Annex to this Regulation for the stock referred to therein for 2018 shall be deemed to be exhausted from the date set out in that Annex.

#### Article 2

#### **Prohibitions**

Fishing activities for the stock referred to in the Annex to this Regulation by vessels flying the flag of or registered in the Member State referred to therein shall be prohibited from the date set out in that Annex. In particular it shall be prohibited to retain on board, relocate, tranship or land fish from that stock caught by those vessels after that date.

#### Article 3

#### **Entry into force**

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Regulation (EÜ) 2018/120 of 23 January 2018 fixing for 2018 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters, and amending Regulation (EU) 2017/127 (OJ L 27, 31.1.2018, p. 1).

Done at Brussels, 4 December 2018.

| No           | 39/TQ120                     |
|--------------|------------------------------|
| Member State | Portugal                     |
| Stock        | RJU/9-C.                     |
| Species      | Undulate ray (raja undulata) |
| Zone         | Union waters of 9            |
| Closing date | 4.10.2018                    |

#### **COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2018/1956**

#### of 6 December 2018

### approving an amendment to the specification for a Protected Designation of Origin or a Protected Geographical Indication ('Μαντινεία' (Mantinia) (PDO))

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products and repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No 1234/2007 (1), and in particular Article 99 thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) The Commission has examined the application for the approval of an amendment to the specification for the Protected Designation of Origin 'Μαντινεία' (Mantinia), sent by Greece in accordance with Article 105 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013.
- (2) The Commission has published the application for the approval of an amendment to the specification in the Official Journal of the European Union, as required by Article 97(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 (2).
- (3) No statement of objection has been received by the Commission under Article 98 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013.
- (4) The amendment to the specification should therefore be approved in accordance with Article 99 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013.
- (5) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

The amendment to the specification published in the Official Journal of the European Union regarding the name 'Mavtwela' (Mantinia) (PDO) is hereby approved.

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 6 December 2018.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Phil HOGAN
Member of the Commission

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671.

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ C 302, 28.8.2018, p. 13.

#### **COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2018/1957**

#### of 11 December 2018

amending Regulation (EC) No 885/2010 as regards the terms of authorisation of the preparation of narasin and nicarbazin as a feed additive for chickens for fattening (holder of authorisation Eli Lilly and Company Ltd)

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition (1), and in particular Article 13(3) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 provides for the authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition and for the grounds and procedures for granting such authorisation.
- (2) The use of preparation of narasin and nicarbazin as a feed additive was authorised for 10 years for chickens for fattening by Commission Regulation (EU) No 885/2010 (2).
- (3) In accordance with Article 13(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, the holder of the authorisation proposed changing the terms of authorisation of the preparation by submitting an application to modify the content of micro tracer red from 11 g/kg to a range of 4-11g/kg. The application was accompanied by the relevant supporting data.
- (4) The European Food Safety Authority ('the Authority') concluded in its opinion of 18 October 2016 (3) that the reduction of the content of micro tracer red from 11g/kg to a range of 4-11g/kg of additive has no effect on the physicochemical and biological properties of the additive. Therefore it came to the conclusion that the assessment of safety and efficacy made for the former formulation with 11g/kg micro tracer red is still valid for the new formulation. The Authority does not consider that there is a need for specific requirements of postmarket monitoring. It also verified the report on the method of analysis of the feed additive in feed submitted by the Reference Laboratory set up by Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003.
- (5) On 10 July 2018 Eli Lilly and Company Ltd has also submitted an application under Article 13(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 proposing to change the name of the holder of the authorisation. The applicant claimed that, with effect from 3 April 2018, Elanco GmbH, a division of Eli Lilly and Company Ltd, is to be considered holder of the marketing rights for the above mentioned additive. The application was accompanied by the relevant supporting data.
- (6) The proposed change of the terms of the authorisation concerning the name of the holder of the authorisation is purely administrative in nature and does not entail a new assessment of the additive concerned. The Authority was informed of the application.
- (7) The assessment of the preparation of narasin and nicarbazin with the new content of micro-tracer red shows that the conditions for authorisation, as provided for in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, are satisfied.
- (8) Regulation (EU) No 885/2010 should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (9) Since safety reasons do not require the immediate application of the amendments made by this Regulation, it is appropriate to provide for a transitional period during which the existing stocks of the feed additive narasin and nicarbazin, which are in conformity with the provisions applying before the date of entry into force of this Regulation may continue to be placed on the market and used until they are exhausted.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29.

<sup>(2)</sup> Commission Regulation (EU) No 885/2010 of 7 October 2010 concerning the authorisation of the preparation of narasin and nicarbazin as a feed additive for chickens for fattening (holder of authorisation Eli Lilly and Company Ltd) and amending Regulation (EC) No 2430/1999 (OJ L 265, 8.10.2010, p. 5).

<sup>(3)</sup> EFSA Journal 2016; 14(11):4614.

(10) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

The Annex to Regulation (EC) No 885/2010 is amended as follows:

- a) in column 2, the words 'Eli Lilly and Company Ltd' are replaced by 'Elanco GmbH';
- b) in column 4 'Composition, chemical formula, description, analytical method', 'Additive composition', in the content of Micro tracer red, the words '11 g/kg' are replaced by '4-11 g/kg'.

#### Article 2

Existing stocks of this additive which are in conformity with the provisions applying before the date of entry into force of this Regulation may continue to be placed on the market and used until they are exhausted.

#### Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 11 December 2018.

For the Commission
The President
Jean-Claude JUNCKER

#### **DECISIONS**

## COUNCIL IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2018/1958 of 6 December 2018

#### on the appointment of the Chair of the ECB Supervisory Board

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (¹), and in particular Article 26(3) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) On 15 October 2013 the Council adopted Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013, conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank (ECB) concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions.
- (2) The planning and execution of the tasks conferred on the ECB should be fully undertaken by its Supervisory Board, which is composed of the Chair, the Vice Chair and four representatives of the ECB as well as one representative of the national competent authority in each participating Member State.
- (3) The Supervisory Board is an essential body in the exercise of supervisory tasks by the ECB. Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 therefore conferred upon the Council the power to appoint the Chair and the Vice Chair of the Supervisory Board.
- (4) On 16 December 2013 the Council appointed the first Chair of the Supervisory Board by means of Council Implementing Decision 2013/797/EU (²). Pursuant to Article 26(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013, the term of office of the Chair of the Supervisory Board is five years and is not renewable.
- (5) In accordance with Article 26(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013, on 7 November 2018 the ECB, on the basis of an open selection procedure from among individuals of recognised standing and experience in banking and financial matters and after hearing the Supervisory Board, submitted to the European Parliament a proposal for the appointment of Mr Andrea ENRIA as Chair of the Supervisory Board. The European Parliament approved that proposal on 29 November 2018,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

Mr Andrea ENRIA is appointed as Chair of the Supervisory Board of the European Central Bank for a period of five years from 1 January 2019.

#### Article 2

This Decision shall enter into force on the date of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 287, 29.10.2013, p. 63.

<sup>(2)</sup> Council Implementing Decision 2013/797/EU of 16 December 2013 implementing Council Regulation (EU) No 1024/2013 of 15 October 2013 conferring specific tasks on the European Central Bank concerning policies relating to the prudential supervision of credit institutions (OJ L 352, 24.12.2013, p. 50).

This Decision shall apply from 1 January 2019.

Done at Brussels, 6 December 2018.

For the Council The President H. KICKL

#### **COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2018/1959**

#### of 10 December 2018

derogating from Council Directive 2000/29/EC as regards measures to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the Union of the harmful organism Agrilus planipennis (Fairmaire) through wood originating in Canada and in the United States of America

(notified under document C(2018) 8235)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Directive 2000/29/EC of 8 May 2000 on protective measures against the introduction into the Community of organisms harmful to plants or plant products and against their spread within the Community (¹), and in particular Article 15(1) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Agrilus planipennis (Fairmaire) is a harmful organism listed under point (a)1.2 in Annex I, Part A, Section I to Directive 2000/29/EC as an organism not known to occur in the Union.
- (2) The provisions set out under point 2.3 in Annex IV, Part A, Section I to Directive 2000/29/EC establish special requirements to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the Union of the harmful organism *Agrilus planipennis* (Fairmaire) through wood originating in certain third countries.
- (3) Following the information collected in 2018 during two audits of the European Commission in Canada and the United States of America, the implementation of the conditions set out under option (b) of point 2.3 in Annex IV, Part A, Section I to Directive 2000/29/EC is not sufficiently verified prior to export.
- (4) It is therefore appropriate not to allow the introduction into the Union of wood of Fraxinus L., Juglans ailantifolia Carr., Juglans Mandshurica Maxim., Ulmus davidiana Planch. and Pterocarya rhoifolia Siebold and Zucc. originating in Canada and the United States of America under an official statement as referred to in that option (b).
- (5) This Decision should expire on 30 June 2020, to allow for the review of point 2.3 in Annex IV, Part A, Section I to Directive 2000/29/EC on the basis of the scientific and technical developments.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

By way of derogation from the provisions set out under point 2.3 in Annex IV, Part A, Section I to Directive 2000/29/EC, the introduction into the Union territory of wood of Fraxinus L., Juglans ailantifolia Carr., Juglans Mandshurica Maxim., Ulmus davidiana Planch. and Pterocarya rhoifolia Siebold and Zucc. originating in Canada and the United States of America shall only be allowed under official statements referred to in options (a) and (c) of that point 2.3.

Article 2

This Decision shall expire on 30 June 2020.

#### Article 3

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 December 2018.

For the Commission Vytenis ANDRIUKAITIS Member of the Commission

#### **COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2018/1960**

#### of 10 December 2018

on a safeguard measure taken by Sweden pursuant to Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, to prohibit the placing on the market a type of pinsetter machine and a supplementary kit to be used together with that type of pinsetter machine, manufactured by Brunswick Bowling & Billiards, and to withdraw those machines already placed on the market

(notified under document C(2018) 8253)

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Directive 2006/42/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 on machinery, and amending Directive 95/16/EC (¹), and in particular the second subparagraph of Article 11(3) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) On 18 December 2013, Sweden informed the Commission of its decision of 30 August 2013 to take a safeguard measure to prohibit the placing on the market of a pinsetter machine Brunswick GSX (the 'pinsetter machine') and its supplementary kit of parts 'Advanced Guards' (the 'supplementary kit') and to withdraw such machinery from the market. Both products were manufactured by Brunswick Bowling & Billiards (the 'manufacturer').
- (2) As to the withdrawal, Sweden offered the manufacturer the possibility either to correct the defects relating the operator's working environment, to take back the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit and replace them with another technically faultless products of the same or equivalent kind, or take back the pinsetter and the supplementary kit and compensate the owner for it.
- (3) The reasons invoked by Sweden behind that safeguard measure were the failure of the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit to satisfy certain essential health and safety requirements ('EHSRs') set out in Annex I of Directive 2006/42/EC and the incorrect application of some of the harmonised standards.
- (4) After receiving the notification of the safeguard measure from Sweden, the Commission entered into consultation with the parties concerned in order to hear their views. The Commission sent a letter to the manufacturer on 11 April 2014 and the manufacturer provided its observations on 24 June 2014. The Commission met the manufacturer on 24 September 2014 and 24 May 2016. The manufacturer sent additional explanation to the Commission on 6 December 2016. The Commission had also several information exchanges with the Swedish authorities, the Swedish Work Environment Agency (exchange of e-mails, discussions in the Machinery Working Group meetings and the Machinery Market Surveillance Group).
- (5) Sweden stated that before taking the safeguard measure, its authorities had been in contact with the manufacturer several times to explain them the deficiencies of the pinsetter machines and supplementary kits to be fixed in order to comply with Directive 2006/42/EC. However, given that after several years of discussion only half of the deficiencies were resolved, Sweden considered necessary to trigger the safeguard clause mechanism. Regarding the measures adopted, the Swedish authorities explained that they respected the principle of proportionality, laid down in Article 18 of Regulation (EC) No 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council (²). Based on that principle, considering the severity of the risks and the costs of the withdrawal, some of the actions required to address the deficiencies for the new pinsetter machines and supplementary kits, were not required in the case of the withdrawal of the existing pinsetter machines and supplementary kit. Namely, those concerning the fitting of three separate lights that indicate different modes in the control panel, the enlargement of the access points between the machines also used as work platforms and the overview of the danger zone.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 157, 9.6.2006, p. 24.

<sup>(2)</sup> Régulation (EC) No 765/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 July 2008 setting out the requirements for accreditation and market surveillance relating to the marketing of products and repealing Regulation (EEC) No 339/93 (OJ L 218, 13.8.2008, p. 30)

- (6) In 2015, Sweden informed the Commission that the manufacturer addressed the deficiencies set out in the safeguard measure regarding the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit only in the bowling centre in Gustavsberg.
- (7) Apart from these consultations, the Commission conducted an independent study (¹) (the 'study') to assess whether or not the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit complied with the EHSR set out in Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC at the time when Sweden took the safeguard measure. In order to elaborate the study, the independent experts inspected the pinsetter machine with the supplementary kit installed in Gustavsberg and met the Swedish authorities and the Vice-President of Capital Marketing & Engineering of the manufacturer.
- (8) The parties concerned were consulted on the Commission's study. The manufacturer's comments do not put the conclusions of the study into question because they refer to the compliance of the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit installed in Gustavsberg after Sweden notified the safeguard measure to the Commission.
- (9) As for the EHSRs invoked by Sweden, the EHSR 1.2.2 on control devices and 1.7.1 on information and warnings on the machinery, require that control devices are visible, placed in a way as to be safely operated and that the information and warnings on them are expressed in the Union language determined by the Member State in which the machinery is placed.

In this regard, Sweden indicated that one of the buttons on the control panel of the machine was not marked and the text on the control panel was in English although the official language of the Member State in which the machinery is placed is Swedish. Furthermore, three separate lights indicated different modes. The colors of these three lights were placed differently on different machines and could therefore be misunderstood. The emergency stop sign was mounted upside down.

The manufacturer stated that with respect to the lights on the control panels, there could be minor confusion.

Regarding the clear visibility and marking of the control devices, the manufacturer admitted some mismatches between the actual machines, the labels and the manuals.

Furthermore, the manufacturer stated that the labels, having no impact to safety functions, did not have to be necessarily translated.

Furthermore, the EHSR 1.2.2 requires also that control devices must be designed to prevent its operation if there is someone in the danger zone.

According to Sweden, the machine could be restarted even though the operator did not have an overview of the danger zone, which entailed the risk of someone being inside the risk area.

The manufacturer disagreed with Sweden on the operator's poor visibility from the control zone due to the absence of reported safety incidents and other Member States' conclusions that 'the visibility, while perhaps not perfect, was indeed adequate given that the operator practiced reasonable and expected care while when starting the machines'.

However, the Commission considers that relying on the expected care of the operator when starting the machine does not address the risk because the remaining lack of overview of the danger zone prevents the operator from checking if there is someone in the danger zone.

Thus, considering the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, it can be concluded that the EHSRs set out in Section 1.2.2 and 1.7.1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit.

(10) The EHSR 1.1.6 on ergonomics, 1.6.1 on machinery maintenance and 1.6.2 on access to operating positions and servicing points, require a design and construction of the machine that ease the operator's work, allowing him to work in a comfortable and safe way, outside danger zones.

<sup>(1)</sup> Conformance report 'Compliance of pinsetters with the Machinery Directive', 8 May 2017.

In this respect, Sweden highlighted that the access points and work platforms of the pinsetter machines at stake would not respect these EHSRs because the access route that doubles as a work platform between those machines was measured to be only 190 mm. In some cases, the operators were requested to balance on narrow metal edges. This work environment entailed an unnecessary risk of falling into the machine. In addition, the access route between these machines ended abruptly by the front where there is a risk of falling approximately from 1 000 mm.

In its EC declaration of conformity, the manufacturer referred to the harmonised standard EN ISO 14122-2:2001 but did not provide in the technical file a link between the references of the harmonised standards and the respective EHSR as required by Annex VII to Directive 2006/42/EC. Despite this deficiency on the side of the manufacturer, Sweden identified the EHSR that the reference to that harmonised standard could have covered. Specifically, Sweden identified that the reference to the harmonised standard concerned the EHSR set out in Sections 1.1.6, 1.6.1 and 1.6.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC.

Harmonised standard EN ISO 14122-2:2001 lays down safety technical requirements for permanent means of access to machinery and specifically for machinery working platforms and walkways. Sweden stated that whilst the standard in question requires a 500 mm of width, the pinsetter machine walkway at stake was 190 mm wide.

In this respect, the manufacturer stated that the narrow walkway of 190 mm was considered a safe and appropriate width bearing in mind the intended and expected use, the frequency of access and the state of the art for the ball return area even though it did not fully apply EN ISO 14122-2:2001. Therefore, although the manufacturer had referred to that standard in the declaration of conformity, it did not apply it.

Regarding the risk of falling associated with access in front of the pinsetter machine, the manufacturer estimated that the alternative platform for an easier access on and off to the front platform, requested by Sweden, was not necessary because the front access to the pinsetter machine is very infrequent considering the design of existing machines in the world, where access is provided primarily from the back.

The Commission considers that the risk of injury when accessing the pinsetter machines (by falling or lacking balance) due to the narrow walkway between the machines or to an abrupt end of the front of the machine of 1 000 mm cannot be neglected by arguing an infrequent access or the impossibility to do better.

Thus, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, it can be concluded that the EHSR set out in Sections 1.1.6, 1.6.1 and 1.6.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine.

(11) As regards the EHSR set out in Sections 1.3.8 and 1.4 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC, Sweden indicated that the screens between the pinsetter machines must be high enough to prevent workers to be in contact with dangerous, moving parts of adjacent machines, which are in operation. The screen must cover the entire side of the machine i.e. right up to the front of the machine cage. However, the fence of the pinsetter that was mounted between the machines was only 500 mm high at some workstations and was completely missing at other places where people were working. This entailed a risk of falling down into the adjoining machine. Therefore, that structure would not comply with the EHSR 1.3.8 on the choice of protection against risks arising from moving parts.

In its EC declaration of conformity, the manufacturer referred to the harmonised standard EN ISO 13857:2008 but did not provide in the technical file a link between the references of the harmonised standards and the respective EHSR as required by Annex VII to Directive 2006/42/EC. Despite this deficiency on the side of the manufacturer, Sweden identified the EHSR that the reference to that harmonised standard could have covered. Specifically, Sweden identified that the reference to the harmonised standard concerned the EHSR set out in Sections 1.3.8 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC.

Harmonised standard EN ISO 13857:2008 lays down technical requirements on machinery safety distances to prevent hazard zones being reached by upper and lower limbs. Sweden refers to this standard to reinforce its justification on the manufacturer's lack of compliance with EHSRs 1.3.8.

Despite the standard being referred to in the declaration of conformity, the manufacturer did not rely on the standard to prove the conformity of the product with the Directive when providing explanations to the Swedish authorities. Instead, the manufacturer specified that the 500 mm fence mounted between the machines was designed to provide maximum protection while still accommodating the height requirements of common ceiling heights throughout Europe. A higher guard would provide a measure of incremental safety but this incremental safety would be diminished if ceiling obstructions prevented installation of the guards or if the guards were incorrectly modified to accommodate ceiling obstructions.

The Commission considers that to keep the fence of the pinsetter, mounted between the machines, at 500 mm high due to ceiling obstructions, does not explain why at some workstations the fences were completely missing and it does not tackle the risk of falling down into the adjoining machine.

Thus, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments it can be concluded that the EHSRs set out in Section 1.3.8 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit.

Furthermore, as regards the pinsetter machine Sweden explained that the machine cage contained small doors that could be opened but did not have a locking mechanism that stops the machine when the doors were opened.

In its EC declaration of conformity, the manufacturer referred to the harmonised standard EN 953:1998 but did not provide in the technical file a link between the references of the harmonised standards and the respective EHSR as required by Annex VII to Directive 2006/42/EC. Despite this deficiency on the side of the manufacturer, Sweden identified the EHSR that the reference to that harmonised standard could have covered. Specifically, Sweden identified that the reference to the harmonised standard concerned the EHSR set out in Sections 1.3.8 and 1.4 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC.

Harmonised standard EN 953:1997+A1:2009 lays down safety technical requirements on machinery guards and the general requirements for the design and construction of fixed and movable guards. Sweden refers to this standard to reinforce its justification on the manufacturer's lack of compliance with EHSRs 1.3.8 and 1.4.

In this regard, the manufacturer explained that the fact that the machine cage contained a second smaller door into each cell with no interlock that stopped the machine was not contrary to Directive 2006/42/EC because the rear division guards required very infrequent access and fixed guards provided enough safety in this case. The manufacturer indicated that based on this justification and on EN 953, a fixed guard was selected. It did not address the absence of the interlocking mechanism.

The Commission considers that the risk arising from moving parts was not addressed because the locking mechanism did not stop the machine when the worker approached it.

Thus, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, it can be concluded that the EHSR set out in Sections 1.3.8 and 1.4 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine.

Sweden also indicated that the protective cap over the ball return mechanism did not meet the ESHR on fixed guards set out in Section 1.4.2.1 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC as it was not fixed in any way and it did not meet the requirements for interlocking guards set out in Section 1.4.2.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC since there was no interlocking mechanism.

Sweden referred also in this case to EN 953:1997+A1:2009 to reinforce its justification on the manufacturer's lack of compliance with EHSRs 1.4.2.1 and 1.4.2.2.

However, the manufacturer stated that the access into the ball return cover was required far less frequently than once per shift and limited its explanations to a statement that a fixed guard was recommended by the standards. In its view, there was no need to take the actions required by Sweden.

The Commission considers that the risk arising from moving parts was not addressed in the protective cap over the ball return mechanism because, in addition to the absence of interlocking mechanisms, the fixed guards were not fixed. Thus, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, it can be concluded that the EHSR set out in Sections 1.4.2.1 and 1.4.2.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine.

(12) As regards the EHSR set out in Sections 1.7.4, 1.7.4.1 and 1.7.4.2, regarding the requirements on instructions, Sweden informed that a posted picture on the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit was supposed to show where the guards were located, but it did not correspond to where they were actually located on the machine. As for the user instructions, Sweden said that there were no instructions for use corresponding to the machines delivered and which should cover the various operations to be performed.

Regarding the absence of operating and instructions manual, the manufacturer stated that translated manuals were supplied with the machines so it could be possible that the manuals were misplaced at the inspected bowling centre. Labels having no impact on safety functions were not translated. Furthermore, the machine labels and manuals observed by the inspectors may not have matched the machine due to manufacturer's accommodation of specific demands from regional inspectors and the time constraints to adapt to those demands.

The Commission considers, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, that the EHSR set out in Sections 1.7.4, 1.7.4.1 and 1.7.4.2 Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC have not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit.

(13) EHSR set out in Section 1.1.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC regarding principles of safety integration requires that machinery must be designed and constructed to prevent putting persons at risk when operating the machinery under the conditions foreseen but also taking into account any reasonably foreseeable misuse.

Sweden indicated that the mounting brackets used for the safety interlocks were fastened with standard screws, which are easy to remove with standard tools, contrary to the principle of safety integration. This entails a risk of foreseeable misuse to defeat the mounting brackets instead using the interlocked doors.

The manufacturer stated that the reason was that the interlocked doors provide reasonable access that reduces the operator's motivation to defeat the interlocks when making extensive maintenance. Standard screws would reduce the risk of permanent damage to the guarding system or permanent removal of the guards.

Furthermore, Sweden explained that the fixed guards were mounted with quick-release fasteners, which entailed a risk that someone would open the fixed guard and use it for access instead of using the interlocked door. Sweden added that where the risk assessment shows that there should be a fixed guard, it must not be designed in such a way that it is an attractive option to access the machine by opening the fixed guard rather than by using the locked gate.

The manufacturer justified the use of quick-release fasteners to diminish the workers' motivation to defeat the fixed guards.

In its EC declaration of conformity, the manufacturer referred to the harmonised standard EN 1088:1995  $\pm$ A1:2007 but did not provide in the technical file a link between the reference of the harmonised standard and the respective EHSR as required by Annex VII to Directive 2006/42/EC. Despite this deficiency, Sweden linked the reference of that harmonised standard with the EHSR set out in Section 1.1.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC.

Harmonised standard EN 1088+A2:2008 lays down safety technical requirements on machinery interlocking devices associated with guards and the principles for design and selection. Sweden refers to this standard to reinforce its justification on the manufacturer's lack of compliance with EHSRs 1.1.2. The manufacturer indicated that Section 5.7.1 Note 4 of the standard states that avoiding 'defeat in a reasonably foreseeable manner' needs to take into account the characteristics of the specific application and therefore based on the risk assessment. According to the manufacturer, the interlocked doors provide reasonable access that reduces the operator's motivation to defeat the interlocks.

The Commission considers that the mounting brackets and the fixed guards could be easily removed with standard tools, which entailed a reasonably foreseeable misuse of accessing the machine by bypassing the locked gates.

EN

Thus, on the basis of the above arguments and taking into account the study confirming those arguments, it can be concluded that the EHSR set out in Section 1.1.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC on principles of safety integration has not been fulfilled as regards the pinsetter machine and the supplementary kit.

(14) Examination of the justification provided by Sweden with respect to the safeguard measure, the independent study confirming the conclusions made by Sweden and the observations communicated by the manufacturer, confirm that the pinsetter machine did not comply with the ESHRs set out in Sections 1.1.2, 1.1.6, 1.2.2, 1.3.8, 1.4, 1.6.1, 1.6.2, 1.7.1, 1.7.4, 1.7.4.1, 1.7.4.2 of Annex I to Directive 2006/42/EC and the supplementary kit did not comply with the ESHRs set out in Sections 1.1.2, 1.2.2, 1.3.8, 1.4, 1.7.1, 1.7.4, 1.7.4.1 and 1.7.4.2 at the time that Sweden notified the measures to the Commission in December 2013. Those deficiencies are liable to compromise the health and safety of persons. Therefore, the safeguard measures taken by Sweden should be considered as justified,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

The measures taken by Sweden, prohibiting the placing on the market of the Brunswick GSX pinsetter machine and its supplementary kit of parts 'Advanced Guards' and requiring the manufacturer to withdraw the machines already placed on the market, are justified.

Article 2

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 December 2018.

For the Commission Elżbieta BIEŃKOWSKA Member of the Commission

## **COMMISSION DECISION (EU) 2018/1961**

### of 11 December 2018

laying down internal rules concerning the provision of information to data subjects and the restriction of certain of their rights in the context of the processing of personal data for the purpose of internal audit activities

THE COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 249(1),

#### Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council (¹) requires each Union institution to establish an internal audit function which shall be performed in compliance with the relevant international standards. Internal audit activities in the Commission are carried out by the Internal Audit Service ('the Service'), which was established on 11 April 2000. Internal audit activities are also carried out by the Service in Union decentralised agencies and other autonomous bodies receiving contributions from the Union budget.
- (2) The Service conducts internal audit activities in accordance with Articles 117 to 123 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 and its mission charter (²). In this respect, the Service has complete independence and full and unlimited access to all information required in the conduct of its internal audit activities in relation to all the activities and departments of the Union institution concerned.
- (3) The Service advises other Commission departments, executive agencies, as well as Union decentralised agencies and other autonomous bodies receiving contributions from the Union budget on how to deal with risks, i.e. any event or issue that could occur and adversely impact the achievement of the Commission's political, strategic and operational objective, by issuing independent opinions on the quality of management and control systems and by issuing recommendations for improving the conditions of implementation of operations and promoting sound financial management, in accordance with Articles 117 to 123 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046. Therefore, the internal audit activities of the Service do not typically target natural persons as such. Nevertheless, during the course of its activities, personal data within the meaning of Article 3(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council (3) are inevitably processed. The internal audit activities carried out by the Service involve assessing the suitability and effectiveness of internal management systems and the performance of departments in implementing policies, programmes and actions, the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal control and audit systems applicable to each budget implementation operation. Therefore, they contribute to the safeguarding of important economic and financial interests of the Union and of the Member States. The Service is a controller for the processing operations it carries out in accordance with Articles 118 and 119(2) of the Financial Regulation.
- (4) The internal audit activities performed in the Commission and its executive agencies, and in the Union decentralised agencies and other autonomous bodies vary in form and content, ranging from assurance (including risk assessments) and consulting engagements, to reviews with a limited scope and follow-up engagements.
- (5) The Audit Progress Committee (APC), in accordance with its Mission Charter updated on 21 November 2018 (C(2018)7707), is an advisory body (4) that assists the Commission in fulfilling its obligations under the Treaties and other statutory instruments [Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046] by ensuring the independence of the Internal Audit Service, by monitoring the quality of internal audit work, and by ensuring that internal and external audit recommendations are properly taken into account by the Commission services and that they receive appropriate follow-up. In this way, the APC contributes to the overall further improvement of the

<sup>(</sup>¹) Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 July 2018 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union, amending Regulations (EU) No 1296/2013, (EU) No 1301/2013, (EU) No 1303/2013, (EU) No 1304/2013, (EU) No 1309/2013, (EU) No 1316/2013, (EU) No 223/2014, (EU) No 283/2014, and Decision No 541/2014/EU and repealing Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 (OJ L 193, 30.7.2018, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> C(2017) 4435 final.
(3) Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).
(4) Established in October 2000, SEC(2000)1808/3.

Commission's effectiveness and efficiency in achieving its goals and facilitates the College's oversight of the Commission's governance, risk management, and internal control practices. The APC is a controller for the processing operation(s) it carries out in accordance with Article 123 of the Financial Regulation.

- (6) For the purpose of its activities under Articles 118 and 119(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, whether acting on its own initiative or on the basis of received input, the Commission processes personal data acquired or received from legal persons, natural persons, Member States and international bodies and organisations. During such internal audit activities, the Service may also process personal data acquired or received from publicly available sources, from anonymous or from identified sources that require protection of their identity.
- (7) The Commission may, in turn, exchange personal data with the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies, with competent authorities of Member States and, within the framework of the Commission relevant international or cooperation agreements, with third countries and international organisations.
- (8) Personal data processing activities, within the meaning of Article 3(3) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, carried out in the course of an internal audit activity, may take place even before the Commission formally initiates it, continue throughout the performance of the audit activity and may continue even after the formal closure of the audit activity (for example, for reasons of monitoring of implementation of recommendations, assessing the need for initiating new internal audit activities).
- (9) The categories of personal data processed by the Commission include identification data, contact data, professional data and data related to or brought in connection with the subject matter of the activity. These categories of personal data are stored in a secured electronic environment to prevent unlawful access or transfer of data to persons who do not have a need to know. The personal data are retained for a maximum period of ten years. At the end of the retention period, the information related to the internal audit activity, including personal data is transferred to the historical archives of the Commission (¹) or destroyed.
- (10) While carrying out internal audit activities, the Commission is bound to respect the rights of natural persons in relation to the processing of personal data recognised by Article 8(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 16(1) of the Treaty, as well as the rights provided for in Regulation (EU) 2018/1725. At the same time, the Commission is required to comply with strict rules of confidentiality referred to in the international internal audit standards, in accordance with Article 117 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.
- (11) In certain circumstances, it is necessary to reconcile the rights of data subjects pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 with the needs of internal audit activities, and confidentiality of exchanges of information with natural and legal persons as well as with full respect for fundamental rights and freedoms of other data subjects. To that effect Article 25(1)(c), (g) and (h) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 provides the Service with the possibility to restrict the application of Articles 14 to 17, 19, 20 and 35, as well as the principle of transparency laid down in Article 4(1)(a), insofar as its provisions correspond to the rights and obligations provided for in Articles 14 to 17, 19, 20 and 35 of that Regulation.
- (12) In order to ensure the effectiveness of internal audit activities, while respecting the standards of protection of personal data under Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, which replaced Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council (²), it is necessary to adopt internal rules under which the Commission may restrict data subjects' rights in accordance with Article 25(1)(c), (g) and (h) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.
- (13) The internal rules should cover all processing operations carried out by the Commission in the performance of its internal audit activities, whether acting on its own initiative or on the basis of received input, whenever the exercise of data subjects' rights may jeopardise the conduct of internal audit activities. Those rules should apply to processing operations carried out prior to the formal initiation of an engagement, during the engagement as well as during the monitoring of the follow-up to its outcome.

<sup>(</sup>¹) Retention of files in the Commission is regulated by the Common retention list, a regulatory document (the last version is SEC(2012)713) in the form of a retention schedule that establishes the retention periods for the different types of Commission files.

<sup>(2)</sup> Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regards to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 8, 12.1.2001, p. 1).

- (14) In order to comply with Articles 14, 15 and 16 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the Commission should inform all individuals of its activities involving processing of their personal data and of their rights in a transparent and coherent manner by means of a data protection notice published on the Commission's website. Where relevant, the Commission should adduce additional safeguards to ensure that the data subjects are informed individually in an appropriate format.
- (15) On the basis of Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the Commission is also able to restrict the provision of information to data subjects and the exercise of other rights of data subjects in order to protect its own internal audit activities, audits of public authorities of the Member States, the audit tools and methods, as well as the rights of other persons related to its internal audit activities.
- (16) In addition, in order to maintain effective cooperation it may be necessary for the Commission to restrict the application of data subjects' rights in order to protect processing operations of Commission services or other Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies or of Member States' authorities and international organisations, as well as of the Audit Progress Committee. To that effect, the Commission should consult those services, institutions, bodies, offices, agencies, authorities and organisations, as well as the Audit Progress Committee on the relevant grounds for imposing restrictions and the necessity and proportionality of the restrictions.
- (17) The Commission may also have to restrict the provision of information to data subjects and the application of other rights of data subjects in relation to personal data received from third countries or international organisations, in order to cooperate with those countries or organisations and thus safeguard an important objective of general public interest of the Union. However, in some circumstances the interest or fundamental rights of the data subject may override the interest of international cooperation.
- (18) The Commission should handle all restrictions in a transparent manner and register each application of restrictions in the corresponding record system.
- (19) Pursuant to Article 25(8) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, controllers may defer, omit or deny provision of information on the reasons for the application of a restriction to the data subject if providing that information would in any way compromise the purpose of the restriction. This is, in particular, the case of restrictions to the rights provided for in Articles 16 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.
- (20) Where other rights of data subjects are restricted, the controller of the Internal Audit Service should assess on a case-by-case basis whether the communication of the restriction would compromise its purpose.
- (21) The Data Protection Officer of the European Commission should carry out an independent review of the application of restrictions, with a view to ensuring compliance with this Decision.
- (22) Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 replaces Regulation (EC) No 45/2001, without any transitional period, from the date on which it enters into force. The possibility to apply restrictions to certain rights was provided for in Regulation (EC) No 45/2001. In order to avoid jeopardising the lawfulness of internal audit activities, this Decision should apply from the date of entry into force of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.
- (23) The European Data Protection Supervisor delivered an opinion on 27 November 2018,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

# Article 1

## Subject-matter and scope

1. This Decision lays down the rules to be followed by the Commission to inform data subjects of the processing of their data in accordance with Articles 14, 15 and 16 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, when conducting its internal audit activities in accordance with Articles 117 to 123 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.

It also lays down the conditions under which the Commission may restrict the application of Articles 4, 14 to 17, 19, 20 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, in accordance with Article 25(1)(c), (g) and (h) of that Regulation.

2. This Decision applies to the processing of personal data by the Commission for the purpose of or in relation to the activities carried out in order to fulfil its tasks pursuant to Articles 118 and 119 (2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.

3. This Decision applies to the processing of personal data within the Commission, in so far as the Commission processes personal data contained in information which it is required to process for the purpose of, or in relation to, the activities referred to in this Article.

#### Article 2

## Applicable exceptions and restrictions

- 1. Where the Commission exercises its duties with respect to data subjects' rights under Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall consider whether any of the exceptions laid down in that Regulation apply.
- 2. Subject to Articles 3 to 7 of this Decision, the Commission may restrict the application of Articles 14 to 17, 19, 20 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, as well as the principle of transparency laid down in Article 4(1)(a) of that Regulation, in so far as its provisions correspond to the right and obligations provided for in Articles 14 to 17, 19, 20 and 35 of that Regulation, where the exercise of those rights and obligations would jeopardise the purpose of the Commission's activities under Articles 118 and 119 (2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, including by revealing its audit tools and methods or would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of other data subjects.
- 3. Subject to Articles 3 to 7, the Commission may restrict the rights and obligations referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article in relation to personal data obtained from other Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices, competent authorities of Member States or third countries or from international organisations in the following circumstances:
- (a) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could be restricted by other Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices on the basis of other acts provided for in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 or in accordance with Chapter IX of that Regulation or in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council (¹) or Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 (²);
- (b) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could be restricted by competent authorities of Member States on the basis of acts referred to in Article 23 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (3), or under national measures transposing Articles 13(3), 15(3) or 16(3) of Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council (4);
- (c) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could jeopardise the Commission's cooperation with third countries or international organisations in the conduct of internal audit activities.

Before applying restrictions in the circumstances referred to in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, the Commission shall consult the relevant Union institutions, bodies, agencies, offices or competent authorities of the Member States unless it is clear to the Commission that the application of a restriction is provided for by one of the acts referred to in those points or such consultation would jeopardise the purpose of its activities under Articles 118 and 119(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046.

Point (c) of the first subparagraph shall not apply where the interest of the Commission to cooperate with third countries or international organisations is overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subjects.

4. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 are without prejudice to the application of other Commission decisions laying down internal rules concerning the provision of information to data subjects and the restriction of certain rights under Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 and to Article 23 of the Rules of Procedure of the Commission.

2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA (OJ L 135, 24.5.2016, p. 53).

(2) Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO', OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

(3) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).
 (4) Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with

(\*) Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties, and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 89).

<sup>(</sup>¹) Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA (OLL 135, 24.5, 2016, p. 53).

# Provision of information to data subjects

The Commission shall publish on its website data protection notices that inform all data subjects of its activities involving processing of their personal data for the purposes of its activities under Articles 118 and 119(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046. Where relevant, the Commission shall ensure that the data subjects are informed individually in an appropriate format.

Where the Commission restricts, wholly or partly, the provision of information to data subjects, whose data are processed for the purposes of its activities under Articles 118 and 119(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046 it shall record and register the reasons for the restriction in accordance with Article 6.

#### Article 4

# Right of access by data subjects, right of erasure and right to restriction of processing

- 1. Where the Commission restricts, wholly or partly, the right of access to personal data by data subjects, the right of erasure, or the right to restriction of processing as referred to in Articles 17, 19 and 20 respectively of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 it shall inform the data subject concerned, in its reply to the request for access, erasure or restriction of processing, of the restriction applied and of the principal reasons therefore, and of the possibility of lodging a complaint with the European Data Protection Supervisor or of seeking a judicial remedy in the Court of Justice of the European Union.
- 2. The provision of information concerning the reasons for the restriction referred to in paragraph 1 of this article may be deferred, omitted or denied for as long as it would undermine the purpose of the restriction.
- 3. The Commission shall record the reasons for the restriction in accordance with Article 6 of this Decision.
- 4. Where the right of access is wholly or partly restricted, the data subject shall exercise his or her right of access through the intermediary of the European Data Protection Supervisor, in accordance with Article 25 (6), (7) and (8) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

## Article 5

# Communication of personal data breaches to data subjects

Where the Commission restricts the communication of a personal data breach to the data subject, as referred to in Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall record and register the reasons for the restriction in accordance with Article 6 of this Decision.

# Article 6

# Recording and registering of restrictions

The Commission shall record the reasons for any restriction applied pursuant to this Decision, including an assessment of the necessity and proportionality of the restriction, taking into account the relevant elements in Article 25(2) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

To that end, the record shall state how the exercise of the right would jeopardise the purpose of the Commissions' activities under Articles 118 and 119(2) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046, or of restrictions applied pursuant to Article 2(2) or (3), or would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of other data subjects.

The record and, where applicable, the documents containing the underlying factual and legal elements shall be registered. They shall be made available to the European Data Protection Supervisor on request.

#### Article 7

#### **Duration of restrictions**

- 1. Restrictions referred to in Articles 3, 4 and 5 of this Decision shall continue to apply as long as the reasons justifying them remain applicable.
- 2. Where the reasons for a restriction referred to in Articles 3 or 5 of this Decision no longer apply, the Commission shall lift the restrictions and provide the principal reasons for the restriction to the data subject. At the same time, the Commission shall inform the data subject of the possibility of lodging a complaint with the European Data Protection Supervisor at any time or of seeking a judicial remedy in the Court of Justice of the European Union.

3. The Commission shall review the application of the restriction referred to in Articles 3 and 5 of this Decision every six months from its adoption and before and after the closure of the relevant internal audit activity. Thereafter, the Commission shall monitor the need to maintain any restriction/deferral on an annual basis.

### Article 8

# Review by the Data Protection Officer of the European Commission

The Data Protection Officer of the European Commission shall be informed, without undue delay, whenever data subjects' rights are restricted in accordance with this Decision. Upon request, the Data Protection Officer shall be provided with access to the record and any documents containing underlying factual and legal elements.

The Data Protection Officer may request a review of the restrictions. The Data Protection Officer shall be informed in writing of the outcome of the requested review.

### Article 9

# **Entry into force**

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

It shall apply from 11 December 2018.

Done at Brussels, 11 December 2018.

For the Commission
The President
Jean-Claude JUNCKER

## **COMMISSION DECISION (EU) 2018/1962**

### of 11 December 2018

laying down internal rules concerning the processing of personal data by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) in relation to the provision of information to data subjects and the restriction of certain of their rights in accordance with Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 249(1) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) The European Anti-Fraud Office ('the Office') was established by Commission Decision 1999/352/EC, ECSC, Euratom (¹) as a service of the Commission. The Office conducts investigations in complete independence.
- (2) The Office conducts administrative investigations for the purpose of fighting fraud, corruption and any other illegal activity affecting the financial interests of the Union in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council (2). To that end, it exercises the powers of investigation conferred on the Commission by the relevant Union acts in the Member States, as well as in accordance with cooperation and mutual assistance agreements and any other legal instrument in force, in third countries and on the premises of international organisations.
- (3) The Office also conducts administrative investigations within the institutions, bodies, offices and agencies established by, or on the basis of, the Treaties. In the framework of its investigative mandate, the Office collects information of investigative interest, including personal data, from various sources public authorities, private entities and natural persons and exchanges it with Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies, with competent authorities of Member States and third countries, as well as with international organisations before, during and after the investigation or coordination activities.
- (4) In the framework of its activities, the Office processes several categories of personal data, particularly identification data, contact data, professional data and case involvement data. The Office, represented by its Director-General, acts as the data controller. The personal data are stored in a secured electronic environment which prevents unlawful access or transfer of data to persons who do not have a need to know. The personal data processed are retained for fifteen years after the case is dismissed or the investigation or the coordination case is closed by a decision of the Director-General. At the end of the retention period, the case related information including personal data is transferred to the historical archives.
- (5) While carrying out its tasks, the Office is bound to respect the rights of natural persons in relation to the processing of personal data recognised by Article 8(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and by Article 16(1) of the Treaty, as well as by legal acts based on those provisions. At the same time, the Office is required to comply with strict rules of confidentiality and professional secrecy referred to in Article 10 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 and ensure the respect of procedural rights of persons concerned and witnesses, referred to in Article 9 of that Regulation, in particular the right of persons concerned to the presumption of innocence.
- (6) The secured electronic environment in which personal data are stored as well as the procedural guarantees and strict rules of confidentiality and professional secrecy referred to respectively in Articles 9 and 10 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 ensure a high level of protection against the risks to the rights and freedoms of data subjects involved by the processing.

<sup>(</sup>¹) Commission Decision 1999/352/EC, ECSC, Euratom of 28 April 1999 establishing the European Anti-fraud Office (OLAF) (OJ L 136, 31.5.1999, p. 20).

<sup>(2)</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.9.2013, p. 1).

- (7) In certain circumstances, it is necessary to reconcile the rights of data subjects pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council (¹) with the needs of investigations and confidentiality of exchanges of information with other competent public authorities, as well as with full respect for fundamental rights and freedoms of other data subjects. To that effect, Article 25 of that Regulation provides the Office with the possibility to restrict the application of Articles 14 to 22, 35 and 36, as well as Article 4 thereof, insofar as its provisions correspond to the rights and obligations provided for in Articles 14 to 22.
- (8) The Office designated, pursuant to Article 10(4) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 a Data Protection Officer in accordance with Article 24 of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council (2).
- (9) In order to ensure the confidentiality and effectiveness of investigations and other operational activities carried out by the Office while respecting the standards of protection of personal data under Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it is necessary to adopt internal rules under which the Office may restrict data subjects' rights in line with Article 25 of that Regulation.
- (10) The scope of this legal act should cover all processing operations carried out by the Office in the performance of its independent investigative function. They should apply to processing operations carried out prior to the opening of an investigation, both during internal and external investigations, as referred to in Articles 3 and 4 of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013, and during the monitoring of the follow-up to the outcome of the investigations. The rules should apply to processing operations which form part of the activities linked to the investigative function such as the system to report fraud, operational analyses, international cooperation data bases, as well as operations which can contain investigative data such as in the handling of DPO investigations or in other complaint processes conducted by the Office. It should also include assistance and cooperation provided by the Office to national authorities and international organisations outside of its administrative investigations.
- (11) In order to comply with Articles 14, 15 and 16 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the Office should inform all individuals of its activities involving processing of their personal data and of their rights in a transparent and coherent manner in the form of the data protection notices published on the Office's website, as well as to individually inform data subjects relevant to the investigation persons concerned, witnesses and informants in the appropriate format.
- (12) Without prejudice to the application of the exceptions laid down in Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the Office may have to restrict the provision of information to data subjects and the application of other rights of data subjects' in order to protect its own investigations, investigations and proceedings of public authorities of the Member States, the investigation tools and methods, as well as the rights of other persons related to its investigations.
- (13) In some cases, providing particular information to the data subjects or revealing the existence of an investigation could render impossible or seriously impair the purpose of the processing operation and the capability of the Office or competent national authorities and Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies to conduct an investigation effectively in the future.
- (14) Furthermore, the Office is required to protect the identity of informants, including whistle-blowers, and witnesses, who should not suffer negative repercussions in relation to their cooperation with the Office.
- (15) For those reasons, the Office may need to apply certain grounds for restrictions referred to in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 to data processing operations carried out in the framework of the Office's tasks set out in Article 2 of Decision 1999/352/EC, ECSC, Euratom.

(2) Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 8, 12.1.2001, p. 1).

<sup>(1)</sup> Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).

- (16) In addition, in order to maintain effective cooperation, the Office may need to apply restrictions to data subjects' rights to protect information containing personal data originating from Commission services or other Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies, competent authorities of Member States and third countries, as well as from international organisations. To that effect, the Office should consult those services, institutions, bodies, offices, agencies, authorities and international organisations on the relevant grounds for and the necessity and proportionality of the restrictions.
- (17) In the framework of its investigative function, the Office often exchanges information, including personal data, with, inter alia, Commission services and executive agencies assisting the Commission services in the implementation of their programmes. In line with Article 25(5) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 which requires the internal rules to be adopted at the highest level of management of the Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices concerned this Decision shall encompass the processing of personal data contained in information which they are required to transmit to the Office. Therefore all Commission services and executing agencies processing personal data subject to their duty to inform the Office under Article 8(1) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 or where such personal data are processed by the Office in the performance of its tasks should apply the rules set out in this Decision with a view to protecting the processing operations carried out by the Office. In such circumstances, the Commission services and executive agencies concerned should therefore consult the Office on the relevant grounds for the restrictions and their necessity and proportionality in order to ensure their coherent application.
- (18) The Office and, where relevant, Commission services and executive agencies should handle all restrictions in a transparent manner and register each application of restrictions in the corresponding record system.
- (19) Pursuant to Article 25(8) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the controllers may defer or refrain from providing information on the reasons for the application of a restriction to the data subject if this would in any way compromise the purpose of the restriction. In particular, where a restriction to the rights provided for in Articles 16 and 35 is applied, the notification of such a restriction would compromise the purpose of the restriction. In order to ensure that the data subject's right to be informed in accordance with Articles 16 and 38 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 is restricted only as long as the reasons for the deferral last, the Office should regularly review its position.
- (20) Where a restriction of other data subjects' rights is applied the controller should assess on a case-by-case basis whether the communication of the restriction would compromise its purpose.
- (21) The Data Protection Officer of the Office and, where relevant, the Data Protection Officer of the Commission or of the executive agency concerned should also carry out an independent review of the application of restrictions, with a view to ensuring compliance with this Decision.
- (22) Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 replaces Regulation (EC) No 45/2001, without any transitional period, from the date on which it enters into force. The possibility to apply restrictions to certain rights was provided for in Regulation (EC) No 45/2001. In order to avoid jeopardising the purpose of investigations in the Office's remit and adversely affecting the rights and freedoms of others, this Decision should apply from the date of entry into force of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.
- (23) The European Data Protection Supervisor was consulted on 23 November 2018,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

### Article 1

#### Subject matter and scope

1. This Decision lays down the rules to be followed by the European Anti-Fraud Office ('the Office') to inform data subjects of the processing of their data in accordance with Articles 14, 15 and 16 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

It also lays down the conditions under which the Office may restrict the application of Articles 4, 14 to 20 and 35 Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, in accordance with Article 25 of that Regulation.

- 2. This Decision applies to the processing of personal data by the Office for the purpose of or in relation to the activities carried out in order to fulfil the Office's tasks referred to in Article 2 of Decision 1999/352/EC, ECSC, Euratom and Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013.
- 3. This Decision applies to the processing of personal data by Commission services and executive agencies in so far as they process personal data contained in information which they are required to transmit to the Office pursuant to Article 8(1) of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 or personal data already processed by the Office for the purpose of or in relation to the activities referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article.

# Applicable exceptions and restrictions

- 1. Where the Office exercises its duties with respect to the data subjects' rights pursuant to Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall consider whether any of the exceptions laid down in that Regulation apply.
- 2. Subject to Articles 3 to 6 of this Decision, the Office may restrict the application of Articles 14 to 20 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, as well as its Article 4 in so far as its provisions correspond to the rights and obligations provided for in Articles 14 to 20 and 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 where the exercise of those rights and obligations would jeopardise the purpose of the Office's investigative activities, including by revealing its investigative tools and methods, or would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of others.
- 3. Subject to Articles 3 to 6 of this Decision, the Office may restrict the rights and obligations referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article in relation to personal data obtained from Commission services or other Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices, competent authorities of Member States or third countries or from international organisations, in the following circumstances:
- (a) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could be restricted by Commission services or other Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices on the basis of other acts provided for in Article 25 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 or in accordance with Chapter IX of that Regulation or with the founding acts of other Union institutions, bodies, agencies and offices;
- (b) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could be restricted by competent authorities of Member States on the basis of acts referred to in Article 23 of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (¹), or under national measures transposing Articles 13(3), 15(3) or 16(3) of Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council (²);
- (c) where the exercise of those rights and obligations could jeopardise the Office's cooperation with third countries or international organisations in the conduct of its tasks.

Before applying restrictions in the circumstances referred to in points (a) and (b) of the first subparagraph, the Office shall consult the relevant Commission services, Union institutions, bodies, agencies, offices or the competent authorities of Member States unless it is clear to the Office that the application of a restriction is provided for by one of the acts referred to in those points.

Point (c) of the first subparagraph shall not apply where the interest of the Union to cooperate with third countries or international organisations is overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subjects.

4. Where Commission services and executive agencies process personal data in instances referred to in Article 1(3), they may, where necessary, apply restrictions in accordance with this Decision. To that end, they shall consult the Office, unless it is clear to the Commission service or executive agency concerned that the application of a restriction is justified under this Decision.

<sup>(</sup>¹) Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties, and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 89).

# Provision of information to data subjects

- 1. The Office shall publish on its website data protection notices that inform all data subjects of its activities involving processing of their personal data.
- 2. The Office shall individually inform all data subjects whom it considers to be persons concerned, witnesses or informants within the meaning of Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013.
- 3. Where the Office restricts, wholly or partly, the provision of information to the data subjects referred to in paragraph 2, it shall record the reasons for the restriction, including an assessment of the necessity and proportionality of the restriction.

To that end, the record shall state how the provision of the information would jeopardise the purpose of the Office's investigative activities, or of restrictions applied pursuant to Article 2(3), or would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of others.

The record and, where applicable, the documents containing underlying factual and legal elements shall be registered. They shall be made available to the European Data Protection Supervisor on request.

4. The restriction referred to in paragraph 3 shall continue to apply as long as the reasons justifying it remain applicable.

Where the reasons for the restriction no longer apply, the Office shall provide the information concerned and the reasons for the restriction to the data subject. At the same time, the Office shall inform the data subject of the possibility of lodging a complaint with the European Data Protection Supervisor at any time or of seeking a judicial remedy in the Court of Justice of the European Union.

The Office shall review the application of the restriction every six months from its adoption and at the closure of the relevant investigation. Thereafter, the controller shall monitor the need to maintain any restriction on an annual basis.

#### Article 4

### Right of access by data subject

- 1. Where data subjects request access to their personal data processed in the context of one or more specific cases or to a particular processing operation, in accordance with Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, the Office shall limit its assessment of the request to such personal data only.
- 2. Where the Office restricts, wholly or partly, the right of access, referred to in Article 17 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall take the following steps:
- (a) it shall inform the data subject concerned, in its reply to the request, of the restriction applied and of the principal reasons thereof, and of the possibility of lodging a complaint with the European Data Protection Supervisor or of seeking a judicial remedy in the Court of Justice of the European Union;
- (b) it shall record the reasons for the restriction, including an assessment of the necessity and proportionality of the restriction; to that end, the record shall state how providing access would jeopardise the purpose of the Office's investigative activities or of restrictions applied pursuant to Article 2(3), or would adversely affect the rights and freedoms of other data subjects.

The provision of information referred to in point (a) may be deferred, omitted or denied in accordance with Article 25(8) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

3. The record referred to in point (b) of paragraph 2 and, where applicable, the documents containing underlying factual and legal elements shall be registered. They shall be made available to the European Data Protection Supervisor on request. Article 25(7) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 shall apply.

# Article 5

## Right of rectification, erasure and restriction of processing

Where the Office restricts, wholly or partly, the application of the right to rectification, erasure or restriction of processing, referred to in Articles 18, 19(1) and 20(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall take the steps set out in Article 4(2) of this Decision and register the record in accordance with Article 4(3) thereof.

## Communication of a personal data breach to the data subject

Where the Office restricts the communication of a personal data breach to the data subject, referred to in Article 35 of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, it shall record and register the reasons for the restriction in accordance with Article 3(3) of this Decision. Article 3(4) of this Decision shall apply.

#### Article 7

### Review by the Data Protection Officer

1. The Data Protection Officer of the Office ('the Office DPO'), shall be informed, without undue delay, whenever data subjects' rights are restricted in accordance with this Decision. The Office DPO shall be provided with access to the record and any documents containing underlying factual and legal elements.

The Office DPO may request a review of the restrictions. The Office DPO shall be informed in writing of the outcome of the requested review.

2. Where Commission services and executive agencies process personal data in instances referred to in Article 1(3), the Data Protection Officer of the Commission ('the Commission DPO') or, where applicable, the Data Protection Officer of the executive agency concerned ('the Agency DPO'), shall be informed, without undue delay, whenever data subjects' rights are restricted in accordance with this Decision. Upon request, the Commission DPO or, where applicable, the Agency DPO shall be provided with access to the record and any documents containing underlying factual and legal elements.

The Commission DPO or, where applicable, the Agency DPO, may request a review of the restrictions. The Commission DPO or the Agency DPO shall be informed in writing about the outcome of the requested review.

3. All information exchanges with the DPO throughout the procedure shall be recorded in the appropriate form.

## Article 8

# **Entry into force**

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

It shall apply from 11 December 2018.

Done at Brussels, 11 December 2018.

For the Commission The President Jean-Claude JUNCKER



