# Official Journal of the European Union

L 303

English edition

# Legislation

Volume 47 30 September 2004

Contents

I Acts whose publication is obligatory

*	Council Regulation (EC) No 1683/2004 of 24 September 2004 imposing a definitive anti- dumping duty on imports of glyphosate originating in the People's Republic of China	1
	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1684/2004 of 29 September 2004 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables	19
*	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1685/2004 of 29 September 2004 amending Commission Regulation (EC) No 1327/2004 on a standing invitation to tender to determine levies and/or refunds on exports of white sugar for the 2004/2005 marketing year	21
*	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1686/2004 of 28 September 2004 authorising transfers between the quantitative limits of textiles and clothing products originating in Macao	22
*	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1687/2004 of 28 September 2004 authorising transfers between the quantitative limits of textiles and clothing products originating in the Republic of India	24
*	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1688/2004 of 29 September 2004 setting, for the 2004/05 marketing year, the buying-in price to be applied by storage agencies for unprocessed dried grapes and unprocessed dried figs	20
*	Commission Regulation (EC) No 1689/2004 of 29 September 2004 establishing the estimated production of unginned cotton for the 2004/05 marketing year and the resulting provisional reduction of the guide price	27

(Continued overleaf)



Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

2

(continued)

II Acts whose publication is not obligatory

# Council

2004/66	4/EC:
---------	-------

*	Council Decision of 24 September 2004 adapting Decision 2004/246/EC by reason of the	
	accession of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland,	
	Slovenia and Slovakia	28

# Commission

# 2004/665/EC:

*	Commission Decision of 22 September 2004 concerning a baseline study on the prevalence of	
	salmonella in laying flocks of Gallus gallus (notified under document number C(2004) 3512)	30

# 2004/666/EC:

*	Commission Decision of 29 September 2004 on introducing vaccination to supplement the
	measures to control infections with low pathogenic avian influenza in Italy and on specific
	movement control measures and repealing Decision 2002/975/EC (notified under document number
	C(2004) 3581) (1)



I

(Acts whose publication is obligatory)

# COUNCIL REGULATION (EC) No 1683/2004

#### of 24 September 2004

## imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of glyphosate originating in the People's Republic of China

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 384/96 of 22 December 1995 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community (1) (the basic Regulation), and in particular Articles 11(2) and 11(3) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal submitted by the Commission after consulting the Advisory Committee,

Whereas:

#### A. PROCEDURE

#### 1. Measures in force

In February 1998 the Council, by Regulation (EC) No (1) 368/98 (2), imposed definitive anti-dumping measures (the original measures) in the form of a duty of 24% on imports of glyphosate originating in the People's Republic of China (the PRC). That Regulation was amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 1086/2000 (3) which increased the duty to 48% following an antiabsorption investigation pursuant to Article 12 of the basic Regulation. Subsequently, following an anti-circumvention investigation pursuant to Article 13 of the basic Regulation, Council Regulation (EC) No 163/2002 (4) extended the 48% duty imposed on imports of glyphosate originating in the PRC to imports of glyphosate consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan (whether declared as originating in Malaysia or Taiwan or not), with the exception of those produced by one named company in each of these countries.

# 2. Initiation of expiry and interim review investigations

Following the publication of the Notice of impending expiry of the anti-dumping measures applicable to imports of glyphosate originating in the PRC (5), the

Commission received on 18 November 2002, a request to review these measures pursuant to Article 11(2) of the basic Regulation.

- The request was lodged by the European Glyphosate Association (EGA) (the applicant) on behalf of producers representing a major proportion, in this case more than 90%, of the total Community production of glyphosate.
- The request was based on the grounds that the expiry of the measures would be likely to result in the continuation or recurrence of dumping and injury to the Community industry. Moreover, on the basis of the evidence contained in the request, the Commission considered that the level of the measure was not sufficient to counteract the injurious dumping practices, which justified the ex officio initiation of a full interim review of the measures encompassing all aspects of the proceeding. Having determined, after consulting the Advisory Committee, that sufficient evidence existed for the initiation of an expiry and an interim review pursuant to Articles 11(2) and 11(3) of the basic Regulation, the Commission published a Notice of initiation of these reviews in the Official Journal of the European Union (6).

#### 3. Parties concerned by the investigations

- The Commission officially advised the authorities of the PRC, the Chinese exporting producers, the producers, importers and users in the Community which were identified in the request as being concerned, as well as their associations, of the initiation of the investigations. Interested parties were given an opportunity to make their views known in writing and to request a hearing within the time limit set in the Notice of initiation.
- In view of the apparent large number of exporting producers of the product concerned in the PRC, known from the request and from the previous investigation, the use of sampling techniques for the investigation of dumping was envisaged in the Notice of initiation. Additionally, the Commission sent sampling questionnaires to importers known to the Commission services.

<sup>(</sup>¹) OJ L 56, 6.3.1996, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 461/2004 (OJ L 77, 13.3.2004, p. 12).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 47, 18.2.1998, p. 1.

<sup>(3)</sup> OJ L 124, 25.5.2000, p. 1. (4) OJ L 30, 31.1.2002, p. 1.

<sup>(5)</sup> OJ C 120, 23.5.2002, p. 3.

<sup>(6)</sup> OJ C 36, 15.2.2003, p. 18.

- (7) However, only a limited number of exporting producers from the PRC made themselves known and provided, within the prescribed time-limits, the information requested in the Notice of initiation. Therefore, the use of sampling techniques was not considered necessary insofar as exporting producers in the PRC were concerned. Additionally, only one importer reported imports of glyphosate originating in the PRC. Therefore, the use of sampling techniques was not considered necessary as far as importers were concerned.
- (8) The Commission sent questionnaires to the parties known to be concerned and received replies from four Community producers and two Chinese exporting producers. One importer reported imports originating in the PRC and subsequently submitted a reply to a full questionnaire.
- (9) A number of exporting producers in the PRC, four producers and 13 suppliers located in the Community as well as an association of distributors and users, made their views known in writing. All parties who so requested within the prescribed time limit and showed that there were particular reasons why they should be heard were granted the opportunity to be heard.
- (10) The Commission sought and verified all information it deemed necessary for the determination of whether there was a likelihood of a continuation or recurrence of injurious dumping and for the assessment of Community interest. Verification visits were carried out at the premises of the following companies:

Exporting producers in the PRC:

 Zhejiang Xinan Industrial Group Ltd, Xinanjiang, Jiande City, Zhejiang Province, the PRC;

Producers in the Community:

- Cheminova Agro A/S, Lemvig, Denmark, (including also the related distributor Headland Agrochemicals Ltd, Great Chesterford, Essex, United Kingdom),
- Herbex Produtos Químicos, SA, Sintra, Portugal,
- Monsanto Europe SA, Brussels and Antwerp, Belgium, (including also the related distributor Monsanto UK Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom),
- Syngenta UK, Huddersfield, United Kingdom (including also the related companies: Stauffer Chemical BV, Seneffe, Belgium and Syngenta Supply AG, Basel, Switzerland, and the related distributor Syngenta Crop Protection, Whittlesford, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom);

Producer in the market economy third country:

- Monsanto do Brasil, São Paulo, Brazil.
- (11) The investigation into the likelihood of a continuation or recurrence of dumping in the review investigations covered the period from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002 (the IP). The examination of trends in the context of the analysis of the likelihood of a continuation or recurrence of injury covered the period from January 1999 to the end of the IP (the analysis period).

# 4. Market economy treatment (MET) and individual treatment

- (12) Pursuant to Article 2(7)(b) of the basic Regulation, two Chinese companies, Zhejiang Xinan Industrial Group Ltd (Xinanchem) and Zhenjiang Jiagnan Chemical Factory (Zhenjiang), requested MET and individual treatment. However, it was found that Zhenjiang had no exports of the product concerned into the Community during the IP. Therefore, the request for MET and individual treatment of Zhenjiang became irrelevant. Xinanchem was required to complete a MET claim form detailing all the relevant information required.
- (13) Although the majority of the shares of the company were owned by private persons, due to the wide dispersion of the non State-owned shares, together with that fact that the State owned by far the biggest block of shares, the company was found to be under State control. Moreover, the board of directors was in fact appointed by the State shareholders and the majority of the directors of the board were either State officials or officials of State-owned enterprises. Therefore, it was determined that the company was under a significant State control and influence.
- (14) Moreover, it was established that the government of the PRC had entrusted the China Chamber of Commerce Metals, Minerals & Chemicals Importers and Exporters (CCCMC) with the right of contract stamping and verifying export prices for customs clearance. This system included the setting of a minimum price for glyphosate exports and it allowed the CCCMC to veto exports that did not respect these prices.
- (15) Consequently, after consulting the Advisory Committee, it was decided not to grant MET to Xinanchem on the basis that the company did not meet all the criteria set in Article 2(7)(c) of the basic Regulation.

- (16) As Xinanchem was not granted market economy status, the company applied for individual treatment, i.e. the determination of an individual dumping margin on the basis of its individual export prices. The Commission verified whether this company enjoyed, both in fact and in law, the necessary degree of independence from the State for setting its export price.
- (17) In this respect, it was established that Xinanchem was subject to significant State control with regard to setting of its export prices of the product concerned as explained in recital 14. It was, therefore, concluded that Xinanchem did not meet the necessary requirements for individual treatment as set in Article 9(5) of the basic Regulation.

#### B. PRODUCT CONCERNED AND LIKE PRODUCT

#### 1. Product concerned

- (18) The product concerned is glyphosate originating in the PRC (the product concerned), currently classifiable within CN codes ex 2931 00 95 (TARIC codes 2931 00 95 81 and 2931 00 95 82) and ex 3808 30 27 (TARIC codes 3808 30 27 11 and 3808 30 27 19). The product concerned is the same as in the investigation leading to the imposition of the original measures (the original investigation).
- Glyphosate is a non-selective herbicide which can exist in different grades or forms of concentration of which the main ones are acid, salt and formulated product. Acid is the basic form of the chemical resulting from the manufacture process and is usually found as a dry powder, with 95% glyphosate content, or as wet cake, with 84 % glyphosate content, the difference being due only to the moisture content remaining. Salt is a soluble form of glyphosate which is made from acid. It is a liquid, normally containing 62% IPA salt (1) content which is equivalent to a glyphosate content of 43 %. Formulated glyphosate is generally in a liquid form consisting of a mixture of glyphosate salt, water and other chemicals, such as surfactants and additives which enable the herbicide to be absorbed into the plants and improve effectiveness. The typical standard form of formulated glyphosate contains 360 grams/litre of glyphosate.
- (20) In order to reduce the costs of transportation, importers normally purchase glyphosate in a concentrated form (usually acid or wet cake, but also salt) and further process (formulate) it by adding water and other chemicals in order to obtain formulated glyphosate,

which is the only form that can be used as an endproduct, i.e. as a non-selective herbicide. In view of this, importers and formulators were treated as one group (importers/formulators) for the purposes of the investigation.

#### 2. Like product

Community produced and sold in the Community by the Community producers requesting the expiry review and glyphosate produced in the PRC and sold in the Community by the Chinese exporting producers share the same basic physical, technical and chemical characteristics as well as the same uses and are therefore considered to be like products, within the meaning of Article 1(4) of the basic Regulation. It was also established that glyphosate produced and sold domestically in the market economy third country (the analogue country), i.e. Brazil, had the same basic physical, technical and chemical characteristics as well as the same uses as glyphosate produced in the PRC and exported to the Community. Therefore, they were considered to be like products within the meaning of Article 1(4) of the basic Regulation.

# C. LIKELIHOOD OF CONTINUATION OR RECURRENCE OF DUMPING

Two Chinese companies cooperated in the investigations. However, only one of them (Xinanchem) had exports of the product concerned to the Community during the IP (hereafter the Chinese exporting producer). Consequently, the cooperation of the other company (Zhenjiang) was limited to the expiry review investigation. The total quantity of glyphosate originating in the PRC imported during the IP is less than 50% of the quantity imported during the investigation period of the original investigation (12 months to end August 1995). Since consumption was increasing, the market share held by imports of the product concerned originating in the PRC decreased more significantly over the same period. From 11% during the investigation period of the original investigation, it decreased to below 3% at the start of the analysis period and to less than 2% in 2001, but subsequently increased during the IP while still remaining below 2%.

#### 1. Analogue country

(23) Since the PRC is considered to be an economy in transition, the Commission had to determine in this case the normal value on the basis of data obtained from producers in a market economy third country, in accordance with Article 2(7) of the basic Regulation.

<sup>(1)</sup> Salt of glyphosate acid and Isopropylamine.

- (24) For this purpose, the Commission suggested Brazil in the Notice of initiation which was also the analogue country used in the investigation leading to the imposition of the measures.
- (25) All interested parties were given the opportunity to comment on the choice of analogue country envisaged.
- The Chinese exporting producer argued that a country with a more appropriate level of economic development to the PRC should be used and that an analogue country situated in Asia would offer a better point of comparison. It is to be noted that the same level of economic development is, as such, not relevant for the purpose of establishing the normal value. Even though no substantiated proposals for an analogue country other than Brazil were made, the Commission inquired from Taiwanese and Malaysian known producers of the product concerned about their willingness to provide the necessary information. However, these producers in Taiwan and Malaysia submitted that both of these markets were strongly influenced by low-priced imports of glyphosate originating in the PRC and that the prices in these countries were not representative. One producer in Taiwan considered that other countries, such as Brazil, were more suitable for this purpose. As a result no further cooperation of these producers was achieved.
- (27) The Chinese exporting producer in the PRC submitted also that information concerning Brazil would be provided by an affiliated related company of a member of the applicant and that the Commission should rather use independent and properly verifiable information for the purpose of establishing normal value. However, it was considered that the fact that one company in the proposed analogue country is a related company of a member of the applicant did not preclude that the information obtained could be reliable and verifiable. Special attention was paid to the necessity to eliminate any possible effects of the relationship in determining the normal value.
- (28) Since Brazil was considered a reasonable choice, with producers willing to cooperate and using a comparable production process, and particularly taking into account that Brazil was the analogue country used in the investigation leading to the imposition of the measures, Brazil was chosen as the analogue country. The Commission sought to obtain the necessary information from the two known producers in the country, i.e. Monsanto do Brasil (Mobras) and Nortox. The Commission was ultimately able to obtain information only from Mobras, which is a company related to a member of the applicant. It was determined that the domestic sales of this company were representative in relation to the volume of the product concerned sold by the Chinese exporting producer to the Community.

# 2. Normal value

(29) Normal value was calculated for all forms of glyphosate produced and sold in Brazil, i.e. glyphosate acid and different formulations based on their concentration.

- It was established that the product types sold on the domestic market of Brazil were not comparable to the product types sold by the said exporting producer to the Community. Therefore, in accordance with Article 2(3) of the basic Regulation, normal value was constructed on the basis of the manufacturing costs plus a reasonable amount for selling, general and administrative costs (SG&A) and for profit. The SG&A costs and profit were determined on the basis of Mobras' domestic sales of the like product. In this regard it is noted that Mobras sourced the major part of its raw materials for glyphosate production from a related company in Brazil. The profit level of the related company was adjusted to a lower level, when determining the cost of acquisition of raw material used in the calculation of the manufacturing costs.
- (31) The amounts for SG&A and for profits were based on actual data pertaining to sales, in the ordinary course of trade, of glyphosate, by Mobras.

# 3. Export price

- (32) All export sales of the Chinese exporting producer to the Community were made directly to independent customers in the Community and the export price was established in accordance with Article 2(8) of the basic Regulation on the basis of the prices paid or payable.
- (33) The comparison of the data concerning exports to the Community provided by the Chinese exporting producer and the total volume of imports originating in the PRC as determined in recital 58 below, indicated that the level of cooperation was low, since these exports represented less than 26% of total Community imports from the PRC during the IP.

# 4. Comparison

For the purposes of a fair comparison between the normal value and the export price, due allowance in the form of adjustments was made for differences which were claimed and demonstrated to affect price comparability in accordance with Article 2(10) of the basic Regulation. On this basis, adjustments were made where appropriate with regard to indirect taxes, discounts, transport, insurance, handling, loading and ancillary costs, packing, credit and commissions. The adjustments in the export price in respect of inland freight in the exporting country, packing costs and credit costs were made based on the costs established in the analogue country.

(35) The comparison between normal value and export price was made on an ex-works basis.

#### 5. Dumping margins

- (36) A comparison of the normal value and the export price of the Chinese exporting producer showed a dumping margin of 28,7 % during the IP.
- (37) In the absence of individual treatment being granted to this exporting producer, an overall dumping margin was calculated for the whole of the PRC.
- (38) Since, as described in recital 33, the level of cooperation was low, for the export volumes of non-cooperating Chinese exporting producers, the level of dumping was determined on the basis of the highest margin established for a representative type of the product concerned, of the cooperating exporting producer. This approach was deemed appropriate since no indication was found that any of the non-cooperating producers dumped the product at a lower level than that of the cooperating exporter. Therefore, a dumping margin of 30,2% was attributed to all non-cooperating exporting producers and the cooperating exporting producer which had no exports to the Community during the IP.
- (39) Finally, a country-wide average dumping margin was calculated using as a weighing factor, the cif value of each group of exporters, i.e. cooperators and non-cooperators. The established country-wide dumping margin was 29,9 %.
- (40) The country wide dumping margin established in the interim review investigation was lower than the dumping margin established in the original investigation and, furthermore, it was lower than the dumping margin established in the anti-absorption investigation pursuant to Article 12 of the basic Regulation. It is to be recalled that there has been a considerable reduction in the normal value of the product concerned since the IP of the original investigation. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that it is not likely that a higher dumping margin would recur if the measures were amended to reflect the dumping margin established in the interim review investigation.

#### 6. Development of imports should measures be repealed

- (a) PRC export sales to other countries (volume and prices)
- (41) It was found that glyphosate was sold to third countries at prices even lower than the dumped prices of the sales to the Community market as derived from the questionnaire responses and from Eurostat statistics. Moreover, as derived from the questionnaire responses the volumes of exports to third countries increased by

more than 100% since the imposition of the definitive measures. Therefore, if the measures were lifted, the exporting producers in the PRC could also divert their existing exports to other markets to the Community market in significant quantities at dumped prices.

- (42) Information provided by the applicant showed that more than 80% of all glyphosate production in the PRC is exported. In respect of the two cooperating exporting producers, exports accounted for a major proportion of their total production of glyphosate. Therefore, it was established that most of the glyphosate production in the PRC was directed to export markets.
  - (b) Unused production capacities in the PRC
- (43) The two cooperating companies declared that their production capacity during the IP was around 34 000 tonnes of glyphosate expressed in 95% acid equivalents. Since 1999 these two companies have increased their production capacity by more than 92% according to their questionnaire responses. Information provided by the applicant estimated that the total glyphosate production capacity in the PRC amounted to 79 500 tonnes. Both cooperating companies were operating close to full capacity levels. However, the information provided by the applicant showed that the average capacity utilisation rate of all producers in the PRC was around 83% in 2001 i.e. leaving a spare capacity of about 13 500 tonnes.
- (44) Based on the above, it was concluded that the exporting producers in the PRC have available capacity to increase their exports to the Community market should the measures be repealed.
  - (c) Circumvention/absorption practices in the past
- (45) As indicated in recital 1, following the imposition of definitive duties in February 1998, two other investigations were conducted pursuant to Article 12 and Article 13 of the basic Regulation. The findings of these investigations demonstrated the inability of the PRC exporters to compete on the Community market at non-dumped levels, because in order to be present on the Community market they had to resort to circumvention practices by transhipping the product concerned to the Community via other countries at significantly dumped prices (anti-circumvention investigation pursuant to Article 13) and to absorption practices.

- (d) Imports under inward processing
- (46) More than 90% of recent imports originating in the PRC have been made under inward processing arrangements. During the analysis period, imports originating in the PRC made under inward processing regimes have steadily increased, at the expense of duty paid imports, from around 60% of the total in 1999 to more than 90% in the IP. PRC exports have clearly shifted to the inward processing regime in recent years.
  - (e) Conclusion on the likelihood of a continuation of dumping
- (47) Since the PRC has unused production capacity and average export prices to non-EC countries were, during the investigation period, substantially lower than those prevailing on the Community market, it could be expected that, should the measures be repealed, additional production, or sales currently exported to non-EC countries, would be redirected towards the Community market in significant quantities.
- (48) The investigation showed that exports originating in the PRC are still made at dumped prices. Therefore, it is reasonable, in the absence of any indication to the contrary, that dumping would likely continue in the future. Moreover, the volume of dumped imports, which is currently at low levels, was found likely to increase significantly should measures expire.

# 7. Undertaking

(49) The cooperating exporting producer in the PRC, Xinanchem, expressed its interest in offering an undertaking. However, it is recalled that Xinanchem did not meet the requirements to be granted individual treatment because there was a price-control system in place which was entrusted to the CCCMC by the State. Moreover, due to the low level of cooperation obtained from exporting producers in the PRC, the Commission was not in a position to consider further an undertaking proposed by Xinanchem because of the high inherent risk of circumvention of such an undertaking. The cooperating exporting producer was informed accordingly. Further to that the Commission received no actual offer for an undertaking from Xinanchem.

#### D. SITUATION ON THE COMMUNITY MARKET

#### 1. General

(50) The four Community producers that co-operated in the investigation represented 100% of Community production of glyphosate during the IP. Therefore, they constitute the Community industry within the meaning of Article 4(1) and Article 5(4) of the basic Regulation.

- For the purposes of this review, and as in the original investigation, the Community industry is considered as comprising only actual producers of glyphosate, i.e. those that manufacture glyphosate from the necessary raw materials as distinct from formulators that only transform one form of glyphosate into another. One Chinese exporting producer claimed that formulators, i.e. companies that buy glyphosate acid or salt and process it into formulated glyphosate, should also be considered as Community producers. However, the product concerned by this review is glyphosate in its various forms and it is clear that a formulator — by definition — only processes an existing form of glyphosate into another form. It is therefore not accepted that a formulator is actually a producer of glyphosate. Furthermore, this distinction between producers and importers/formulators is consistent with the approach adopted in the original investigation.
- Concerning one producer, it was necessary to consider whether it could be defined as a Community producer due to the corporate structure of the group of which it formed part. It was found that this producer is fully integrated in a single economic entity, which consists of a large number of companies and operates as follows: The group headquarters, located outside the Community, purchases the raw materials. A subsidiary in the Community (the producer in question) manufactures glyphosate acid under a toll arrangement with the group headquarters, which still retains ownership of the glyphosate. Subsequently, the glyphosate acid is sold by the group headquarters to a second subsidiary in the Community, where it is processed into salt and formulated product. The formulated product is then sold on to a network of related distributors in the Community within the group which finally sell it to independent customers. The investigation has shown that the glyphosate produced by this company is of Community origin and that the manufacturing operations, the technological and capital investment for the manufacturing operations and the sales operations take place in the Community. Based on the above, this producer is a Community producer within the meaning of Articles 5(4) and 4(1) of the basic Regulation.
- A Community producer and an association representing distributors and users claimed that one company that was considered a Community producer was not, in fact, producing glyphosate acid within the Community but merely importing acid or salt from a third country and formulating it in the Community. The investigation has shown that, while the company does import certain quantities of formulated glyphosate from third countries, nevertheless, the majority of the products it sells in the Community are made from glyphosate acid produced by the company itself in the Community. The company was therefore considered as a Community producer, and the claim had to be rejected.

- (54) The examination of the impact of the dumped imports on the Community industry included an evaluation of all economic factors and indices having a bearing on the state of the industry from 1999 to the IP.
- (55) In general, figures concerning volumes of glyphosate are inclusive of all forms of glyphosate, unless it is stated otherwise. For comparison purposes, volumes have been restated on the basis of a 95 % glyphosate acid content. The figures for sales by the Community industry only include sales of glyphosate produced in the Community, and exclude any sales of glyphosate imported and subsequently resold by certain Community producers.

#### 2. Community consumption

- The market for glyphosate within the Community, into (56)which the Community industry sells, covers both the demand for formulated product which is ready to be used as a herbicide, plus the demand by importers/formulators for glyphosate in either salt or acid form for further processing into formulated product and which may subsequently be sold either in the Community or exported. Both of these demands can be supplied either by the Community industry itself or by imports from third countries. The Community consumption has therefore been assessed at the level of sales to distributors/users and importers/formulators. This has been calculated on the basis of the total volume of production by the Community industry, adjusted in respect of changes in stock levels, plus the total imports as reported by Eurostat and supplemented by information provided by the Community industry concerning certain volumes which received specific customs treatment, less the exported volumes reported by the Community industry.
- (57) On this basis, Community consumption was found to have increased steadily and by 41% between 1999 and 2002. This reflects the increasing acceptance and use of glyphosate as a non-selective herbicide. Detailed data, expressed in tonnes, is as follows.

Table 1

Consumption

Consumption	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Tonnes (95% acid equivalent)	24 782	29 280	31 562	35 021
Index	100	118	127	141

# 3. Volume of the imports originating in the PRC and market share

The volume of imports of the product concerned was established on the basis of statistical information provided by Eurostat and supplemented by information provided by the Community industry concerning certain volumes which received specific customs treatment. In order to protect the confidentiality of this information, figures are expressed in indexed form. The total quantity of glyphosate originating in the PRC imported during the IP is less than 50 % of the quantity imported during the investigation period of the original investigation (12 months to end August 1995). Since consumption was increasing, the market share held by imports of the product concerned originating in the PRC decreased more significantly over the same period. From 11% during the investigation period of the original investigation, it decreased to below 3% at the start of the analysis period and to less than 2% in 2001, but subsequently increased during the IP while still remaining below 2%. As explained in recital 46, more than 90 % of recent imports originating in the PRC have been made under inward processing arrangements, demonstrating that the majority of PRC glyphosate imported is actually re-exported, reflecting the fact that the measures make it difficult to sell in the Community. During the analysis period, imports originating in the PRC made under inward processing regimes have steadily increased, at the expense of duty paid imports, from around 60% of the total in 1999 to more than 90% in the IP. The market share of PRC exporters has clearly diminished as a result of the measures in force, but they nevertheless continue to maintain a clear presence on the Community market. The development of imports originating in the PRC and the market share of the PRC during the analysis period is shown in the following table in indexed form, taking 1999 as a the

Table 2
Imports originating in the PRC

Imports originating in the PRC	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Volumes (indexed)	100	95	76	100
Market share (indexed)	100	81	62	73

#### 4. Prices of the imports concerned

(a) Evolution of prices

(59) As imports of formulated glyphosate originating in the PRC into the Community have been negligible and there have been no imports of glyphosate salt during the IP, the development of prices was established by using prices for glyphosate acid only. This

information is based on Eurostat figures. The prices of imports of glyphosate acid 95% originating in the PRC, expressed in EUR/kg before the application of the anti-dumping duty, decreased steadily from EUR 3,50 in 1999 to EUR 2,80 in the IP, a drop of 20% overall. Detailed data is as follows:

Table 3

PRC prices

PRC prices	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
EUR per kg before anti-dumping duty (at 95% acid equivalent)	3,50	3,31	3,04	2,80
Index	100	94	87	80

#### (b) Price undercutting

(60) For the reason explained in recital 59, the assessment of price undercutting was also made by using prices for glyphosate acid only. As acid imported originating in the PRC is in 95% acid form and that sold by the Community producers on the Community market is in wet cake 84% form, in order to enable a correct comparison, prices of wet cake glyphosate were converted to a 95% acid content basis, which is possible since essentially the only difference between the two products is the greater water content of the wet cake. Additionally, the price of Chinese glyphosate

was based on the information submitted in the course of the investigation by the cooperating exporting producer. On the basis of Community frontier prices, inclusive of customs duties but before anti-dumping duties, during the IP, there was an undercutting margin of 20 to 30% indicating that without the measures, the prices on the Community market would be exposed to downward pressure by the lower prices of the PRC glyphosate. Given the level of the existing anti-dumping duties (48%) the duty paid price of glyphosate originating in the PRC exceeded the sales price of the Community industry by 10 to 20%. It should be noted, however, that an underselling margin in excess of 80% was established in respect of sales during the IP of glyphosate of PRC origin on a duty unpaid basis, as explained in recitals 119 and 120.

- (c) Imports originating in other third countries
- (61) As mentioned in recital 71 below, one Community producer imported significant quantities of formulated glyphosate from related companies in third countries. These imports relate to a high-value non-standard formulated product. Both the import and resale prices for this product are significantly higher than prices of the main formulated products produced and sold by the Community industry in the Community. Therefore, these imports did not contribute to price depression on the Community market.
- (62) The import figures given below include also glyphosate imported under inward processing but exclude glyphosate imports by a Community producer from related companies in third countries.

 $\label{eq:Table 4} \textit{Table 4}$  Import volume from other third countries and market shares

95% acid	1999		2000		2001			2002(IP)				
equivalent	Tonnes	Market share	Price EUR/kg									
Malaysia	1 884	7,6 %	2,85	1 622	5,5 %	2,84	1 239	3,9 %	2,57	78	0,2 %	2,83
Indexed	100		100	86		100	66		90	4		99
Taiwan	736	3,0 %	3,87	1 253	4,3 %	3,87	469	1,5 %	3,46	1 354	3,9 %	3,24
Indexed	100		100	170		100	64		90	184		84
Others	1 292	5,2 %	3,94	2 141	7,3 %	3,82	2 212	7,0 %	3,40	1 695	4,8 %	3,19
Indexed	100		100	255		100	174		89	90		84
TOTAL	3 912	15,8 %	3,38	5 016	17,1 %	3,44	3 920	12,4%	3,13	3 127	8,9 %	3,20
Indexed	100		100	128		102	100		93	80		95

- (63) To give a meaningful price comparison for glyphosate imports from third countries, this comparison has been made on the basis of glyphosate acid, which accounted for more than 90% of glyphosate imports during the IP.
- Imports of glyphosate by companies not related to the Community producers have decreased slightly from 3 912 tonnes in 1999 to 3 127 in the IP. The only significant imports during the IP from countries other than the PRC, apart from those imports by the Community producers from related companies outside the Community, come from Taiwan (see the table above). Following an anti-circumvention investigation, Council Regulation (EC) No 163/2002 extended the duty to imports of the product concerned consigned from Malaysia or Taiwan (whether declared as originating in Malaysia or Taiwan or not), with the exception of those produced by one named company in each of those countries. Imports from Malaysia have decreased substantially following the extension of measures and during the IP they were not significant.
- In the case of Taiwan, imports decreased during 2001 (65)when the anti-circumvention investigation was under way but increased again during the IP to a level representing approximately 4% of Community consumption. While the level of imports from Taiwan continues to be relatively high, it must be borne in mind that not all exports from Taiwan are subject to the extended duties resulting from the anti-circumvention review. It is also to be noted that during the analysis period the prices of imports from Taiwan, were 10 to 20% higher than imports originating in the PRC, not taking into account the anti-dumping duties applicable to imports originating in the PRC, and that Taiwanese prices did not undercut the Community industry prices during the IP. Imports from all other countries are made at prices very close to those of the Community industry.

# 5. Situation of the Community industry

- (a) General
- (66) In order to make a meaningful assessment of certain injury indicators, it was necessary to exclude data in respect of the producer referred to in recital 52 in those cases where this data may have been influenced by companies within the group structure but located outside the Community. Since the profitability of the European operations of this company are affected by the results achieved by its whole supply chain, including its headquarters located outside the Community, it was deemed appropriate to exclude the data from this company from the analysis of indicators in sections (f) profitability and (h) cash flow and ability to raise capital, as well as from the analysis of 'return on investment' in section (g) Investments and return on investments. Furthermore, as this company resulted

from the merger of two previously independent entities, it was not in a position to provide information for the whole of the analysis period in relation to sales prices and employment. For that reason, it was also necessary to exclude the available information from the analysis of the trends in sections (e) Sales prices and costs and (i) Employment, productivity and wages. As this company only accounted for in the range of 15 % to 25 % of Community production of the product concerned, the findings set out below are considered to be representative.

- (b) Production, production capacity and capacity utilisation
- (67) Production of glyphosate by the Community industry increased from 48 334 tonnes in 1999 to a peak of 54 575 tonnes in 2000 and then decreased to a quantity of 50 448 tonnes in the IP. This represents an overall increase of 4% over the analysis period.
- (68) Production capacity increased from around 63 000 tonnes in 1999 to almost 70 000 tonnes in the IP, an increase of 11 %. The increase during 2000 and 2001 is due to additional production facilities becoming operational combined with continued refinements in the production process.
- (69) Capacity utilisation initially increased from 77 % to 83 % in 2000, but then decreased through 2001 to a level of 72 % during the IP, an overall decrease of five percentage points compared with 1999. The economic effect of the existence of decreased capacity utilisation was examined and it was found that its impact on profitability was not significant.
- (70) The decreasing capacity utilisation, in the light of increasing sales of the product concerned in the Community, is negatively affected by the development of export sales of the Community industry to third countries. During the analysis period, export sales of the Community industry steadily decreased from 58% of its world-wide sales in 1999 to 38% of its world-wide sales in the IP.
- (71) Both the production and capacity utilisation figures for 2001 and 2002 were affected by a decrease in production by one Community producer due to its decision to import certain glyphosate products from related companies in third countries rather than producing them in the Community. The products in question are newly developed forms which require additional production equipment, currently unavailable in the Community. The deterioration in these injury indicators in 2001 and 2002 should be considered in the light of this development which has been mentioned in recital 61. The detailed data is as follows:

Table 5 **Production** 

Production	1999 2000		2001	2002 (IP)	
Production (tonnes at 95% acid equivalent)	48 337	54 575	52 406	50 448	
Index	100	113	108	104	
Production capacity (tonnes at 95 % acid equivalent)	63 029	65 720	70 028	69 930	
Index	100	104	111	111	
Capacity utilisation	77 %	83%	75%	72%	

#### (c) Stocks

(72) Stocks of glyphosate increased over the analysis period from 9 149 tonnes in 1999 to 14 554 tonnes in the IP, an increase of 59 %. The main build up occurred in 2001 and 2002. This reflects the efforts of the Community producers to maintain high capacity utilisation in order to cover the fixed costs of their plants, while at the same time the market was not able to absorb those quantities. Detailed data is shown below:

Table 6
Stocks

Stocks	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)	
Tonnes	9 149	9 902	13 049	14 554	
Index	100	108	143	159	

- (73) A party in the PRC argued that the build up of stocks by the Community industry could not be attributed to the effect of imports from the PRC but that it just related to decreased sales by the Community industry on export markets. In this regard, it should be noted that competition from PRC glyphosate, which was imported under inward processing and subsequently exported, also contributed to the decreased sales by the Community industry on the export market.
  - (d) Sales volume, market share and growth
- (74) The sales on the Community market of glyphosate produced by the Community industry increased steadily during the analysis period from 19 945 tonnes in 1999

to 29 607 tonnes in the IP, an overall increase of 48%. Similarly, the Community industry increased its market share from 80% in 1999 to 85% in the IP, having reached a level of 89% during 2001. The decrease in market share from 2001 to 2002 was affected by imports of glyphosate from third countries by a Community producer, as mentioned in recital 71.

Table 7

Sales

Sales	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Community industry sales (tonnes)	19 945	24 323	28 229	29 607
Index	100	122	142	148
Community industry market share	80%	83%	89%	85 %

- (e) Sales prices and costs
- Prices were compared at the formulated stage, as this is the form of glyphosate that is used by the final consumer as a herbicide. Additionally, formulated glyphosate accounts for the vast majority of glyphosate sales both in terms of value and of volume. In order to ensure a fair comparison of prices, and given the existence of a variety of types of formulated glyphosate on the market, the prices of which can vary considerably both according to the concentration of the product and the type of surfactant used, the Commission services selected the most common formulation, a standard formulation which has 360g of glyphosate content per litre. This so-called 'standard 360 formulation' accounted for around 40% by volume of glyphosate sales in the Community by the Community industry during the analysis period and, because it is now outside of patent, is the typical formulation that is either imported from the PRC or made from imported acid or salt originating in the PRC.
- Additionally, for this comparison, formulated glyphosate (76)produced by the Community industry containing special surfactants designed to make the product less hazardous, were considered separately. Sales of this non-hazardous formulation containing also 360g of glyphosate per litre accounted for around 20% by volume of glyphosate sales in the Community by the Community industry during the analysis period. Glyphosate acid normally accounted for around 18% by volume of the total glyphosate sales in the Community by the Community industry during the analysis period, the remainder consisting of glyphosate salt and other non-standard formulations containing a different glyphosate content per litre and/or special surfactants to improve the effectiveness or hazard classification.

- (77) Glyphosate acid is the main element in the cost of production for glyphosate formulation. For standard 360 formulation it accounts for around 55% of the total cost of production and for around 45% in the case of non-hazardous 360 formulation.
- (78) The sales prices of standard 360 formulated glyphosate, expressed per kilogram at 95% acid equivalent (the equivalent of 2,64 litres of formulated product), fell steadily from EUR 9,23 in 1999 to EUR 7,28 in 2002, an overall decrease of 21%. Cost of production also fell steadily from EUR 10,37 in 1999 to EUR 8,14 in the IP, a total decrease of 21%. This product was unprofitable throughout the analysis period, although the gap between cost of production and sales price remained relatively stable over the period.

Table 8

Standard 360 formulation

	1	1	1	
Standard 360 formulation	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Quantity sold (tonnes at 95% acid equivalent)	7 632	9 102	10 015	10 322
% of EU sales	43%	41 %	39 %	38 %
Sales price (EUR per kg at 95% acid equivalent)	9,23	8,65	7,55	7,28
Index	100	94	82	79
Cost of production (EUR per kg at 95% acid equivalent)	10,37	9,31	8,88	8,14
Index	100	90	86	79

(79) For non-hazardous formulated glyphosate containing 360 g of glyphosate per litre, the sales price, expressed per kilogram at 95% acid equivalent (the equivalent of 2,64 litres of formulated product), fell steadily from 1999 to 2002 for an overall decrease of 12%. Cost of production also fell from 1999 to 2001, but increased again in the IP for an overall decrease of 22%. The increase in cost of production in the IP is due to reduced capacity utilisation. The profitability of this product increased in the course of the analysis period.

Table 9

Non-hazardous 360 formulation

Non-hazardous 360 formulation	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Quantity sold (Index)	100	123	125	106
% of EU sales (Index)	100	100	88	69
Sales price (Index)	100	100	90	88
Cost of production (Index)	100	79	73	78

(80) The table below shows the combined weighted average sales price and cost of production for all forms of glyphosate, i.e. salt, acid and all types of formulated products. Sales prices and cost of production seemingly increased in 2001. The reason for this is a change in the product mix sold on the Community market, i.e. the Community industry sold less of the lower-value acid and salt products on the Community market.

Table 10

All products

All products	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Sales price (EUR per kg at 95% acid equivalent)	8,92	7,94	8,16	7,61
Index	100	89	91	85
Cost of production (EUR per kg at 95% acid equivalent)	8,55	7,58	8,01	7,84
Index	100	88	94	92

# (f) Profitability

(81) The overall profitability of the Community Industry in respect of glyphosate activities deteriorated from 4,2% in 1999 to -2,6% in the IP. This profitability relates to all forms of glyphosate produced and sold by the Community industry in the Community. Glyphosate imported and resold, as mentioned at recital 71, has not been included in this calculation.

- (82) A party in the PRC argued that the product which was imported and resold should have been included in the assessment of overall profitability. In this regard, these products have been correctly excluded since they do not contribute to the profitability of the Community industry relating to production and sales in the Community. The argument is therefore rejected.
- (83) Overall profitability was also negatively affected by the mix of products sold by the Community industry on the Community market. The Community industry planned to move away from the 'standard' glyphosate formulation, which has been selling at a loss under pressure from low priced imports, mainly from the PRC and Taiwan, towards more sophisticated, higher value products such as the non-hazardous formulations. However, during the IP, sales of these higher value products produced within the Community decreased due to a weaker demand for these products, having a negative effect on overall profitability. The profitability figures are given in table 11.
- (84) Glyphosate is also sold by importers/formulators on the Community market. These sales, almost exclusively of standard 360 formulation glyphosate, which is the biggest selling product of the Community industry, compete directly with the sales by the Community industry of the same formulation. The glyphosate in question is sourced either as acid or salt primarily from Community producers, but is also imported from third countries. While sales by the Community industry to supply the demand of this standard formulation have not been profitable, nevertheless, the Community industry needs to continue to supply them as these sales provide a contribution to cover their fixed costs through the maintenance of capacity utilisation.

Table 11

Profitability

Profitability	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Profitability (Community sales)	4,2 %	4,3 %	0,6 %	- 2,6 %

- (g) Investments and return on investments
- (85) Investment in the glyphosate business decreased sharply after 1999 to between 15% and 18% of the 1999 figures. It has to be noted that the bulk of the investments relating to the capacity increases in 2001 were made in the years up to 1999. No significant investment was planned or made for the remainder of the analysis period. Detailed data is shown below:

Table 12

Investments

Investments	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)	
Investments (EUR '000)	50 940	7 409	9 035	7 883	
Index	100	15	18	15	
Return on investment	22%	157%	17%	negative	

- (h) Cash flow and ability to raise capital
- (86) Cash flow of the Community industry deteriorated between 1999 and the IP, both in absolute values as well as expressed as a percentage of turnover. This decrease is in line with the deterioration of the overall profitability during the analysis period.

Table 13

Cash flow

Cash flow	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Cash flow (EUR '000)	13 217	11 769	4 975	-562
Index	100	89	38	negative
Cash flow expressed as percentage of turnover	5,0 %	4,4 %	2,1 %	-0,3 %

- (87) Capital is either raised on the stock exchange or alternatively funded internally within the company. Investments and, in some cases, spending for R&D have been limited in recent years reflecting the decreasing profitability of glyphosate sales. The absence of significant investments since 2000 is confirmed by the figures given in recital 85.
  - (i) Employment, productivity and wages
- (88) The Community producer referred to in recital 52 above was not in a position to present comparable figures for the whole of the analysis period for the reason explained in recital 66, therefore making it necessary to exclude the available information for this company in order to examine the trend on a consistent basis.

- (89) Employment decreased in the analysis period from 1 017 employees in 1999 to 808 employees in the IP, a decrease of 20%. This reflects a decrease in production in 2001 and 2002, but also reflects the fact that, in general, productivity, as measured by output per worker, has increased. In 2002 productivity decreased, mainly due to a reduction in capacity utilisation as noted in recital 71. The increase in wage costs per worker is a result of collective bargaining at industry level.
- (90) A party in the PRC argued that the reduction in employment resulted from increased efficiency rather than from injury. However, the reduction in employment is largely a consequence of reduced production by the Community industry, although it is recognised that increased efficiency has also had an effect, as has been noted at recital 89.

Table 14

Employment

Employment	1999	2000	2001	2002 (IP)
Number of employees	1 017	846	791	808
Index	100	83	78	80
Wage costs per employee (in EUR)	57 730	60 040	63 619	64 871
Index	100	104	110	112
Production per employee (tonnes/year)	44	56	58	49
Production per employee (indexed)	100	129	133	112

- (j) Magnitude of dumping and recovery from past dumping
- (91) As concerns the impact on the situation of the Community industry of the magnitude of the dumping margin found in the IP (29,9%), it should be noted that, while the margin is less than that found in the original investigation (38,2%), it still remains at a high level. The situation of the Community industry initially improved to a certain extent after the imposition of the original measures, but it did not completely recover. It should be noted that dumping increased further to 62%, as established in the anti-absorption investigation mentioned in recital 1. This increased dumping and the subsequent

circumvention of the measures via Malaysia and Taiwan, as established in the anti-circumvention investigation mentioned in recital 1, certainly contributed to the price depression within the Community and on the declining profitability of the Community industry. Should imports from the PRC resume at higher levels, the impact on the Community industry of the dumping found in the current investigation would be significant.

(92) Two parties in the PRC argued that the measures were not effective and should be removed since, during the period for which they were in place, the economic situation of the Community industry did not recover significantly. In this regard, it must be noted that the effectiveness of the measures was reduced by continuing price pressure linked to decreasing prices of imports originating in the PRC. In addition, it should be recalled that absorption and circumvention practices occurred following the imposition of the measures, as mentioned in recitals 1 and 45, which also limited the effectiveness of the measures. In view of these factors, the argument that the measures should be removed is, therefore, not accepted.

#### 6. Conclusion on the situation of the Community industry

- Following the imposition of the anti-dumping measures against imports originating in the PRC, the economic situation of the Community industry improved in terms of productivity, production, production capacity, sales and market share. This must be viewed, in addition to the existence of the measures, in the context of the increasing consumption of glyphosate within the Community. However, sales prices have steadily decreased resulting in a steady deterioration in profitability and culminating in losses in the IP. This has adversely affected cash flow, while stock levels have increased and employment has decreased. In addition, there has been no substantial new investment since 2000.
- A party in the PRC argued that the weak situation of the Community industry was due to its excess production capacity and reduced export sales. This argument is rejected in the light of the considerations mentioned in recitals 69 and 73. It is therefore considered that the economic situation of the Community industry continues to be weak.

# E. LIKELIHOOD OF CONTINUATION AND/OR RECURRENCE OF INJURY

- Since the imposition of measures, the PRC has continued to sell glyphosate to the Community at dumped prices. While the quantity entering the Community market has decreased (inter alia, as a result of the measures), PRC exporters continue to maintain a clear presence on the market. Moreover, via their sales mostly under inward processing, they nevertheless continue to exert a certain pressure on prices within the Community. They currently have spare production capacity, amounting to approximately 40% of Community consumption, and, in addition, if measures were removed, they would be in a position to redirect existing exports away from lower priced markets in third countries, towards the Community market in significant quantities, as mentioned in recitals 41 and 47 above. This would enable them to supply increased quantities to the Community market at prices that would result in significant price undercutting and price depression in the Community if the measures in force would expire. Prices of imports of the product concerned from the PRC would also undercut the export prices of other third countries, e.g. in the case of Taiwan by somewhere between 10 to 20%.
- A party in the PRC argued that the low quantity of (96)imports of glyphosate from the PRC should not affect prices on the Community market and that the decrease in Community prices was due to reductions in costs of production by the Community industry. This argument is rejected as it was found that glyphosate is a commodity product and therefore the presence of relatively small quantities of glyphosate on the Community market at low prices affects market prices. This is the case in particular for standard formulated product which accounts for around 40% of Community industry sales on that market and against which imports from the PRC compete directly. Despite the efforts of the Community industry to reduce its costs, its profitability in respect of the standard formulated product remained negative throughout the analysis period as a result of the decreasing prices.
- (97) Another party in the PRC considered that imports under inward processing should not have been taken into account in assessing injury as these are not sold on the Community market. In this regard, it should be noted that imports of glyphosate salt and acid under inward processing compete directly with the same products from the Community industry for the demand by importers/formulators to supply their export markets. It is, therefore, correct to take the quantities of such imports into account in the assessment of injury. Moreover, although imports under inward processing are not themselves sold within the Community, the effect of the prices of these imports is felt in the market through the action

- of importers/formulators who seek to obtain products to supply both Community and export demands at the most competitive prices available. Therefore, the prices of imports under inward processing contributed to the price pressure which led to the deterioration of the profitability of the Community industry on the Community market, and to its continued weak economic situation. The argument is, therefore, not accepted.
- (98) As shown in recital 93, the Community industry is currently in a weak situation, in particular as regards its profitability which has continually declined, since the imposition of the measures under consideration, to a situation where the industry was making losses during the IP and is even experiencing a negative cash flow. On the basis of all this, it is therefore likely that if the Community industry was exposed to increased volumes of imports originating in the PRC at dumped prices, this would result in a deterioration of its already weakened financial situation and a loss in its market share. On this basis, it is concluded that, should the measures expire, this would lead to a continuation of injury to the Community industry.

#### F. COMMUNITY INTEREST

#### 1. General considerations

- (99) The Commission examined whether the maintenance of the anti-dumping measures on glyphosate would be in the interest of the Community. It has been found that there is a likelihood of continuation of injurious dumping. The investigation also considered whether or not there are any overriding interests against maintaining the measures and also took account of the past effects of duties on all the various interests involved.
- (100) It should be recalled that, in the original investigation, the adoption of measures was not considered to be against the interest of the Community. Since this is also an expiry review investigation, the impact of the existing measures, in particular on users, consumers and importers/formulators was also examined.

# 2. Interest of the Community industry

(101) Glyphosate originating in the PRC is still offered at cif values below the current sales prices of the Community producers. Therefore, the expiry of measures would lead either to glyphosate originating in the PRC gaining market share on the Community market to the detriment of the Community producers, or alternatively the Community industry would have to lower their already declining sales prices even further in order to maintain market share and capacity utilisation. In both scenarios the Community industry would suffer losses due to an expiry of measures.

- (102) The Community producers claimed that the measures had been either absorbed by the PRC or circumvented for most of the analysis period, thereby resulting in them having a limited remedial effect. The absorption and circumvention via Malaysia and Taiwan have been confirmed and addressed by the review investigations mentioned in recital 1.
- (103) Several suppliers of raw materials and services came forward and stated that they expect a reduced Community production if measures were to expire, and therefore a reduced demand for their raw materials and services.
- (104) In view of the above, it is considered that, in the absence of anti-dumping measures on imports of the product concerned from the PRC, the situation of the Community industry would worsen through increased imports at dumped prices from the PRC leading to increased financial losses. While imports from the PRC have dropped during the period analysed, it must be stressed that glyphosate can be considered as a commodity. In these circumstances, even a low level of imports at dumped prices can have a detrimental impact on the Community industry. The Community industry has increased production, sales volumes and market share over the period analysed but at the expense of profits. The essential viability of the industry would be threatened in the absence of measures to eliminate the injurious dumping.

# 3. Interest of unrelated importers/formulators

- (105) Since the major part of glyphosate imports originating in the PRC into the Community is in the form of acid or salt and is resold in a formulated form, the formulating industry is therefore concerned by this proceeding. Certain of these importers indicated that, while currently only importing minimal quantities of glyphosate from the PRC, they would recommence imports from the PRC in the absence of measures.
- (106) One importer/formulator fully cooperated with the Commission. It presented a submission in which it stated its position against measures because any increase in the price of the main input would reduce its profit margin on formulated glyphosate. A number of formulators who are currently not importing glyphosate acid originating in the PRC as a result of the existing measures stated that they would recommence sourcing the product from the PRC should measures expire. As it was found that most of the importers/for-

mulators deal with a range of products other than glyphosate, it is considered that, even if measures would have the effect of limiting a decrease in glyphosate acid prices, the impact of the measures on the overall business of these companies would be limited.

- (107) Importers/formulators expect that lifting the measures would lead to lower prices for their glyphosate on the Community market, allowing them to expand their market share at the expense of the Community producers. However, the financial benefit from this would be limited, since the importers/formulators would be forced to pass on the bulk of their decrease in cost to their customers due to competition from other formulators also importing glyphosate originating in the PRC. Moreover, the importers/formulators should not obtain advantage through dumping since this creates an imbalance vis-à-vis the other competitors, namely the Community industry.
- (108) For the abovementioned reasons, it is considered that the benefits afforded to the Community industry resulting from maintaining the measures would outweigh any marginal negative impact that may result for the importers/formulators concerned.

# 4. Interests of distributors and consumers

- (109) The Commission received a submission by an association representing distributors and users of agrochemicals in the EU. This association put forward a number of allegations concerning the behaviour of the Community producers on the Community market to the detriment of independent distributors of agrochemicals.
- (110) This association and an importer/formulator claimed that the Community market is heavily protected against imports through regulatory barriers, namely Council Directive 91/414/EC of 15 July 1991 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market (¹), therefore making it unnecessary for measures to remain in place. This claim was repeated by the association following disclosure of the findings. It has to be noted that this Directive does not relate to trade

<sup>(</sup>¹) OJ L 230, 19.8.1991, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Commission Directive 2004/71/EC (OJ L 127, 29.4.2004, p. 104).

defence, but rather to environmental safety issues. It was nevertheless argued that obtaining the necessary information for registration of glyphosate is both costly and time consuming and acts as a deterrent for importers/formulators to import glyphosate from third countries. However, in practice this does not appear to be a severe limitation since a number of importers/formulators have succeeded in obtaining registration under Directive 91/414/EC. Furthermore, the cooperating importer/formulator stated that they would import glyphosate originating in the PRC should measures be lifted. Therefore, it is considered that Directive 91/414/EC does not close the Community market to imports of glyphosate from the

- (111) The association further claimed that low prices of 'generic' standard formulated products produced by formulators based on acid or salt manufactured by a certain Community producer are compensated by high prices of 'branded' equivalent products sold by the same producer. It was claimed that these low priced 'generic' products would act as a deterrent toward the entry of other manufactures, including imports of glyphosate originating in the PRC, to the Community market. While it was confirmed that formulators purchase acid and/or salt from this Community producer at low prices, it could not be said that the Community producer was in a position to achieve high prices on its formulated products. As stated in recital 75, Community producers, including the producer concerned, were selling their standard formulated products (which are branded products) at a loss during the analysis period, which makes the claim that they would be in a position to obtain high prices on their 'brand' products implausible.
- (112) This association also claimed that two Community producers operate a cartel in the Community because one of them appears to limit its market share in the Community while they could supply a much larger share of the Community market. In this regard, it should be noted that evidence presented in support of the claim was not conclusive, since factors other than the existence of a cartel could explain the alleged limitation of the market share by the Community producer. First of all, it has to be pointed out that the market share of not only the producer in question but also of other producers did not vary substantially over the analysis period. Secondly, sales on export markets could be made at higher prices, and hence the fact that the company did not sell more in the Community as opposed to outside of it could possibly be explained by other factors such as, for example, the company's own efforts to maximise returns on export markets which were more profitable than the Community market.

Furthermore, the production of the Community industry is significantly larger than consumption in the Community and, therefore, it is important economically for all Community manufacturers to export glyphosate to third countries in order to achieve high capacity utilisation in order to fully absorb their fixed costs. In this context, there is at present insufficient evidence to conclude that a cartel exists, involving the deliberate limitation of quantities supplied to the Community market to the detriment of consumers. Finally, the question of the existence or not of any cartel is a matter which should rather be addressed in the context of an anti-trust investigation. However, no anti-trust procedure has been initiated, to date, by the Commission on this matter.

- (113) On the other hand, there was no indication concerning possible negative effects of the continuation of the anti-dumping measures as regards the glyphosate distributors.
- (114) As regards the consumers of the product, these are essentially farmers. A reduction in the price of glyphosate would have a minimal effect on their profitability since this is only a relatively minor item in the overall cost of crop growing. It was established that the expiry of the measures would lead to an improvement in the profit margin of farmers which would be of the order of 0,1 %.
- (115) For the abovementioned reasons, it is considered that any benefits afforded to the Community industry resulting from maintaining the measures would outweigh any marginal negative impact that may result for the distributors and consumers concerned.

#### 5. Conclusion on Community interest

(116) Should the existing measures expire, it is expected that the Community industry would suffer significant losses due to price depression on the Community market, which would either lead to a loss of market share against increased imports originating in the PRC or a further deterioration of their sales prices should they attempt to maintain their market share. Importers/formulators could benefit from an increasing market share due to cheaper supply of glyphosate originating in the PRC, but, compared to the losses incurred by the Community industry, the economic effect of this for importers/formulators would be minor in view of the need to pass on price reductions to their clients. There was no indication concerning possible negative effects of the continuation of the anti-dumping measures as regards the glyphosate distributors. For users, although these might benefit somewhat from lower prices if measures were removed, this would not have a significant effect on their profit margin or, consequently, on their activity.

(117) After weighing the interests of the various parties involved, the Commission concludes that there are no compelling reasons of Community interest against the continuation of measures.

Country	Company	Rate of AD duty
People's Republic of China	All exporting producers	29,9 %

#### G. PROPOSED DUTIES

- (118) The product type used as a reference to determine the duty rate was glyphosate acid because it is the common base of all forms of glyphosate exported from the PRC and produced in the Community. It was found that acid accounts for 55% of the manufacturing costs of the equivalent quantity of standard 360 formulated product. Moreover, the acid form represented more than 90% of the volume imported from the PRC during the investigation period. It is therefore considered that a duty established on the basis of data concerning glyphosate acid would be representative of all different forms of glyphosate imported from the PRC.
- (119) For the purposes of calculating the price increase necessary in order to remove the injurious effects of dumping, it was considered appropriate to compare the prices of the dumped imports with the production costs of glyphosate acid of the Community industry increased by an amount sufficient to achieve a reasonable level of profit. The Commission took the view that a profit margin of 5% represented a reasonable profit since glyphosate acid is a commodity, theoretically sold in bulk to intermediate customers who bear the extra cost of formulation before selling it in the market to end users, as already considered in the original investigation.
- (120) On this basis, the weighted average of the export prices for this product type for the IP, on a cif Community frontier level, was compared with the weighted average total cost of production of the Community producers, increased to give by a profit margin of 5%. This comparison showed an underselling margin of 80%.
- (121) Since the injury margin is higher than the dumping margin found, the anti-dumping duties should be based on the dumping margin in accordance with the provisions of Article 7(2) of the basic Regulation.
- (122) In view of the findings above, it is considered appropriate that the anti-dumping duties imposed by the original measures should be set at the level mentioned below:

- (123) The anti-dumping duty in force in respect of glyphosate was extended by Regulation (EC) No 163/2002 to cover, in addition, imports of glyphosate consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan, whether declared as originating in Malaysia or Taiwan or not. As a consequence of the fact that the anti-dumping duty, as calculated in this review, replaces the duty in force, the anti-dumping duty to be applied to imports of the product concerned, as set out in recital 122, should be extended to imports of glyphosate consigned from Malaysia and Taiwan, whether declared as originating in Malaysia or Taiwan or not. The two exporting producers who were exempted from the measures as extended by Regulation (EC) No 163/2002 should also be exempted from the measures as imposed by this Regulation.
- (124) In accordance with Article 20 of the basic anti-dumping Regulation, all parties concerned were informed of the essential facts and considerations on the basis of which it was intended to propose to reduce the level the existing measures. They were also granted a period within which to make representations subsequent to that disclosure. The comments received have been taken into consideration.
- (125) It follows from the above that, based on the findings of the review investigations, the anti-dumping duties imposed by Regulation (EC) No 368/98, should be lowered to 29,9% expressed as a percentage of the net free-at-Community frontier price before duty,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

# Article 1

- 1. A definitive anti-dumping duty is hereby imposed on imports of glyphosate falling within CN codes ex 2931 00 95 (TARIC code 2931 00 95 82) and ex 3808 30 27 (TARIC code 3808 30 27 19) originating in the People's Republic of China.
- 2. The definitive anti-dumping duty mentioned in paragraph 1 is hereby extended to imports of glyphosate consigned from Malaysia (whether declared as originating in Malaysia or not) (TARIC codes 2931 00 95 81 and 3808 30 27 11) with the exception of those produced by Crop Protection (M) Sdn. Bhd., Lot 746, Jalan Haji Sirat 4 1/2 Miles, off Jalan Kapar, 42100 Klang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia (TARIC additional code A309).

- 3. The definitive anti-dumping duty mentioned in paragraph 1 is hereby extended to imports of glyphosate consigned from Taiwan (whether declared as originating in Taiwan or not) (TARIC codes 2931 00 95 81 and 3808 30 27 11) with the exception of those produced by Sinon Corporation, No 23, Sec. 1, Mei Chuan W. Rd, Taichung, Taiwan (TARIC additional code A310).
- 4. The rate of duty applicable to the net free-at-Community-frontier price, before duty, of the products described in paragraphs 1 to 3, shall be 29,9 %.
- 5. Unless otherwise specified, the provisions in force concerning customs duties shall apply.

#### Article 2

The anti-dumping duties shall be imposed for a period of five years from the date of entry into force of this Regulation.

#### Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 24 September 2004.

For the Council
The President
L. J. BRINKHORST

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1684/2004

#### of 29 September 2004

#### establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 of 21 December 1994 on detailed rules for the application of the import arrangements for fruit and vegetables (1), and in particular Article 4(1) thereof,

#### Whereas:

(1) Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multilateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in the Annex thereto. (2) In compliance with the above criteria, the standard import values must be fixed at the levels set out in the Annex to this Regulation,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

The standard import values referred to in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 shall be fixed as indicated in the Annex hereto.

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 30 September 2004.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 29 September 2004.

For the Commission
J. M. SILVA RODRÍGUEZ
Agriculture Director-General

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 337, 24.12.1994, p. 66. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1947/2002 (OJ L 299, 1.11.2002, p. 17).

ANNEX to Commission Regulation of 29 September 2004 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

(EUR/100 kg)

CN code	Third country code (1)	Standard import value
0702 00 00	052	36,1
	999	36,1
0707 00 05	052	90,6
	999	90,6
0709 90 70	052	84,2
	999	84,2
0805 50 10	052	70,8
	388	54,5
	524	67,5
	528	49,5
	999	60,6
0806 10 10	052	86,1
	220	112,0
	400	163,7
	624	150,8
	999	128,2
0808 10 20, 0808 10 50, 0808 10 90	388	54,2
	400	73,6
	512	77,8
	720	17,2
	800	137,8
	804	80,8
	999	73,6
0808 20 50	052	97,8
	388	89,0
	528	56,2
	999	81,0
0809 30 10, 0809 30 90	052	112,9
	624	78,5
	999	95,7
0809 40 05	052	73,4
	066	65,6
	400	117,1
	624	125,9
	999	95,5

<sup>(</sup>¹) Country nomenclature as fixed by Commission Regulation (EC) No 2081/2003 (OJ L 313, 28.11.2003, p. 11). Code '999' stands for 'of other origin'.

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1685/2004

#### of 29 September 2004

amending Commission Regulation (EC) No 1327/2004 on a standing invitation to tender to determine levies and/or refunds on exports of white sugar for the 2004/2005 marketing year

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 of 19 June 2001 on the common organisation of the markets in the sugar sector (1), and in particular Articles 22(2), 27(5) and (15) and 33(3) thereof,

#### Whereas:

(1) Article 4(2)(b) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1327/2004 (2) lays down the time limits for partial invitations to tender. Since 1 and 2 November 2004 are public holidays in the majority of Member States, the invitation to tender scheduled for Thursday 4 November 2004 will not take place for reasons relating to administration and sound management. Article 4(2) should be amended accordingly.

(2) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Sugar,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

The fourth indent of Article 4(2)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1327/2004 is hereby replaced by the following:

'- 11 and 25 November 2004,'.

#### Article 2

Member States shall amend their notices of invitation to tender to bring them into line with the amendment in Article 1.

#### Article 3

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 29 September 2004.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

<sup>(</sup>i) OJ L 178, 30.6.2001, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 39/2004 (OJ L 6, 10.1.2004, p. 16).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 246, 20.7.2004, p. 23.

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1686/2004

#### of 28 September 2004

# authorising transfers between the quantitative limits of textiles and clothing products originating in Macao

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93 of 12 October 1993 on common rules for imports of certain textile products from third countries (1), and in particular Article 7 thereof.

#### Whereas:

- (1) Article 7 of the Agreement between the European Economic Community and Macao on trade in textile products, approved by Council Decision 87/497/EEC (²), as last amended by an Agreement in the form of an Exchange of Letters, and approved by Council Decision 95/131/EC (³) on 20 February 1995, provides that transfers may be agreed between categories and quota years.
- (2) Macao submitted a request for transfers between quota years on 5 May 2004.
- (3) The transfers requested by Macao fall within the limits of the flexibility provisions referred to in Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93 and set out in Annex VIII, column 9 thereto.

- (4) It is, therefore, appropriate to grant the request.
- (5) It is desirable for this Regulation to enter into force on the day after its publication in order to allow operators to benefit from it as soon as possible.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Textile Committee set up by Article 17 of Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

Transfers between the quantitative limits for textile goods originating in Macao fixed by the Agreement between the European Community and Macao on trade in textile products are authorised for the quota year 2004 in accordance with the Annex to this Regulation.

## Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 28 September 2004.

For the Commission
Pascal LAMY
Member of the Commission

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 275, 8.11.1993, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 487/2004 (OJ L 79, 17.3.2004, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 287, 9.10.1987, p. 46.

<sup>(3)</sup> OJ L 94, 26.4.1995, p. 1.

# ANNEX

	743 MACAO					Adjustment for 2004: carry-over from 2003			
Group	Category	Unit	Limit 2004	Working Level after previous adjustments	Quantity	%	Flexibility	Working Level	
IB	7	pcs	5 907 000	6 261 420	295 350	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	6 556 770	
IB	8	pcs	8 257 000	5 641 148	412 850	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	6 053 998	
IIB	13	pcs	9 446 000	10 107 220	377 840	4,0	Transfer from year 2003	10 485 060	
IIB	16	pcs	508 000	543 560	25 400	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	568 960	
IIB	26	pcs	1 322 000	1 414 540	66 100	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	1 480 640	
IIB	31	pcs	10 789 000	11 544 230	539 450	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	12 083 680	
IIB	78	kg	2 115 000	2 263 050	105 750	5,0	Transfer from year 2003	2 368 800	
IIB	83	kg	517 000	553 190	15 510	3,0	Transfer from year 2003	568 700	

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1687/2004

#### of 28 September 2004

# authorising transfers between the quantitative limits of textiles and clothing products originating in the Republic of India

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93 of 12 October 1993 on common rules for imports of certain textile products from third countries (1), and in particular Article 7 thereof.

#### Whereas:

- (1) The Memorandum of Understanding between the European Community and the Republic of India on arrangements in the area of market access for textile products, initialled on 31 December 1994 (2) provides that favourable consideration should be given to certain requests for so-called 'exceptional flexibility' by India.
- (2) The Republic of India has made a request for transfers between categories on 8 June 2004.
- (3) The transfers requested by the Republic of India fall within the limits of the flexibility provisions referred to in Article 7 and set out in Annex VIII, column 9 to Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93.

- (4) It is appropriate to grant the request.
- (5) It is desirable for this Regulation to enter into force the day after its publication in order to allow operators to benefit from it as soon as possible.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Textile Committee provided for in Article 17 of Regulation (EEC) No 3030/93,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

Transfers between the quantitative limits for textile goods originating in the Republic of India are authorised for the quota year 2004 in accordance with the Annex.

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 28 September 2004.

For the Commission
Pascal LAMY
Member of the Commission

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 275, 8.11.1993, p. 1. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 487/2004 (OJ L 79, 17.3.2004, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 153, 27.6.1996, p. 53.

# ANNEX

664 INDIA				Adjustment					
Group	Category	Unit	Limit 2004	Adjusted working level	Quantity in units	Quantity in tonnes	%	Flexibility	New adjusted working level
IA	3	kg	38 567 000	41 266 690	-4 000 000	-4 000	-10,4	Transfer to categories 4, 6, 7	37 266 690
IB	4	pcs	100 237 000	98 919 259	12 960 000	2 000	12,9	Transfer from category 3	111 879 259
IB	6	pcs	13 706 000	13 633 135	1 760 000	1 000	12,8	Transfer from category 3	15 393 135
IB	7	pcs	78 485 000	78 716 569	5 550 000	1 000	7,1	Transfer from category 3	84 266 569

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1688/2004

#### of 29 September 2004

setting, for the 2004/05 marketing year, the buying-in price to be applied by storage agencies for unprocessed dried grapes and unprocessed dried figs

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/96 of 28 October 1996 on the common organisation of the markets in processed fruit and vegetable products (¹), and in particular Article 9(8) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) The criteria for setting the price at which storage agencies buy in unprocessed dried figs and unprocessed dried grapes are laid down in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EC) No 2201/96 and the conditions on which the storage agencies buy in and manage the products are laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1622/1999 of 23 July 1999 laying down detailed rules for applying Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/96 as regards the scheme for the storage of unprocessed dried grapes and unprocessed dried figs (²).
- (2) The buying-in price should therefore be set for the 2004/05 marketing year on the basis, for dried grapes, of the evolution in world prices and, for dried figs, of the minimum price laid down in Commission Regulation

- (EC) No 1583/2004 of 9 September 2004 setting the minimum price to be paid to producers for unprocessed dried figs and the production aid for dried figs for the 2004/05 marketing year (3).
- (3) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Products Processed from Fruit and Vegetables.

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

For the 2004/05 marketing year, the buying-in price referred to in Article 9(2) of Regulation (EC) No 2201/96 shall be:

- EUR 418,89 per tonne net for unprocessed dried grapes,
- EUR 542,70 per tonne net for unprocessed dried figs.

#### Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 29 September 2004.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 297, 21.11.1996, p. 29. Regulation as last amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 386/2004 (OJ L 64, 2.3.2004, p. 25).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 192, 24.7.1999, p. 33.

#### COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1689/2004

#### of 29 September 2004

# establishing the estimated production of unginned cotton for the 2004/05 marketing year and the resulting provisional reduction of the guide price

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to the Act of Accession of Greece, and in particular Protocol 4 on cotton (1),

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001 of 22 May 2001 on production aid for cotton (2), and in particular the first indent of Article 19(2) thereof,

#### Whereas:

- (1) Under Article 16(1) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1591/2001 of 2 August 2001 laying down detailed rules for applying the cotton aid scheme (3), the estimated production of unginned cotton referred to in the first subparagraph of Article 14(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001 and the resulting provisional reduction of the guide price must be established before 10 September of the marketing year concerned.
- (2) Under Article 19(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001 account must be taken of crop forecasts when establishing the estimated production.
- (3) The provisional reduction of the guide price is to be calculated in accordance with the first subparagraph of

Article 14(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001, but replacing actual production with estimated production plus  $15\,\%$ .

(4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Natural Fibres,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

#### Article 1

- 1. For the 2004/05 marketing year, estimated production of unginned cotton is hereby fixed at:
- 1 055 000 tonnes for Greece,
- 324 518 tonnes for Spain,
- 951 tonnes for Portugal.
- 2. For the 2004/05 marketing year, the provisional reduction of the guide price is hereby fixed at:
- 35,185 EUR/100 kg for Greece,
- 29,658 EUR/100 kg for Spain,
- 0 EUR/100 kg for Portugal.

# Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the third day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 29 September 2004.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

Protocol as last amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 1050/2001 (OJ L 148, 1.6.2001, p. 1).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 148, 1.6.2001, p. 3.

<sup>(\*)</sup> OJ L 210, 3.8.2001, p. 10. Regulation as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1486/2002 (OJ L 223, 20.8.2002, p. 3).

II

(Acts whose publication is not obligatory)

# COUNCIL

#### **COUNCIL DECISION**

#### of 24 September 2004

adapting Decision 2004/246/EC by reason of the accession of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovenia and Slovakia

(2004/664/EC)

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to the Treaty of Accession of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovenia and Slovakia (2003 Treaty of Accession),

Having regard to the Act of Accession of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, Poland, Slovenia and Slovakia (2003 Act of Accession), and in particular Article 57(1) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

# Whereas:

- (1) For certain acts which remain valid beyond 1 May 2004 and require adaptation by reason of accession, the necessary adaptations were not provided for in the 2003 Act of Accession, or were provided for, but further adaptations are necessary.
- (2) Pursuant to Article 57(2) of the 2003 Act of Accession, such adaptations are to be adopted by the Council in all cases where the Council adopted the original act alone or jointly with the European Parliament.
- (3) Under Decision 2004/246/EC (¹), Member States are authorised to sign, ratify or accede to, in the interest of the European Community, the 2003 Protocol to the

International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage, 1992, and, at the same time, Austria and Luxembourg are authorised, in the interest of the European Community, to accede to the underlying instruments.

- (4) In accordance with Article 53 of the 2003 Act of Accession, Decision 2004/246/EC applies to the new Member States from the moment of accession. However, in the case of the new Member States that are not Contracting Parties to the underlying instruments, namely the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary and Slovakia, specific authorisation is required from the Council enabling these States to accede to the underlying instruments and to the 2003 Protocol and inviting them to agree to be bound by these underlying instruments and by the Protocol before the end of December 2005.
- (5) The United Kingdom and Ireland are bound by Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (2) and are therefore taking part in the adoption and application of this Decision.
- (6) In accordance with Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty establishing the European Community, Denmark is not taking part in the adoption of this Decision and is therefore not bound by it or subject to its application.

<sup>(</sup>¹) OJ L 78, 16.3.2004, p. 22. (²) OJ L 12, 16.1.2001, p. 1.

(7) Decision 2004/246/EC should therefore be amended accordingly,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

Decision 2004/246/EC is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. Article 1(2) shall be replaced by the following:
  - '2. Furthermore, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Luxembourg, Hungary, Austria and Slovakia are hereby authorised to accede to the underlying instruments.';
- 2. Article 2 shall be amended as follows:
  - (a) paragraph 1 shall be replaced by the following:
    - '1. Member States shall take the necessary steps to express their consent to be bound by the Supplementary Fund Protocol, pursuant to Article 19(2) thereof, within a reasonable time limit and, if possible, before 30 June 2004, with the exception of the Czech Republic, Estonia, Luxembourg, Hungary, Austria and Slovakia, which express their consent to be bound by the Protocol subject to the conditions set out in paragraph 3 of this Article.':

- (b) Paragraph 3 shall be replaced by the following:
  - '3. The Czech Republic, Estonia, Luxembourg, Hungary, Austria and Slovakia shall take the necessary steps to express their consent to be bound by the underlying instruments and the Supplementary Fund Protocol, as far as possible by 31 December 2005.'

#### Article 2

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

It shall apply from 1 May 2004.

#### Article 3

This Decision is addressed to the Member States in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Community.

Done at Brussels, 24 September 2004.

For the Council
The President
L. J. BRINKHORST

# **COMMISSION**

#### **COMMISSION DECISION**

# of 22 September 2004

# concerning a baseline study on the prevalence of salmonella in laying flocks of Gallus gallus

(notified under document number C(2004) 3512)

(2004/665/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Decision 90/424/EEC of 26 June 1990 on expenditure in the veterinary field (¹), and in particular Articles 19 and 20 thereof;

# Whereas:

- (1) Pursuant to Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified food-borne zoonotic agents (²), a Community target should be established for reducing the prevalence of salmonella in populations of laying hens by 12 December 2005.
- (2) In order to set the target, comparable data on the prevalence of salmonella in the populations of laying hens in Member States should be available. Such information is not available and therefore a special study should be carried out in order to monitor the prevalence of salmonella in laying hens during an appropriate period of time in order to take into account possible seasonal variations.
- (3) Article 19 of Decision 90/424/EEC laid down that the Community shall undertake, or assist the Member States in undertaking, the technical and scientific measures necessary for the development of Community veterinary legislation and for the development of veterinary education and training.
- (4) The study will provide technical information necessary for the development of Community veterinary legislation. In light of the importance to collect comparable data on the prevalence of salmonella in laying hens in Member States, the Community should provide financial assistance to the Member States in the implementation of the specific requirements of the study. It is appropriate

to reimburse 100% of the costs incurred for the laboratory testing, up to a maximum amount per test.

- (5) Pursuant to Article 3(2), of Council Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999 (3), veterinary and plant health measures undertaken in accordance with Community rules shall be financed under the Guarantee Section of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund; for financial control purposes, Articles 8 and 9 of Regulation (EC) No 1258/1999 apply.
- (6) A financial contribution from the Community should be granted in so far as the actions provided for are effectively carried out and provided that the authorities furnish all the necessary information within the time limits provided for.
- (7) There is a need to clarify the rate to be used for the conversion of the payment applications submitted in national currency as defined in Article 1(d) of Council Regulation (EC) 2799/98 of 15 December 1998 establishing agrimonetary arrangements for the euro (4).
- (8) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

#### Objective of the study and general provisions

1. The Community shall undertake a technical study aiming at estimating across the European Union the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in flocks of laying hens (*Gallus gallus*) for table egg production at the end of their production period (hereafter the study).

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 224, 18.8.1990, p. 19. Decision as last amended by Directive 2003/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 (OJ L 325, 12.12.2003, p. 31).

<sup>(2)</sup> OJ L 325, 12.12.2003, p. 1.

<sup>(3)</sup> OJ L 160, 26.6.1999, p. 103.

<sup>(4)</sup> OJ L 349 of 24.12.1998, p. 1.

- 2. The results shall be used to set Community targets as provided for in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003.
- 3. The study shall cover a one-year period commencing from 1 October 2004.
- 4. For the purpose of this decision 'competent authority' shall mean the authority or authorities of a Member State as provided for in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003.
- 5. For the purpose of paragraph 1, the Commission and the Member States shall cooperate in accordance with Articles 2 to 6

#### Article 2

# Sampling frame

- 1. Sampling for the purpose of carrying out the study shall be organised by the Member States and shall be performed from 1 October 2004 in holdings containing at least 1 000 laying hens. Where appropriate, smaller holdings shall also be sampled according to the technical specifications referred to in Article 5, focusing preferably on holdings with more than 350 hens.
- 2. In each selected holding, one flock with hens of the appropriate age shall be sampled.
- 3. Sampling shall be performed by the competent authority or under its supervision, by bodies to which it has delegated this responsibility.
- 4. At least 172 holdings shall be sampled in each Member State. However, in Member States where fewer than 172 holdings exist all holdings shall be sampled. In all cases, priority shall be given to the sampling of holdings with more than 1 000 hens.

## Article 3

# Detection of Salmonella spp. and serotyping

- 1. Detection and serotyping shall take place in national reference laboratories for salmonella.
- 2. By derogation from paragraph 1, in case the national reference laboratory does not have the capacity to perform all analyses or if it is not the laboratory that performs detection routinely, the competent authorities may decide to designate a limited number of other laboratories involved in official control of salmonella to perform the analyses. These laboratories shall have proven experience of using the required detection method, shall implement a quality assurance system complying with ISO standard 17025, and shall be submitted to the supervision of the national reference laboratory.

- 3. The detection of *Salmonella* spp shall be performed in accordance with the method recommended by the Community reference laboratory for salmonella.
- 4. Serotyping shall be performed according to the Kaufmann-White scheme.

#### Article 4

# Collection of data, evaluation and reporting

- 1. The national authority responsible for the preparation of the yearly national report on the monitoring of salmonella in animals pursuant to Article 9 of Directive 2003/99/EC shall collect and evaluate the results of the detection achieved pursuant to Article 3 on the basis of the sampling frame referred to in Article 2, and shall report its evaluation to the Commission.
- 2. All relevant data collected for the purpose of the study shall be supplied to the European Food Safety Authority, upon request from the Commission.
- 3. National aggregated data and results will be made available publicly in a form that ensures confidentiality.

#### Article 5

#### **Technical specifications**

The tasks and activities referred to in Articles 3 to 4 of this decision shall be performed in conformity with the technical specifications that have been presented at the meeting of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health on 15 July 2004, as published on the Commission website.

#### Article 6

# Scope of Community financial assistance

- 1. The Community shall provide financial assistance for certain costs incurred by the member States for laboratory testing, i.e. bacteriological detection of *Salmonella* spp. and serotyping of the relevant isolates.
- 2. The maximum financial assistance from the Community shall be EUR 20 per test for bacteriological detection of *Salmonella* spp. and 30 EUR for serotyping of the relevant isolates.
- 3. The financial assistance from the Community shall not exceed the amounts established in Annex I for the duration of the study.

#### Article 7

# Conditions of Community financial assistance

- 1. The financial assistance referred to under Article 6 shall be granted to each Member State provided that the implementation of the study is in conformity with the relevant provisions of Community law, including rules on competition and on award of public contracts, and subject to the conditions provided for in points (a) to (d) being respected.
- (a) Bringing into force by 1 October 2004, as appropriate the laws, regulations and administrative provisions for implementing the study.
- (b) Forwarding a progress report covering the first 3 months of the study, at the latest four weeks after the end of the reporting period.
- (c) Forwarding a final report by 15 October 2005 at the latest on the technical execution of the study accompanied by justifying evidence as to the costs incurred and the results attained during the period from 1 October 2004 to 30 September 2005. The evidence as to costs incurred shall contain at least the information set out in the Annex II.
- (d) Implementing the study effectively.
- 2. A prefinancing of 50% of the total amount may be paid at the request of each Member State.

3. Failure to comply with the time limit in subparagraph 1(c), shall imply a progressive and cumulative reduction of the financial contribution by 25% of the total amount for each delay of 2 weeks from the 15 October 2005.

#### Article 8

#### Conversion rate for applications in national currency

The conversion rate for applications submitted in national currency in month 'n' shall be that of the 10th day of month 'n+1' or for the first preceding day for which a rate is quoted.

#### Article 9

# Application

This Decision shall apply from 1 October 2004.

Article 10

This decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 22 September 2004.

For the Commission

David BYRNE

Member of the Commission

 $\label{eq:annex} \textit{ANNEX I}$   $\mbox{Maximum Community financial assistance to the Member States}$ 

(EUR)

	(EUR)
Member State	Amount
Austria (AT)	59 368
Belgium (BE)	42 312
Cyprus (CY)	5 412
Denmark (DK)	31 160
Estonia (EE)	4 920
Finland (FI)	55 432
France (FR)	81 672
Germany (DE)	87 412
Greece (EL)	38 048
Hungary (HU)	45 264
Ireland (IE)	28 208
Italy (IT)	70 684
Latvia (LV)	3 280
Lithuania (LT)	3 280
Luxembourg (LU)	3 280
Netherlands (NL)	77 736
Poland (PL)	72 160
Portugal (PT)	28 208
Slovenia (SI)	17 056
Spain (ES)	80 360
Sweden (SE)	34 440
United Kingdom (UK)	71 504
Slovak Republic (SK)	6 560
Czech Republic (CZ)	14 760
Malta (MT)	3 280
Total	965 796
	·

# ANNEX II

Certified	financial	report	on the	implementation	of a	baseline	study	on	the	prevalence	of	Salmonella	spp.	in
laying flocks of Gallus gallus														

Reporting period from to						
Statement on costs subject to Communi	ity assistance incurred for the study					
Reference number of Commission Decis	ion providing financial assistance:					
Costs incurred related to functions at/by	Number of tests	Total costs for testing incurred during the reporting period (national currency)				
Bacteriology for Salmonella spp.						
Serotyping salmonella isolates						
Certificate by the beneficiary  WE CERTIFY THAT  — the above costs were genuine and incompact the sound performance of those task  — all the documents supporting the co	cs,	ined in the Decision and were essential to				
Date:						
Person financially responsible:						
Signature						

#### **COMMISSION DECISION**

#### of 29 September 2004

on introducing vaccination to supplement the measures to control infections with low pathogenic avian influenza in Italy and on specific movement control measures and repealing Decision 2002/975/EC

(notified under document number C(2004) 3581)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2004/666/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

subtype H7N3 had occurred in the regions of Veneto and Lombardia and that the disease was spreading quickly.

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 89/662/EEC of 11 December 1989 concerning veterinary checks in intra-Community trade with a view to the completion of the internal market (1), and in particular, Article 9(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 90/425/EEC of 26 June 1990 concerning veterinary and zootechnical checks applicable in intra-community trade in certain live animals and products with a view to the completion of the internal market (2), and in particular, Article 10(4) thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 92/40/EEC of 19 May 1992 introducing Community measures for the control of avian influenza (3), and in particular Article 16 thereof,

Having regard to Council Directive 2002/99/EC of 16 December 2002 laying down animal health rules governing the production, processing, distribution and introduction of products of animal origin for human consumption (4), and in particular Article 13(3) thereof,

Whereas:

In October 2002, Italy reported to the Commission that (1)infections with low pathogenic avian influenza virus of (2)Italy took immediate action including stamping out of infected poultry flocks to control the propagation of the infection. As a supplementary measure the Italian authorities also requested approval for a vaccination programme against avian influenza for at least 18

months in order to avoid the further spread of infection.

- (3) The vaccination programme was approved by Commission Decision 2002/975/EC, of 12 December 2002 introducing vaccination to supplement the measures to control infections with low pathogenic avian influenza in Italy and on specific movement control measures (5), which lays down the rules concerning vaccination against avian influenza in a defined geographical area. The Decision also includes specific control measures such as movement restrictions on live poultry, hatching and table eggs for intra-Community trade.
- The results of the vaccination programme reported at several meetings of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health were generally favourable concerning the control of the disease within the vaccination area. However, the infection had spread to some areas adjacent to the established vaccination area. Accordingly, Decision 2002/975/EC, as amended by Decision 2003/436/EC (6) extended the vaccination area to cover those adjacent areas.
- Since the end of September 2003, no further circulation (5) of the avian influenza field virus subtype H7N3 has been detected during the intense monitoring carried out in the vaccination area. Consequently Italy requested to introduce some changes to the vaccination programme and the restrictions on intra-Community trade. Accordingly, Decision 2002/975/EC, as amended by Decision 2004/159/EC approved those changes and restrictions.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ L 395, 30.12.1989, p. 13. Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/41/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L

<sup>157, 30.4.2004,</sup> p. 33). (2) OJ L 224, 18.8.1990, p. 29. Directive as last amended by Directive 2002/33/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (OJ L 315, 19.11.2002, p. 14).
(3) OJ L 167, 22.6.1992, p. 1. Directive as last amended by Regulation

<sup>(</sup>EC) No 806/2003 (OJ L 122, 16.5.2003, p. 1).

<sup>(4)</sup> OJ L 18, 23.1.2003, p. 11.

<sup>(5)</sup> OJ L 337, 13.12.2002, p. 87. Decision as last amended by Decision 2004/159/EC (OJ L 50, 20.2.2004, p. 63).

<sup>(6)</sup> OJ L 149, 17.6.2003, p. 33.

- In February 2004, a low pathogenic avian influenza virus strain of subtype H5N3 was isolated in one duck flock in the region of Lombardia within the vaccination area. Although the epidemiological investigations have not revealed any spread of the infection, the risk of introduction of avian influenza subtype H5 has been demonstrated. At present neither the poultry vaccinated against H7 subtype within the framework of the existing vaccination campaign nor the non-vaccinated poultry population is protected against disease possibly caused by avian influenza subtype H5. Therefore Italy has requested to amend the current vaccination programme in order to authorise the vaccination of poultry within the established vaccination area with a bivalent vaccine which protects against avian influenza virus infection of both H7 and H5 subtypes and to carry out this kind of vaccination until at least 31 December 2005.
- (7) As Decision 2002/975/EC has already been amended twice, for reasons of clarity of Community legislation, it is appropriate to repeal that Decision and replace it by this Decision.
- (8) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

- 1. The amended vaccination programme against avian influenza presented by Italy to the Commission is approved and shall be carried out in the vaccination area described in Annex I.
- 2. Intensive monitoring and surveillance as set out in the vaccination programme referred to in paragraph 1 shall be carried out in the vaccination area described in Annex I and in the bordering area described in Annex II.

#### Article 2

The restrictions on movements of live poultry, hatching eggs and fresh poultry meat into, out of and within the area described in Annex I shall apply as laid down in the vaccination programme referred to in Article 1 and in the following articles.

#### Article 3

No live poultry and hatching eggs coming from and/or originating from poultry holdings from the area described in Annex I shall be dispatched from Italy.

#### Article 4

Animal health certificates accompanying consignments of live poultry and hatching eggs from Italy shall include the words: 'The animal health conditions of this consignment are in accordance with Decision 2004/666/EC'.

#### Article 5

- 1. Fresh meat of poultry shall be marked in accordance with Annex II of Council Directive 2002/99/EC and shall not be dispatched from Italy if it is derived from:
- (a) poultry vaccinated against avian influenza.
- (b) poultry from avian influenza sero-positive poultry flocks destined for slaughter under official control in accordance with the vaccination programme referred to in Article 1.
- (c) poultry originating from holdings located in a restriction zone established in accordance with the provisions laid down in the vaccination programme referred to in Article 1.
- 2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1(a) fresh meat derived from turkeys and chickens vaccinated against avian influenza with a heterologous vaccine of subtype (H7N1) and (H5N9) shall not be marked in accordance with Annex II of Council Directive 2002/99/EC and may be dispatched to other Member States, provided that the meat comes from turkeys and chickens which:
- (i) originate from flocks which have been regularly inspected and tested with negative results for avian influenza as laid down in the approved vaccination programme, with particular attention to be paid to the sentinel birds. For the testing of:
  - vaccinated birds, the iIFA-test shall be used,
  - sentinel birds, either the Haemagglutination-Inhibition Test (HI), the AGID-test or the ELISA-test shall be used. However, the iIFA-test shall also be used if necessary;
- (ii) originate from flocks which have been clinically inspected by an official veterinarian within 48 hours before loading, with particular attention to be paid to the sentinel birds;
- (iii) originate from flocks which have been tested serologically with negative results at the National Laboratory for avian influenza, by using the sampling and testing procedure laid down in Annex III to this Decision;

- (iv) shall be sent directly to a slaughterhouse designated by the competent authority and shall be slaughtered immediately after arrival. The birds shall be kept separated from other flocks not complying with the present provisions.
- 3. Fresh turkey and chicken meat fulfilling the requirements as set out in paragraph 2 shall be accompanied by the health certificate laid down in Annex VI to Council Directive  $71/118/\text{EEC}\,(^1)$ , which shall include under point IV(a) of the certificate the following attestation of the official veterinarian:

'The turkey meat/chicken meat (\*) described above is in accordance with Decision 2004/666/EC.

(\*) Delete as appropriate'.

#### Article 6

Italy shall ensure that in the vaccination area described in Annex I:

- (a) only disposable packaging material, or packaging material which can be effectively washed and disinfected, is used for the collection, storage and transport of table eggs;
- (b) all means of transport used for transporting live poultry, hatching eggs, fresh poultry meat, table eggs and poultry feedstuff are cleaned and disinfected immediately before and after each transport with disinfectants and methods of use approved by the competent authority.

#### Article 7

1. Italy shall notify to the Commission and to other Member States the date of the commencement of the vaccination

programme with the bivalent vaccine, at least one day in advance.

2. The provisions of Articles 2 to 6 shall be enforced from the date of the commencement of the vaccination.

#### Article 8

- 1. Italy shall, at six-month intervals, present a report to the Commission containing information on the effectiveness of the vaccination programme referred to in Article 1.
- 2. This Decision, and in particular the period of time during which the movement restrictions provided for in Articles 2 to 6 shall continue to apply after the completion of the vaccination programme, shall be reviewed accordingly.

Article 9

Decision 2002/975/EC is repealed.

Article 10

This Decision shall apply from 1 October 2004.

Article 11

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 29 September 2004.

For the Commission

David BYRNE

Member of the Commission

OJ L 55, 8.3.1971, p. 23. Directive as last amended by Directive 2004/41/EC.

#### ANNEX I

#### VACCINATION AREA

#### Veneto Region

Verona Province

ALBAREDO D'ADIGE

ANGIARI
ARCOLE
BELFIORE
BONAVIGO
BOVOLONE
BUTTAPIETRA

CALDIERO area to the south of the A4 motorway

**CASALEONE** 

CASTEL D'AZZANO

CASTELNUOVO DEL GARDA area to the south of the A4 motorway

CEREA

COLOGNA VENETA

COLOGNOLA AI COLLI area to the south of the A4 motorway

CONCAMARISE

ERBÈ

GAZZO VERONESE ISOLA DELLA SCALA

ISOLA RIZZA

LAVAGNO area to the south of the A4 motorway

MINERBE

MONTEFORTE D'ALPONE area to the south of the A4 motorway

MOZZECANE NOGARA

NOGAROLE ROCCA

OPPEANO PALÙ

PESCHIERA DEL GARDA area to the south of the A4 motorway

POVEGLIANO VERONESE

PRESSANA

RONCO ALL'ADIGE ROVERCHIARA ROVEREDO DI GUÀ

SALIZZOLE SAN RONIEA

SAN BONIFACIO area to the south of the A4 motorway
SAN GIOVANNI LUPATOTO area to the south of the A4 motorway

SANGUINETTO

SAN MARTINO BUON ALBERGO area to the south of the A4 motorway

SAN PIETRO DI MORUBIO

SOAVE area to the south of the A4 motorway
SOMMACAMPAGNA area to the south of the A4 motorway
SONA area to the south of the A4 motorway

SORGÀ

TREVENZUOLO

VALEGGIO SUL MINCIO

VERONA

area to the south of the A4 motorway

area to the south of the A4 motorway

area to the south of the A4 motorway

VERONELLA

**VIGASIO** 

VILLAFRANCA DI VERONA

ZEVIO ZIMELLA

Lombardia Region

Brescia Province

ACQUAFREDDA ALFIANELLO BAGNOLO MELLA BASSANO BRESCIANO

BORGOSATOLLO

BRESCIA CALCINATO

**CALVISANO** 

CAPRIANO DEL COLLE

CARPENEDOLO

**CASTENEDOLO** area to the south of the A4 motorway

CIGOLE **DELLO** 

DESENZANO DEL GARDA

area to the south of the A4 motorway

FIESSE **FLERO** GAMBARA **GHEDI** 

GOTTOLENGO ISORELLA LENO

LONATO area to the south of the A4 motorway

MANERBIO MILZANO MONTICHIARI MONTIRONE OFFLAGA

PAVONE DEL MELLA

**PONCARALE PONTEVICO** 

**POZZOLENGO** area to the south of the A4 motorway

PRALBOINO

QUINZANO D'OGLIO

REMEDELLO

REZZATO area to the south of the A4 motorway

SAN GERVASIO BRESCIANO SAN ZENO NAVIGLIO

SENIGA

VEROLANUOVA

VEROLAVECCHIA

VISANO

Mantova Province

CASTIGLIONE DELLE STIVIERE

CAVRIANA

CERESARA

GOITO

GUIDIZZOLO

MARMIROLO

MEDOLE

MONZAMBANO

PONTI SUL MINCIO

ROVERBELLA

SOLFERINO

VOLTA MANTOVANA

#### ANNEX II

#### AREA BORDERING THE VACCINATION AREA WHERE INTENSIVE MONITORING IS CARRIED OUT

## Lombardia Region

Bergamo province

ANTEGNATE

BAGNATICA area to the south of the A4 motorway

BARBATA BARIANO

BOLGARE area to the south of the A4 motorway

CALCINATE CALCIO

CASTELLI CALEPIO area to the south of the A4 motorway

CAVERNAGO

CIVIDATE AL PIANO COLOGNO AL SERIO CORTENUOVA

COSTA DI MEZZATE area to the south of the A4 motorway

COVO

FARA OLIVANA CON SOLA

FONTANELLA GHISALBA

GRUMELLO DEL MONTE area to the south of the A4 motorway

ISSO

MARTINENGO MORENGO

MORNICO AL SERIO

PAGAZZANO PALOSCO PUMENENGO

ROMANO DI LOMBARDIA

SERIATE area to the south of the A4 motorway
TELGATE area to the south of the A4 motorway

TORRE PALLAVICINA

Brescia province

AZZANO MELLA BARBARIGA

BASSANO BRESCIANO

BERLINGO

BORGO SAN GIACOMO

BRANDICO

CASTEGNATO area to the south of the A4 motorway

CASTEL MELLA CASTELCOVATI CASTREZZATO

CAZZAGO SAN MARTINO area to the south of the A4 motorway

CHIARI COCCAGLIO COLOGNE

COMEZZANO-CIZZAGO

**CORZANO** 

ERBUSCO area to the south of the A4 motorway

LOGRATO

LONGHENA

MACLODIO

MAIRANO

**ORZINUOVI** 

**ORZIVECCHI** 

OSPITALETTO

PALAZZOLO SULL'OGLIO

POMPIANO PONTOGLIO

ROCCAFRANCA

RONCADELLE ROVATO

RUDIANO

SAN PAOLO

TORBOLE CASAGLIA

TRAVAGLIATO

TRENZANO

URAGO D'OGLIO

VILLACHIARA

Cremona province

CAMISANO

CASALE CREMASCO-VIDOLASCO

CASALETTO DI SOPRA

CASTEL GABBIANO

**SONCINO** 

Mantova province

ACQUANEGRA SUL CHIESE

ASOLA

BIGARELLO

CANNETO SULL'OGLIO

CASALMORO

CASALOLDO

CASALROMANO

CASTEL D'ARIO

CASTEL GOFFREDO

CASTELBELFORTE

GAZOLDO DEGLI IPPOLITI

MARIANA MANTOVANA

PIUBEGA

PORTO MANTOVANO

REDONDESCO

RODIGO

RONCOFERRARO

SAN GIORGIO DI MANTOVA

VILLIMPENTA

area to the south of the A4 motorway area to the south of the A4 motorway

area to the south of the A4 motorway area to the south of the A4 motorway

# Veneto Region

Padua province

CARCERI

CASALE DI SCODOSIA

ESTE

LOZZO ATESTINO

MEGLIADINO SAN FIDENZIO

MEGLIADINO SAN VITALE

MONTAGNANA

OSPEDALETTO EUGANEO

**PONSO** 

SALETTO

SANTA MARGHERITA D'ADIGE

URBANA

Verona province

BEVILACQUA

BOSCHI SANT'ANNA

BUSSOLENGO

PESCANTINA

SOMMACAMPAGNA

SONA

area to the north of the A4 motorway area to the north of the A4 motorway

Vicenza province

AGUGLIARO

ALBETTONE

ALONTE

ASIGLIANO VENETO

BARBARANO VICENTINO

CAMPIGLIA DEI BERICI

CASTEGNERO

LONIGO

MONTEGALDA

MONTEGALDELLA

MOSSANO

NANTO

NOVENTA VICENTINA

ORGIANO

POIANA MAGGIORE

SAN GERMANO DEI BERICI

SOSSANO

VILLAGA

#### ANNEX III

#### SAMPLING AND TESTING PROCEDURE

#### 1. Introduction and general use

The developed indirect Immunofluorescence Assay (iIFA-test) is aimed at the differentiation between vaccinated/field exposed and vaccinated/non field exposed turkeys and chickens in the framework of a 'DIVA' ( $\underline{D}$ ifferentiating Infected from  $\underline{V}$ accinated  $\underline{A}$ nimals) vaccination strategy using a heterologous subtype vaccine from the field virus subtype.

2. Use of the test for the purpose of dispatching fresh turkey and chicken meat from the vaccination area in Italy to other Member States

Meat originating from turkey and chicken flocks vaccinated against avian influenza may be dispatched to other Member States provided that, where all the birds are kept in one building, blood samples have been taken by the official veterinarian within seven days prior to slaughter from at least 10 vaccinated turkeys or chickens destined for slaughter. However, where the poultry are kept in more than one group or shed, at least 20 vaccinated birds selected randomly from all the groups or sheds on the farm shall be sampled.