

Official Journal

of the European Union

L 69



English edition

Legislation

Volume 56

13 March 2013

Contents

I *Legislative acts*

REGULATIONS

- ★ **Council Regulation (EU) No 216/2013 of 7 March 2013 on the electronic publication of the Official Journal of the European Union** 1

II *Non-legislative acts*

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS

2013/125/EU:

- ★ **Council Decision of 25 February 2013 on the conclusion of the Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union** 4

- Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union 5

Price: EUR 3

(Continued overleaf)

EN

Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

REGULATIONS

★ Council Implementing Regulation (EU) No 217/2013 of 11 March 2013 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty imposed on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the People's Republic of China	11
★ Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 218/2013 of 8 March 2013 approving non-minor amendments to the specification for a name entered in the register of protected designations of origin and protected geographical indications (Cabrito Transmontano (PDO))	21
Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 219/2013 of 12 March 2013 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables	23



I

(Legislative acts)

REGULATIONS

COUNCIL REGULATION (EU) No 216/2013

of 7 March 2013

on the electronic publication of the *Official Journal of the European Union*

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 352 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,

Having regard to the consent of the European Parliament,

Acting in accordance with a special legislative procedure,

Whereas:

- (1) Article 297 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) deals with the publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union* (hereinafter 'the Official Journal') and the entry into force of legal acts of the Union.
- (2) Regulation (EC) No 1/1958 ⁽¹⁾, including any subsequent amendments thereto, determines the official languages of the institutions of the European Union.
- (3) The printed edition of the Official Journal, available in all official languages of the institutions of the Union, is at present the only legally binding publication, although it is also made available online.
- (4) Decision 2009/496/EC, Euratom of the European Parliament, the Council, the Commission, the Court of Justice, the Court of Auditors, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions of 26 June 2009 on the organisation and operation of the Publications Office of the European Union ⁽²⁾ ensures that the Publications Office enables the institutions to fulfil their obligation to publish legislative texts.
- (5) The Court of Justice of the European Union has held, in Case C-161/06 *Skoma-Lux sro v Celní ředitelství*

Olomouc ⁽³⁾, that legal acts of the Union are not enforceable against individuals if they have not been properly published in the Official Journal and that making such acts available online does not equate to valid publication in the Official Journal in the absence of any rules in that regard in Union law.

- (6) If publication in the Official Journal in electronic form were to constitute valid publication, access to Union law would be faster and more economical. Citizens should, nevertheless, continue to have the possibility to obtain a printed version of the Official Journal from the Publications Office.
- (7) The Commission Communication entitled 'A Digital Agenda for Europe' highlights that access to online legal content favours the development of a digital internal market, which leads to economic and social benefits.
- (8) Rules ensuring the authenticity, integrity and inalterability of the electronic publication of the Official Journal should therefore be laid down.
- (9) This Regulation should also lay down rules applicable in cases where, due to unforeseen and exceptional circumstances, it is not possible to publish and to make available the electronic edition of the Official Journal.
- (10) Directive 1999/93/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 1999 on a Community framework for electronic signatures ⁽⁴⁾ establishes the legal effects of electronic signatures as a means for authentication. For the purposes of ensuring the authenticity, integrity and inalterability of the electronic edition of the Official Journal, an advanced electronic signature based on a qualified certificate and created by a secure-signature-creation device in accordance with that Directive provides sufficient guarantees to the public. It should be possible to verify the electronically signed Official Journal by readily available means.

⁽¹⁾ Regulation No 1 determining the languages to be used by the European Economic Community (OJ 017, 6.10.1958, p. 385/58).
⁽²⁾ OJ L 168, 30.6.2009, p. 41.

⁽³⁾ ECR 2007, p. I-10841.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 13, 19.1.2000, p. 12.

- (11) Access to the EUR-Lex website is to be ensured in compliance with the commitments for the protection of persons with disabilities in accordance with Council Decision 2010/48/EC of 26 November 2009 concerning the conclusion, by the European Community, of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities ⁽¹⁾.
- (12) In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve the objective of enabling all European citizens to rely on the electronic publication of the Official Journal, as its scope is limited to making such publication authentic, in the same way as the printed publication is today.
- (13) The TFEU does not provide, for the adoption of this Regulation, powers other than those under Article 352,

disruption of the information system of the Publications Office, the information system shall be restored as soon as possible.

The moment at which such a disruption occurs shall be established by the Publications Office.

2. Where it is necessary to publish the Official Journal when the information system of the Publications Office is not operational pursuant to a disruption as referred to in paragraph 1, only the printed edition of the Official Journal shall be authentic and shall produce legal effects.

Once the information system of the Publications Office is restored, the corresponding electronic version of the printed edition referred to in the first subparagraph shall be made available to the public on the EUR-Lex website for information purposes only and shall contain a notice to that effect.

3. Once the information system of the Publications Office is restored, the EUR-Lex website shall provide information on all printed editions that are authentic and that produce legal effects in accordance with the first subparagraph of paragraph 2.

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. The Official Journal shall be published in electronic form, in accordance with this Regulation, in the official languages of the institutions of the European Union.

2. Without prejudice to Article 3, only the Official Journal published in electronic form (hereinafter 'the electronic edition of the Official Journal') shall be authentic and shall produce legal effects.

Article 2

1. The electronic edition of the Official Journal shall bear an advanced electronic signature based on a qualified certificate and created with a secure-signature-creation device, in accordance with Directive 1999/93/EC. The qualified certificate and renewals thereof shall be published on the EUR-Lex website in order to make it possible for the public to verify the advanced electronic signature and the authentic character of the electronic edition of the Official Journal.

2. The electronic edition of the Official Journal shall display information regarding its publication date.

3. The electronic edition of the Official Journal shall be made available to the public on the EUR-Lex website in a non-obsolete format and for an unlimited period. Its consultation shall be free of charge.

Article 3

1. Where it is not possible to publish the electronic edition of the Official Journal due to an unforeseen and exceptional

Article 4

1. With regard to the electronic edition of the Official Journal, the Publications Office shall be responsible for:

- (a) publishing it and guaranteeing its authenticity;
- (b) implementing, managing and maintaining the information system producing the electronic edition of the Official Journal, and upgrading that system in line with future technical developments;
- (c) implementing and extending the technical facilities to ensure accessibility for all users to the electronic edition of the Official Journal;
- (d) setting up internal security and access rules with regard to the information system producing the electronic edition of the Official Journal;
- (e) preserving and archiving the electronic files and handling them in line with future technological developments.

2. The Publications Office shall exercise the responsibilities set out in paragraph 1 in accordance with Decision 2009/496/EC, Euratom.

Article 5

This Regulation shall enter into force on the first day of the fourth calendar month following its adoption.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 23, 27.1.2010, p. 35.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 7 March 2013.

For the Council
The President
A. SHATTER

II

(Non-legislative acts)

INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS

COUNCIL DECISION

of 25 February 2013

on the conclusion of the Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union

(2013/125/EU)

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular the first subparagraph of Article 207(4), in conjunction with point (v) of Article 218(6)(a) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

Having regard to the consent of the European Parliament,

Whereas:

- (1) On 29 January 2007 the Council authorised the Commission to open negotiations with certain other Members of the World Trade Organisation under Article XXIV:6 of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 in the course of the accession to the European Union of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania.
- (2) Negotiations have been conducted by the Commission within the framework of the negotiating directives adopted by the Council.
- (3) These negotiations have been concluded and the Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union ('the Agreement') was initialled by a representative of the European Union on 21 December 2011 and by a representative of the United States of America on 17 February 2012.

(4) The Agreement was signed on behalf of the European Union on 7 December 2012, subject to its conclusion at a later date, in accordance with Council Decision 2012/644/EU ⁽¹⁾.

(5) The Agreement should be approved,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union ('the Agreement') is hereby approved on behalf of the Union.

The text of the Agreement is attached to this Decision.

Article 2

The President of the Council is hereby authorised to designate the person(s) empowered to give, on behalf of the Union, the notification provided for in the Agreement ⁽²⁾.

Article 3

This Decision shall enter into force on the date of its adoption.

Done at Brussels, 25 February 2013.

For the Council
The President
S. COVENEY

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 287, 18.10.2012, p. 2.

⁽²⁾ The date of entry into force of the Agreement will be published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* by the General Secretariat of the Council.

AGREEMENT

in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America pursuant to Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of concessions in the schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union

A. Letter from the European Union

Geneva, 7 December 2012

Sir,

Following negotiations under Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of the Schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union, I have the honour to confirm the following understanding:

1. The European Union shall incorporate and bind in its WTO Schedule for the customs territory of the EU 27 the concessions that were included in its schedule for the customs territory of the EU 25 with the modifications set out in this letter.

Add 4 680 tonnes in the country allocated (US) EU tariff rate quota for 'meat and edible offal of poultry, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 1110, 0207 1130, 0207 1190, 0207 1210, 0207 1290, 0207 1310, 0207 1320, 0207 1330, 0207 1340, 0207 1350, 0207 1360, 0207 1370, 0207 1410, 0207 1420, 0207 1430, 0207 1440, 0207 1450, 0207 1460, 0207 1470, 0207 2410, 0207 2490, 0207 2510, 0207 2590, 0207 2610, 0207 2620, 0207 2630, 0207 2640, 0207 2650, 0207 2660, 0207 2670, 0207 2680, 0207 2710, 0207 2720, 0207 2730, 0207 2740, 0207 2750, 0207 2760, 0207 2770, 0207 2780);

Add 200 tonnes in the country allocated (US) EU tariff rate quota for 'frozen boneless hams and loins', maintaining the present in-quota rate of 250 €/t (tariff item numbers ex 0203 1955 and ex 0203 2955);

Create a country allocated (US) tariff rate quota of 1 550 tonnes for 'food preparations', with an in-quota rate 'Agricultural Element' (tariff item number 2106 9098);

Add 600 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'cuts of domestic swine, fresh, chilled or frozen, with or without bone, excluding tenderloin, presented separately', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0203 1211, 0203 1219, 0203 1911, 0203 1913, 0203 1915, ex 0203 1955, 0203 1959, 0203 2211, 0203 2219, 0203 2911, 0203 2913, 0203 2915, ex 0203 2955, 0203 2959);

Add 500 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'chicken cuts, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 1310, 0207 1320, 0207 1330, 0207 1340, 0207 1350, 0207 1360, 0207 1370, 0207 1420, 0207 1430, 0207 1440, 0207 1460);

Add 400 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'cuts of fowls', maintaining the existing in-quota rate 795 €/t (tariff item number 0207 1410);

Add 580 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'turkey meat, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 2410, 0207 2490, 0207 2510, 0207 2590, 0207 2610, 0207 2620, 0207 2630, 0207 2640, 0207 2650, 0207 2660, 0207 2670, 0207 2680, 0207 2730, 0207 2740, 0207 2750, 0207 2760, 0207 2770);

If all internal procedures required for the EU to incorporate and bind in its WTO schedule the modifications set forth in this letter are not completed 60 days prior to the expiration of the period for the United States to exercise its right to withdraw substantially equivalent concessions pursuant to Article XXVIII of the GATT, the EU shall request that the WTO Council for Trade in Goods approve, prior to

the expiration of the period, an extension of the period. Such extension shall be of sufficient length to ensure that all those EU internal procedures are completed 60 days prior to the expiration of the period for the United States to exercise its rights under Article XXVIII of the GATT.

2. Concurrent with the negotiation of the modifications set forth above and also related to the enlargement of the customs territory of the European Union to include the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania, the United States of America shall within 21 days of entry into force of this agreement submit for publication in the Federal Register a notice modifying the tariff-rate import quotas for cheeses allocated for the European Union in the additional U.S. notes 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 25 of Chapter 04 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to reflect the enlargement of the customs territory of the European Union to include Bulgaria and Romania.
3. Consultations may be held at any time with regard to any of the above matters at the request of either Party.

I should be obliged if you would confirm that your Government is in agreement with the content of this letter. I have the honour to propose that, should this be the case, this letter and your confirmation shall together constitute an Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America (the 'Agreement').

The European Union and the United States of America shall notify to each other in writing of the completion of any internal procedures necessary for the entry into force of this Agreement. This Agreement shall enter into force 14 days after the date of receipt of the date of the latest notification.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

Съставено в Женева на
 Hecho en Ginebra, el
 V Ženevě dne
 Udfærdiget i Genève, den
 Geschehen zu Genf am
 Genf,
 Έγινε στη Γενεύη, στις
 Done at Geneva,
 Fait à Genève, le
 Fatto a Ginevra, addì
 Ženěvā,
 Priimta Ženevoje
 Kelt Genfben,
 Magħmul f'Ġinevra,
 Gedaan te Genève,
 Sporządzono w Genewie dnia
 Feito em Genebra,
 Întocmit la Geneva la
 V Ženeve
 V Ženevi,
 Tehty Genevessä
 Utfärdat i Genève den

07 -12- 2012

За Европейския съюз
 Por la Unión Europea
 Za Evropskou unii
 For Den Europæiske Union
 Für die Europäische Union
 Euroopa Liidu nimel
 Για την Ευρωπαϊκή Ένωση
 For the European Union
 Pour l'Union européenne
 Per l'Unione europea
 Eiropas Savienības vārdā –
 Europos Sąjungos vardu
 Az Európai Unió részéről
 Ghall-Unjoni Ewropea
 Voor de Europese Unie
 W imieniu Unii Europejskiej
 Pela União Europeia
 Pentru Uniunea Europeană
 Za Európsku úniu
 Za Evropsko unijo
 Euroopan unionin puolesta
 För Europeiska unionen

B. Letter from the United States of America

Geneva, 7 December 2012

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of today's date, which reads as follows:

"Following negotiations under Article XXIV:6 and Article XXVIII of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 1994 relating to the modification of the Schedules of the Republic of Bulgaria and Romania in the course of their accession to the European Union, I have the honour to confirm the following understanding:

1. The European Union shall incorporate and bind in its WTO Schedule for the customs territory of the EU 27 the concessions that were included in its schedule for the customs territory of the EU 25 with the modifications set out in this letter.

Add 4 680 tonnes in the country allocated (US) EU tariff rate quota for 'meat and edible offal of poultry, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 1110, 0207 1130, 0207 1190, 0207 1210, 0207 1290, 0207 1310, 0207 1320, 0207 1330, 0207 1340, 0207 1350, 0207 1360, 0207 1370, 0207 1410, 0207 1420, 0207 1430, 0207 1440, 0207 1450, 0207 1460, 0207 1470, 0207 2410, 0207 2490, 0207 2510, 0207 2590, 0207 2610, 0207 2620, 0207 2630, 0207 2640, 0207 2650, 0207 2660, 0207 2670, 0207 2680, 0207 2710, 0207 2720, 0207 2730, 0207 2740, 0207 2750, 0207 2760, 0207 2770, 0207 2780);

Add 200 tonnes in the country allocated (US) EU tariff rate quota for 'frozen boneless hams and loins', maintaining the present in-quota rate of 250 €/t (tariff item numbers ex 0203 1955 and ex 0203 2955);

Create a country allocated (US) tariff rate quota of 1 550 tonnes for 'food preparations', with an in-quota rate 'Agricultural Element' (tariff item number 2106 9098);

Add 600 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'cuts of domestic swine, fresh, chilled or frozen, with or without bone, excluding tenderloin, presented separately', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0203 1211, 0203 1219, 0203 1911, 0203 1913, 0203 1915, ex 0203 1955, 0203 1959, 0203 2211, 0203 2219, 0203 2911, 0203 2913, 0203 2915, ex 0203 2955, 0203 2959);

Add 500 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'chicken cuts, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 1310, 0207 1320, 0207 1330, 0207 1340, 0207 1350, 0207 1360, 0207 1370, 0207 1420, 0207 1430, 0207 1440, 0207 1460);

Add 400 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'cuts of fowls', maintaining the existing in quota rate 795 €/t (tariff item number 0207 1410);

Add 580 tonnes (erga omnes) in the EU tariff rate quota for 'turkey meat, fresh, chilled or frozen', maintaining the existing in-quota rates (tariff item numbers 0207 2410, 0207 2490, 0207 2510, 0207 2590, 0207 2610, 0207 2620, 0207 2630, 0207 2640, 0207 2650, 0207 2660, 0207 2670, 0207 2680, 0207 2730, 0207 2740, 0207 2750, 0207 2760, 0207 2770);

If all internal procedures required for the EU to incorporate and bind in its WTO schedule the modifications set forth in this letter are not completed 60 days prior to the expiration of the period for the United States to exercise its right to withdraw substantially equivalent concessions pursuant to Article XXVIII of the GATT, the EU shall request that the WTO Council for Trade in Goods approve, prior to the expiration of the period, an extension of the period. Such extension shall be of sufficient length to ensure that all those EU internal procedures are completed 60 days prior to the expiration of the period for the United States to exercise its rights under Article XXVIII of the GATT.

2. Concurrent with the negotiation of the modifications set forth above and also related to the enlargement of the customs territory of the European Union to include the Republic of Bulgaria

and Romania, the United States of America shall within 21 days of entry into force of this agreement submit for publication in the Federal Register a notice modifying the tariff-rate import quotas for cheeses allocated for the European Union in the additional U.S. notes 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 25 of Chapter 04 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States to reflect the enlargement of the customs territory of the European Union to include Bulgaria and Romania.

3. Consultations may be held at any time with regard to any of the above matters at the request of either Party.

I should be obliged if you would confirm that your Government is in agreement with the content of this letter. I have the honour to propose that, should this be the case, this letter and your confirmation shall together constitute an Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters between the European Union and the United States of America (the 'Agreement').

The European Union and the United States of America shall notify to each other in writing of the completion of any internal procedures necessary for the entry into force of this Agreement. This Agreement shall enter into force 14 days after the date of receipt of the date of the latest notification."

I hereby have the honor to express my Government's agreement with the above letter.

Please accept, Sir, the assurance of my highest consideration.

Съставено в Женева на
 Hecho en Ginebra, el
 V Ženevě dne
 Udfærdiget i Genève, den
 Geschehen zu Genf am
 Genf,
 Έγινε στη Γενεύη, στις
 Done at Geneva,
 Fait à Genève, le
 Fatto a Ginevra, addì
 Ženěvā,
 Priimta Ženevoje
 Kelt Genfben,
 Magħmul f'Ginevra,
 Gedaan te Genève,
 Sporządzono w Genewie dnia
 Feito em Genebra,
 Întocmit la Geneva la
 V Ženeve
 V Ženevi,
 Tehty Genevessä
 Utfärdat i Genève den

07 -12- 2012

От името на Съединените американски щати
 En nombre de los Estados Unidos de América
 Za Spojené státy americké
 På vegne af Amerikas Forenede Stater
 Im Namen der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika
 Ameerika Ühendriikide nimel
 Εξ ονόματος των Ηνωμένων Πολιτειών της Αμερικής
 On behalf of the United States of America
 Au nom des États-Unis d'Amérique
 Per degli Stati Uniti d'America
 Amerikas Savienoto Valstu vārdā —
 Jungtinių Amerikos Valstijų vardu
 Az Amerikai Egyesült Államok nevében
 Fisem l-Istati Uniti tal-Amerika
 Voor de Verenigde Staten van Amerika
 W imieniu Stanów Zjednoczonych Ameryki
 Em nome dos Estados Unidos da América
 În numele Statelor Unite ale Americii
 V mene Spojených štátov amerických
 V imenu Združenih držav Amerike
 Amerikan yhdysvaltojen puolesta
 På Amerikas förenta staters vägnar



REGULATIONS

COUNCIL IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 217/2013

of 11 March 2013

imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty and collecting definitively the provisional duty imposed on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the People's Republic of China

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

relevant for the assessment of injury covered the period from January 2008 to the end of the IP (the 'period considered').

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

1.2. Subsequent procedure

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009 of 30 November 2009 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community⁽¹⁾ (the 'basic Regulation'), and in particular Article 9(4) thereof,

(3) Subsequent to the disclosure of the essential facts and considerations on the basis of which it was decided to impose provisional anti-dumping measures (the 'provisional disclosure'), several interested parties made written submissions making known their views on the provisional findings. The parties who so requested were granted the opportunity to be heard. In particular, one exporting producer requested and was afforded hearings in the presence of the Hearing Officer of the Directorate-General for Trade.

Having regard to the proposal submitted by the European Commission (the 'Commission') after having consulted the Advisory Committee,

(4) The Commission continued to seek and verify all information it deemed necessary for its definitive findings.

Whereas:

1. PROCEDURE

1.1. Provisional measures

(1) The Commission, by Regulation (EU) No 833/2012⁽²⁾ (the 'provisional Regulation') imposed a provisional anti-dumping duty (the 'provisional measures') on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the People's Republic of China (the 'PRC').

(5) Following the publication of the provisional Regulation, three of the cooperating Chinese exporting producers stated that their names were incorrectly spelt in Article 1(2) of the provisional Regulation. Accordingly, a corrigendum to the provisional Regulation was published in the *Official Journal of the European Union*⁽³⁾, in which the correct names of these companies were set out.

(2) The proceeding was initiated following a complaint lodged on 9 November 2011 by the European association of Metals (Eurométaux) ('the complainant') on behalf of producers representing more than 50 % of the total Union production of certain aluminium foil in rolls. The complaint contained prima facie evidence of dumping of the product and of material injury resulting from the dumping, which was considered sufficient to justify the initiation of a proceeding. As set out in recital 17 of the provisional Regulation, the investigation of dumping and injury covered the period from 1 October 2010 to 30 September 2011 (the 'investigation period' or 'IP'). The examination of trends

2. PRODUCT CONCERNED AND LIKE PRODUCT

(6) The product concerned is aluminium foil of a thickness of 0,007 mm or more but less than 0,021 mm, not backed, not further worked than rolled but whether or not embossed, in low weight rolls of a weight not exceeding 10 kg (the 'product concerned' or 'aluminium foil in rolls' or 'AHF'). The product concerned currently falls within CN codes ex 7607 11 11 and ex 7607 19 10.

(7) The product concerned is generally used as a consumer product for packaging and other household/catering applications. The product definition was not contested.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 51.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 251, 18.9.2012, p. 29.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 331, 1.12.2012, p. 56.

- (8) The investigation has shown that aluminium foil in rolls produced in and exported from the PRC, aluminium foil in rolls produced and sold in the Union by the Union producers and aluminium foil in rolls produced and sold in Turkey (the analogue country) by the cooperating Turkish producer have the same basic physical and technical characteristics as well as the same basic uses and are therefore considered to be alike within the meaning of Article 1(4) of the basic Regulation.
- (9) In the absence of any comments regarding the product concerned and the like product, recitals 18 to 20 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

3. SAMPLING

- (10) In the absence of any comments on sampling, recitals 21 to 26 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

4. DUMPING

4.1. Market economy treatment ('MET')

- (11) After the provisional disclosure, comments were received from CeDo (Shanghai) Ltd ('CeDo') concerning the findings in regard to the criterion laid down in the third indent of point (c) of Article 2(7) of the basic Regulation. The company in its comments and during a hearing with the Hearing Officer contested the finding that its decisions on obtaining financing from abroad were subject to approval of the State and thus created a distortion in its financial situation. CeDo claimed that the Chinese 'Rules for the Implementation of Registration of External Debts' did not have a distortive effect on its financial situation as its loan concerned an intra-group loan from a related company outside China and was based solely on intra-group financial considerations. The company further claimed that the approval to transfer interest and principal was automatically granted.
- (12) Having re-examined the additional information provided by the company and the arguments put forward following the provisional disclosure, it was considered that, despite the existence of loan registration and repayment approval requirements, it could be established in this particular case of an intra-group loan that the financial situation of the company was not subject to significant distortions given that the company was found to have repaid the interest and principal sum in line with the terms of the loan agreement. In these circumstances, the company is found to meet the criterion laid down in the third indent of point (c) of Article 2(7) of the basic Regulation.
- (13) In the absence of any other comments concerning MET, recitals 27 to 53 of the provisional Regulation are, subject to the above modification, hereby confirmed.

4.2. Individual treatment ('IT')

- (14) In the absence of any comments on IT, recitals 54 to 56 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

4.3. Analogue country

- (15) No party disputed the selection of Turkey as an analogue country for the definitive determination.
- (16) In the absence of any comments concerning the selection of the analogue country, recitals 57 to 64 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

4.4. Normal value

- (17) The normal value was calculated on the basis of the data provided by the sole cooperating producer in the analogue country (i.e. Turkey). Thus, normal value was established on the basis of prices of domestic sales and constructed normal value of one Turkish producer of the like product.
- (18) The company Ningbo Favored Commodity Co., Ltd ('Ningbo Favored') questioned how the data of a single Turkish producer could be sufficiently representative to establish a dumping margin for the entirety of all Chinese exporting producers, and considered it to be surprising that the domestic prices in Turkey were significantly higher than in the Union. In regard to the Turkish market for aluminium foil, as mentioned in recital 63 of the provisional Regulation, Turkey was considered a suitable analogue country based on volumes and values of domestic production, import and export. With regard to the fact that the prices on the Turkish market are higher than in the Union, this is not a decisive factor in selecting a suitable analogue country market. In any event, the price difference can be partly explained by the fact that the Union industry was close to breakeven during the IP. If the Union industry is put in a position whereby it can achieve a reasonable profit (i.e. 5 % as mentioned in recital 158 of the provisional Regulation), the price gap between Turkish prices and prices on the Union market will narrow.
- (19) Ningbo Favored also submitted that the institutions did not provide sufficient information on the constructed normal value.
- (20) In this respect it is noted that, as explained under recital 70, the Commission provided to the party all relevant information concerning the data used to calculate normal value that could be released without infringing the provisions of Article 19 of the basic Regulation, i.e. assuring at the same time that any confidential data provided by the sole Turkish producer is treated as such and is not disclosed to other parties. The information provided to the exporting producer was meaningful and offered it the possibility to understand the methodology used in line with the provisions of Article 2 of the basic Regulation. In addition, during a

hearing which took place at the request of Ningbo Favored, the company was informed that for the purpose of the dumping calculation, full product control numbers ('PCNs') had been used and that in situations where the Turkish producer did not sell the exact same product type, the normal value was established by adjusting the closest PCN sold by the Turkish producer. Finally, Ningbo Favored and the other sampled Chinese exporters were provided with additional information regarding the establishment of the constructed normal value at the time the disclosure of the final findings was made. The above claims therefore had to be rejected.

- (21) In the absence of any other comments, recitals 65 to 72 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

4.5. Export price

- (22) Ningbo Favored requested that the values of the export sales in the transaction-by-transaction listing should be converted from US dollars into Chinese currency using the monthly exchange rate supplied in the questionnaire, rather than the actual exchange rate at the time of the various transactions. In this respect, in accordance with Article 2(10)(j) on currency conversions of the basic Regulation, when the price comparison requires a conversion of currencies, such conversion shall be made using the rate of exchange on the date of sale. It is also noted that the instructions to the questionnaire provide explicitly that the amounts to be used are those in the accounting currency as booked in the accounting records of the respondent. The company had thus been duly informed of the exchange rate to be used. This claim could therefore not be accepted.

- (23) Following the imposition of the provisional measures, an additional verification visit was carried out at the premises of one of the unrelated importers for whom the profit mentioned in recital 75 of the provisional Regulation was established. As a result, the profit margin used in constructing the export prices under Article 2(9) of the basic Regulation decreased.

- (24) In the absence of any other comments, recitals 73 to 75 of the provisional Regulation, subject to the above modification, are hereby confirmed.

4.6. Comparison

- (25) No pertinent comments were received with respect to the comparison. In the absence of any other comments, recitals 76 to 78 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

4.7. Dumping margins

- (26) No pertinent comments with respect to the dumping margins were submitted. In the absence of any other comments, recitals 79 to 81 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

- (27) As a result of the revision of the unrelated importers' profit as mentioned in recital 23, as well as following the correction of some clerical errors, the definitive dumping margins, expressed as a percentage of the CIF Union frontier price, duty unpaid, are as follows:

Company name	Dumping margin
CeDo (Shanghai) Ltd	37,4 %
Ningbo Favored Commodity Co., Ltd	30,6 %
Ningbo Times Aluminium Foil Technology Co., Ltd	32,9 %
Other cooperating companies	34,9 %
Countrywide dumping margin	45,6 %

- (28) On the basis of the facts stated in recital 81 of the provisional Regulation, the country-wide definitive dumping margin for the PRC was established using the most dumped transactions of the cooperating exporters. On this basis, the definitive dumping margin was found to be 45,6 %.

5. INJURY

5.1. Union production and Union Industry

- (29) In the absence of comments on Union production and Union industry, recital 83 of the provisional Regulation is hereby confirmed.

5.2. Union consumption

- (30) In the absence of comments on Union consumption, recitals 84 to 86 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

5.3. Imports into the Union from the PRC

5.3.1. Volume and market share

- (31) In the absence of comments on the level of imports into the Union from the PRC and market share, recitals 87 to 89 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

5.3.2. Prices of dumped imports and price undercutting

- (32) As duly explained in recital 47, after analysis of the comments received following provisional disclosure, it was found appropriate not to apply a level of trade

adjustment for the comparison between prices of the product concerned and aluminium foil produced by Union industry. This change of method slightly affected the undercutting margins.

- (33) Furthermore, the undercutting margin of the CeDo group was reduced by the revision of the unrelated importers' profit margin (see recital 23). However, the weighted average undercutting margin of the sampled exporting producers remains above 7 %.
- (34) With the exception of the above changes and in the absence of any other comment concerning prices of dumped imports and price undercutting, the methodology described in recital 90 to 94 of the provisional Regulation to establish price undercutting is hereby confirmed.

5.4. Economic situation of the Union industry and the representative Union producers

5.4.1. Preliminary remarks and data relating to the Union industry

- (35) In the absence of any comments in this regard, the provisional findings set out in recitals 95 to 107 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

5.4.2. Magnitude of the actual dumping margin

- (36) In the absence of comments in this regard, recital 108 of the provisional Regulation is hereby confirmed.

5.5. Conclusion on injury

- (37) Based on the above, the provisional findings set out in recitals 109 to 112 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

6. CAUSALITY

- (38) The Commission received no comments on the provisional findings concerning the causal link between dumping and injury. It is consequently confirmed that the dumped imports from the PRC caused material injury to the Union industry within the meaning of Article 3(6) of the basic Regulation and that there are no other known factors which are as such as to break the causal link between the dumped imports from the PRC and the injury suffered by the Union industry. Therefore, the conclusions as set out in recitals 113 to 136 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

7. UNION INTEREST

7.1. Union industry

- (39) In the absence of any comments with regard to the interest of the Union industry, recitals 138 to 142 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

7.2. Importers/wholesalers

- (40) Cooperation from the importing sector was very low and, as already mentioned in recital 146 of the provisional Regulation, only two importers had submitted a questionnaire reply. As mentioned in recital 23, after the imposition of provisional measures, the largest importer (Robinson Young, UK) was visited to verify its questionnaire response. The verification resulted in a correction of the reported profitability of this company on its relevant activities. As a consequence, the weighted average profit margin of the two cooperating sampled importers went down. However, the reduction in profit of the cooperating importers was not considered to be significant in terms of the Union interest analysis because both profit rates (before and after the correction) were moderate.

- (41) One of the sampled importers contested the preliminary conclusion summarized in recital 148 of the provisional Regulation that the impact of the measures on the importing sector as a whole would not be disproportionate as it could be forced to exit the market if the measures would be confirmed. However, in the provisional Regulation it was indeed concluded that the Union industry might win back some contracts to the detriment of the importing sector. However, there is no doubt that imports of the product concerned will continue to serve the Union market, albeit now on the basis of fair competition and, therefore, possibly on a smaller scale. In view of that, it is confirmed that the overall impact on the importing sector is not disproportionate.

- (42) No further comments or information were received regarding the interests of importers or wholesalers. Therefore the provisional findings in recitals 143 to 149 of the provisional Regulation on the interest of those groups are hereby confirmed.

7.3. Retailers and consumers

- (43) In the absence of comments concerning the interest of retailers and consumers, recitals 150 to 153 of the provisional Regulation are hereby confirmed.

7.4. Conclusion on Union interest

- (44) In view of the above, the provisional findings concerning Union interest are confirmed, i.e. there are no compelling reasons against the imposition of definitive measures on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the PRC.

8. DEFINITIVE ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES

8.1. Injury elimination level

- (45) After disclosure of the provisional findings, Ningbo Favored made a submission concerning the methodology employed to calculate the injury margins. The company claimed that the adjustments made to the PCN structure had created an imbalance. In particular, it claimed that packaging costs were probably responsible for distorting the data. A second issue concerned the method employed to ensure fair comparison in terms of level of trade. At the provisional stage the Union data was split between retail and wholesale sales channels, however, Ningbo Favored argued that this created two target prices per product type which it said would be unlawful.
- (46) With reference to the claim on the adjustment made to the PCN structure, simulations have shown that there would be distortions if no adjustment was made. Those changes to the PCN structure (which were in effect a consolidation of data to improve matching rates and representativity) had removed distortions and improved the reliability of the calculations. Therefore, this claim has to be rejected.
- (47) The second issue raised by the Ningbo Favored, regarding the method provisionally employed to ensure fair comparison in terms of level of trade, was also duly analysed. In this respect it was found that although prices usually differed between the two sales channels, no identifiable or consistent pattern was present in the current case. Indeed, in certain instances, the producer sale prices to retailers would be lower than those to wholesalers, whereas in other cases the opposite would be the case. It was therefore decided to accept this claim that no level of trade adjustment should be made because the conditions for such adjustment were not met. Consequently, the definitive calculations of the injury elimination levels have been done on the basis of consolidated prices of both the exporting producers and the Union industry, making no adjustment for level of trade. This change in methodology slightly affected the injury margins.
- (48) In response to the definitive disclosure, Ningbo Favored argued that the method used to calculate underselling was flawed and unreliable because, on the Union industry side, its starting point was the Union sales price per PCN rather than the cost of production per PCN. Ningbo Favored concluded that the COP per PCN was not used because the Commission officials 'did not urge' the company to provide the relevant data and the proceeding should therefore be terminated because of a 'lack of evidence'.
- (49) However, the basic Regulation does not prescribe how Union industry's target price should be established. It is common practice to do this either on the basis of cost of production per PCN plus target profit, or by using the ex-works sales prices per PCN to unrelated customers on the Union market and adjusting those by the actual profit/loss made during the IP and by adding the established target profit. Both methods are reliable and they may be used interchangeably (depending on the circumstances). In the investigation, the second method (i.e. on the basis of actual Union sales prices to unrelated customers) was employed because not all the sampled Union producers were able to calculate a reliable COP per PCN.
- (50) In view of the above, the allegation that the method adopted is unreliable and the claim that the proceeding should therefore be terminated are rejected.
- (51) The CeDo Group claimed that the methodology used for calculating its provisional injury margins was not correct because it did not fully take into account the structure of the CeDo Group. Indeed the importer CeDo UK, related to a sampled cooperating exporting producer ('CeDo (Shanghai)'), supplies the Union market with foil produced in both the PRC and the Union, all channelled via a related importer/trader. The company claimed that SGA of this related importer and a profit margin should not have been deducted from CeDo resale price as competition takes place at the level of customers in the Union. CeDo sales prices at customers' level, it claimed, would not be injurious to the Union industry.
- (52) CeDo's assertion regarding its sales prices vis-à-vis those of the Union industry was challenged by several submissions from complaining Union producers. However, this issue could not be further investigated because the information submitted by the parties could not be verified at such a late stage in the investigation.
- (53) On substance, it should be noted that the purpose of calculating an injury margin is to determine whether applying to the CIF price of the dumped imports a lower duty rate than the one based on the dumping margin would be sufficient to remove the injury caused by the dumped imports. This assessment should be based on the CIF price of the imports in question, which is considered to be a level comparable to the Union industry ex-works price. In the case of imports made via related importers, by analogy with the approach followed for the dumping margin calculations, which

the injury margin calculations could substitute for the determination of the duty rate in application of the lesser-duty rule, the CIF price is constructed on the basis of the resale price to the first independent customer duly adjusted pursuant to Article 2(9) of the basic Regulation. Second and without prejudice to the latter observations, it should be noted that the methodology advocated by CeDo would lead to the inevitable use of prices relating to the Union production by CeDo of aluminium foil since, as mentioned above, the related importer/trader supplied the Union market with aluminium foil produced both in China and the Union.

- (54) CeDo returned to the above issue at the definitive stage. It also requested to be heard by the Hearing Officer of the Directorate-General for Trade and a hearing was organised to discuss the matter. CeDo reiterated its previous arguments and also challenged the above explanation concerning Article 2(9), stating that Article 2(9) appears under the dumping provisions of the basic Regulation and could not be used by analogy for calculating injury. The institutions pointed out that although Article 2 deals with dumping issues, Article 2(9) thereunder falls under the 'export price' subchapter and it gives guidance for calculating an export price in case of Union sales via a related importer. No other provision in the basic Regulation gives more specific guidance in this regard.
- (55) CeDo raised the issue of the Kazchrome⁽¹⁾ judgment of the General Court which it alleged provided guidance in this respect by stating that the most accurate way of calculating price undercutting would be to compare import prices with the prices of goods of the Community industry by including all the costs incurred up until the customers' premises. However, it should be noted that the Court also acknowledged that this approach is not practical and the judgment makes clear that CIF prices are an acceptable methodology in calculating injury margins. In addition, the Kazchrome case related to a special situation involving goods which entered the EU market first through Lithuania (in transit) and then to Rotterdam where they were customs cleared. In that case, the Commission had decided to calculate undercutting and underselling on the basis of the price at the point of transit, as opposed to the price after customs clearance. This is not the case in the current investigation where it is not disputed that the underselling and the undercutting calculations are based on CeDo's CIF price after

customs clearance. Furthermore, in the Kazchrome judgment the Court clearly restricted its conclusions to that specific case.

- (56) CeDo also raised the issue of fair comparison and quoted two WTO Panel Reports⁽²⁾. The institutions are satisfied that CeDo's prices as established by the Commission services and the ex-works Union industry prices (both for undercutting and underselling) provide the basis of a fair and reasonable comparison. It should be remembered that a perfect comparison would mean that only bids for the same contract should be taken into account because only then would the conditions of sale be identical. As a perfect comparison is not possible here the institutions are satisfied that a methodology which uses average prices collected for similar products over the period of a one year IP is fair. That methodology has been clearly communicated by disclosure.
- (57) Furthermore, it is considered that the method advocated by CeDo would lead to unequal treatment in the calculation of its margins and those of other sampled exporting producers selling to independent importers. The methodology employed for the other sampled exporting producers was based on an export price at CIF level which of course excludes Union SGA and profit for resale in the Union after customs clearance. The Commission considers that the establishment of the relevant import price for undercutting and underselling calculations should not be influenced by whether the exports are made to related or independent operators in the Union. The methodology followed by the Commission ensures that both circumstances receive equal treatment. Lastly, as already mentioned in recital 53, the approach requested by CeDo would, in particular in the circumstances of this company, confuse and blur the two distinct qualities in which CeDo operates as a supplier of aluminium foil to the Union market. Indeed, CeDo supplies the Union market, first, as a producer located in the Union and, second, as a reseller of aluminium foil imported from China. The purpose of the injury margin calculations is not to measure to what extent the sales of CeDo UK, as a Union importing producer, are causing injury to the Union producers, but rather whether the exports from CeDo Shanghai have such effect through undercutting and underselling the prices of Union producers. To that end, the relevant price to be taken into account is the price at which the product concerned is sold to the Union, and not the price at which the imported materials are then resold by importing producers in the Union. This is consistent with the approach taken when calculating the injury margin attributable to imports made by domestic producers in the Union.

⁽¹⁾ Case T-107/08 *Transnational Company 'Kazchrome' AO and ENRC Marketing AG v Council of the European Union and European Commission* [2011] (not yet published in ECR).

⁽²⁾ WTO Panel Report, China — CVD and AD Duties on Grain Oriented Flat-Rolled Electrical Steel from USA — WT/DS414/R and AD Measure on Farmed Atlantic Salmon from Norway — WT/DS337/R

(58) Finally, it should be stated that the Union producers' prices have been adjusted to an ex-works level by deducting not only credit notes, discounts and rebates but also commissions (a form of selling cost) and transport related expenses. Hence comparing the importer's resale price with a Union ex-works price would not be a fair comparison.

(59) For the reasons stated above, it was maintained that the claim to revise the methodology to calculate CeDo's injury margin could not be accepted.

(60) However, the revised unrelated importers profit margin (modified for the reasons explained in recital 23) had an impact on the injury margin of CeDo, as this is deducted from its resale price. Finally, all underselling margins were affected by the correction of a minor clerical error in the application of the target profit at the provisional stage.

(61) On the basis of the above, the definitive injury margins are as follows:

Company name	Dumping margin	Injury elimination margin	Anti-dumping duty rate
CeDo (Shanghai) Ltd	37,4 %	14,2 %	14,2 %
Ningbo Favored Commodity Co. Ltd	30,6 %	14,6 %	14,6 %
Ningbo Times Aluminium Foil Technology Co. Ltd	32,9 %	15,6 %	15,6 %
Other cooperating companies	34,9 %	14,6 %	14,6 %
Countrywide dumping margin	45,6 %	35,6 %	35,6 %

(64) The individual company anti-dumping duty rates specified in this Regulation were established on the basis of the findings of the present investigation. Therefore, they reflect the situation found during that investigation in respect to these companies. These duty rates (as opposed to the countrywide duty applicable to 'all other companies') are thus exclusively applicable to imports of the products originating in the PRC and produced by the companies and thus by the specific legal entities mentioned. Imports of the product concerned manufactured by any other company not specifically mentioned in the operative part of this Regulation with its name and address, including entities related to those specifically mentioned, cannot benefit from these rates and shall be subject to the duty rate applicable to 'all other companies'.

(65) In order to minimise the risks of circumvention due to the high difference in the duty rates, it is considered that

Company name	Underselling
CeDo (Shanghai) Ltd	14,2 %
Ningbo Favored Commodity Co. Ltd	14,6 %
Ningbo Times Aluminium Foil Technology Co., Ltd	15,6 %
Weighted average for other cooperators	14,6 %
Residual	35,6 %

8.2. Definitive measures

(62) In view of the conclusions reached with regard to dumping, injury, causation and Union interest, and in accordance with Article 9(4) of the basic Regulation, a definitive anti-dumping duty should be imposed on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the PRC at the level of the lower of the dumping and injury margins found, in accordance with the lesser duty rule. In this case, the duty rate should accordingly be set at the level of the injury margins found.

(63) On the basis of the above, the rate at which such duties will be imposed are set as follows:

special measures are needed in this case to ensure the proper application of the anti-dumping duties. These special measures include the presentation to the customs authorities of the Member States of a valid commercial invoice, which shall conform to the requirements set out in the Annex to this Regulation. Imports not accompanied by such an invoice shall be made subject to the residual anti-dumping duty applicable to all other exporters.

(66) Should the exports by one of the companies benefiting from lower individual duty rates increase significantly in volume after the imposition of the measures concerned, such an increase in volume could be considered as constituting in itself a change in the pattern of trade due to the imposition of measures within the meaning of Article 13(1) of the basic Regulation. In such circumstances and provided the conditions are met an

anti-circumvention investigation may be initiated. This investigation may, *inter alia*, examine the need for the removal of individual duty rates and the consequent imposition of a country-wide duty.

- (67) Any claim requesting the application of an individual anti-dumping duty rate (e.g. following a change in the name of the entity or following the setting up of new production or sales entities) should be addressed to the Commission ⁽¹⁾ forthwith with all relevant information, in particular any modification in the company's activities linked to production, domestic and export sales associated with, for instance, that name change or that change in the production and sales entities. If appropriate, this Regulation will then be amended accordingly by updating the list of companies benefiting from individual anti-dumping duty rates.
- (68) In order to ensure a proper enforcement of the anti-dumping duty, the country-wide duty level should not only apply to the non-cooperating exporting producers, but also to those producers which did not have any exports to the Union during the IP.
- (69) In order to ensure equal treatment between any new exporters and the cooperating companies not included in the sample, listed in the table at Article 1(2) at the sample average duty rate of 14,6 %, provision should be made for the weighted average duty imposed on the latter companies to be applied to any new exporters which would otherwise be entitled to a review pursuant to Article 11(4) of the basic Regulation as that Article does not apply where sampling has been used.
- (70) All parties were informed of the essential facts and considerations on the basis of which it was intended to recommend the imposition of a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of certain aluminium foils in rolls originating in the PRC and the definitive collection of the amounts secured by way of the provisional duty (final

disclosure). All parties were granted a period within which they could make comments on this final disclosure.

- (71) The oral and written comments submitted by the interested parties were considered and taken into account where appropriate.

9. DEFINITIVE COLLECTION OF THE PROVISIONAL DUTY

- (72) In view of the magnitude of the dumping margins found and in the light of the level of the injury caused to the Union industry, it is considered necessary that the amounts secured by way of the provisional anti-dumping duty, imposed by the provisional Regulation, should be definitively collected.
- (73) Where the definitive duties are higher than the provisional duties, only the amounts secured at the level of the provisional duties should be definitively collected, while the amounts secured in excess of the definitive rate of anti-dumping duties should be released,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. A definitive anti-dumping duty is hereby imposed on imports of aluminium foil of a thickness of 0,007 mm or more but less than 0,021 mm, not backed, not further worked than rolled but whether or not embossed, in low weight rolls of a weight not exceeding 10 kg, currently falling within CN codes ex 7607 11 11 and ex 7607 19 10 (TARIC codes 7607 11 11 10 and 7607 19 10 10) and originating in the People's Republic of China.

2. The rate of the definitive anti-dumping duty applicable to the net, free-at-Union-frontier price, before duty, of the product described in paragraph 1 and manufactured by the companies listed below, shall be as follows:

Company	Duty	TARIC additional code
CeDo (Shanghai) Ltd, Shanghai	14,2 %	B299
Ningbo Favored Commodity Co. Ltd, Yuyao City	14,6 %	B301
Ningbo Times Aluminium Foil Technology Co. Ltd, Ningbo	15,6 %	B300
Able Packaging Co., Ltd, Shanghai	14,6 %	B302
Guangzhou Chuanlong Aluminium Foil Product Co. Ltd, Guangzhou	14,6 %	B303
Ningbo Ashburn Aluminium Foil Products Co. Ltd, Yuyao City	14,6 %	B304
Shanghai Blue Diamond Aluminium Foil Manufacturing Co. Ltd, Shanghai	14,6 %	B305
Weifang Quanxin Aluminum Foil Co. Ltd, Linqu	14,6 %	B306

⁽¹⁾ European Commission, Directorate-General for Trade, Directorate H, Office: NERV-105, 08/020, 1049 Bruxelles/Brussel, BELGIQUE/BELGIË.

Company	Duty	TARIC additional code
Zhengzhou Zhuoshi Tech Co. Ltd, Zhengzhou City	14,6 %	B307
Zhuozhou Haoyuan Foil Industry Co. Ltd, Zhouzhou City	14,6 %	B308
Zibo Hengzhou Aluminium Plastic Packing Material Co., Ltd, Zibo	14,6 %	B309
Yuyao Caelurn Aluminium Foil Products Co. Ltd, Yuyao	14,6 %	B310
All other companies	35,6 %	B999

3. The application of the individual duty rates specified for the companies mentioned in paragraph 2 shall be conditional upon presentation to the customs authorities of the Member States of a valid commercial invoice, which shall conform to the requirements set out in the Annex to this Regulation. If no such invoice is presented, the duty applicable to 'all other companies' shall apply.

4. Unless otherwise specified, the provisions in force concerning customs duties shall apply.

Article 2

The amounts secured by way of provisional anti-dumping duty pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 833/2012 shall be definitively collected. The amounts secured in excess of the amount of the definitive anti-dumping duties shall be released.

Article 3

Where any new exporting producer in the People's Republic of China provides sufficient evidence to the Commission that:

— it did not export to the Union the product described in Article 1(1) during the investigation period (1 October 2010 to 30 September 2011),

— it is not related to any of the exporters or producers in the People's Republic of China which are subject to the measures imposed by this Regulation,

— it has actually exported to the Union the product concerned after the investigation period on which the measures are based, or it has entered into an irrevocable contractual obligation to export a significant quantity to the Union,

the Council, acting by simple majority on a proposal submitted by the Commission after consulting the Advisory Committee, may amend Article 1(2) by adding the new exporting producer to the cooperating companies not included in the sample and thus subject to the weighted average duty rate of 14,6 %.

Article 4

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 11 March 2013.

For the Council

The President

E. GILMORE

ANNEX

A declaration signed by an official of the entity issuing the commercial invoice, in the following format, must appear on the valid commercial invoice referred to in Article 1(3):

- (1) the name and function of the official of the entity issuing the commercial invoice;
- (2) the following declaration:

'I, the undersigned, certify that the (volume) of certain aluminium foils in rolls, sold for export to the European Union covered by this invoice, was manufactured by (company name and registered seat) (TARIC additional code) in the People's Republic of China. I declare that the information provided in this invoice is complete and correct.

Date and signature'.

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 218/2013

of 8 March 2013

approving non-minor amendments to the specification for a name entered in the register of protected designations of origin and protected geographical indications (Cabrito Transmontano (PDO))

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 52(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 entered into force on 3 January 2013. It repealed and replaced Council Regulation (EC) No 510/2006 of 20 March 2006 on the protection of geographical indications and designations of origin for agricultural products and foodstuffs ⁽²⁾.
- (2) By virtue of the first subparagraph of Article 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 510/2006, the Commission has examined Portugal's application for the approval of amendments to the specification for the protected designation of origin 'Cabrito Transmontano' registered under Commission Regulation (EC) No 1263/1996 ⁽³⁾.

- (3) Since the amendments in question are not minor, the Commission published the amendment application in the *Official Journal of the European Union* ⁽⁴⁾, as required by Article 6(2) of Regulation (EC) No 510/2006. As no statement of objection under Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 510/2006 has been received by the Commission, the amendments should be approved,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The amendments to the specification published in the *Official Journal of the European Union* regarding the name contained in the Annex to this Regulation are hereby approved.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 8 March 2013.

For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
Dacian CIOLOŞ
Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 343, 14.12.2012, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 93, 31.3.2006, p. 12.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 163, 2.7.1996, p. 19.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ C 122, 27.4.2012, p. 20.

ANNEX

Agricultural products intended for human consumption listed in Annex I to the Treaty:

Class 1.1. Fresh meat and offal

PORTUGAL

Cabrito Transmontano (PDO)

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) No 219/2013**of 12 March 2013****establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables**

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 of 22 October 2007 establishing a common organisation of agricultural markets and on specific provisions for certain agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation) ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 of 7 June 2011 laying down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 in respect of the fruit and vegetables and processed fruit and vegetables sectors ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 136(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multi-lateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the

Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in Annex XVI, Part A thereto.

- (2) The standard import value is calculated each working day, in accordance with Article 136(1) of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011, taking into account variable daily data. Therefore this Regulation should enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The standard import values referred to in Article 136 of Implementing Regulation (EU) No 543/2011 are fixed in the Annex to this Regulation.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 12 March 2013.

*For the Commission,
On behalf of the President,
José Manuel SILVA RODRÍGUEZ
Director-General for Agriculture and
Rural Development*

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 299, 16.11.2007, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 157, 15.6.2011, p. 1.

ANNEX

Standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

<i>(EUR/100 kg)</i>		
CN code	Third country code ⁽¹⁾	Standard import value
0702 00 00	IL	107,2
	MA	70,0
	TN	101,4
	TR	111,5
	ZZ	97,5
0707 00 05	MA	170,1
	TR	169,8
	ZZ	170,0
0709 93 10	MA	55,6
	TR	126,5
	ZZ	91,1
0805 10 20	EG	57,0
	IL	71,4
	MA	52,6
	TN	56,6
	TR	65,0
0805 50 10	ZZ	60,5
	TR	77,1
0808 10 80	ZZ	77,1
	AR	116,3
	BR	81,6
	CL	122,3
	CN	76,3
	MK	31,3
	US	170,8
	ZZ	99,8
0808 30 90	AR	124,4
	BR	113,7
	CL	133,7
	TR	171,6
	US	191,0
	ZA	107,5
	ZZ	140,3

⁽¹⁾ Nomenclature of countries laid down by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1833/2006 (OJ L 354, 14.12.2006, p. 19). Code 'ZZ' stands for 'of other origin'.

2013 SUBSCRIPTION PRICES (excluding VAT, including normal transport charges)

EU Official Journal, L + C series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 1 300 per year
EU Official Journal, L + C series, paper + annual DVD	22 official EU languages	EUR 1 420 per year
EU Official Journal, L series, paper edition only	22 official EU languages	EUR 910 per year
EU Official Journal, L + C series, monthly DVD (cumulative)	22 official EU languages	EUR 100 per year
Supplement to the Official Journal (S series), tendering procedures for public contracts, DVD, one edition per week	multilingual: 23 official EU languages	EUR 200 per year
EU Official Journal, C series — recruitment competitions	Language(s) according to competition(s)	EUR 50 per year

Subscriptions to the *Official Journal of the European Union*, which is published in the official languages of the European Union, are available for 22 language versions. The Official Journal comprises two series, L (Legislation) and C (Information and Notices).

A separate subscription must be taken out for each language version.

In accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 920/2005, published in Official Journal L 156 of 18 June 2005, the institutions of the European Union are temporarily not bound by the obligation to draft all acts in Irish and publish them in that language. Irish editions of the Official Journal are therefore sold separately.

Subscriptions to the Supplement to the Official Journal (S Series — tendering procedures for public contracts) cover all 23 official language versions on a single multilingual DVD.

On request, subscribers to the *Official Journal of the European Union* can receive the various Annexes to the Official Journal. Subscribers are informed of the publication of Annexes by notices inserted in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

Sales and subscriptions

Subscriptions to various priced periodicals, such as the subscription to the *Official Journal of the European Union*, are available from our sales agents. The list of sales agents is available at:

http://publications.europa.eu/others/agents/index_en.htm

EUR-Lex (<http://eur-lex.europa.eu>) offers direct access to European Union legislation free of charge. The *Official Journal of the European Union* can be consulted on this website, as can the Treaties, legislation, case-law and preparatory acts.

For further information on the European Union, see: <http://europa.eu>

