

Official Journal

of the European Communities

ISSN 0378-6978

L 7

Volume 45

11 January 2002

English edition

Legislation

Contents

I Acts whose publication is obligatory

★ Decision No 36/2002/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 December 2001 concerning the Community contribution to the Global Fund to fight HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria	1
Commission Regulation (EC) No 37/2002 of 10 January 2002 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables	3
Commission Regulation (EC) No 38/2001 of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on milk and milk products	5
Commission Regulation (EC) No 39/2002 of 10 January 2002 determining the world market price for unginned cotton	12
Commission Regulation (EC) No 40/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the maximum export refund for white sugar for the 22nd partial invitation to tender issued within the framework of the standing invitation to tender provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1430/2001	13
Commission Regulation (EC) No 41/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the representative prices and the additional import duties for molasses in the sugar sector	14
Commission Regulation (EC) No 42/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on white sugar and raw sugar exported in its unaltered state	16
Commission Regulation (EC) No 43/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the maximum export refund on common wheat in connection with the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 943/2001	18
Commission Regulation (EC) No 44/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the maximum export refund on barley in connection with the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 1558/2001	19
Commission Regulation (EC) No 45/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the maximum export refund on rye in connection with the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 1005/2001	20

2

(Continued overleaf)

EN

Acts whose titles are printed in light type are those relating to day-to-day management of agricultural matters, and are generally valid for a limited period.

The titles of all other acts are printed in bold type and preceded by an asterisk.

Commission Regulation (EC) No 46/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the maximum reduction in the duty on maize imported in connection with the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 9/2002	21
Commission Regulation (EC) No 47/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on cereals and on wheat or rye flour, groats and meal	22
Commission Regulation (EC) No 48/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on cereals	24
Commission Regulation (EC) No 49/2002 of 10 January 2002 fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on malt	26

II Acts whose publication is not obligatory

Commission

2002/18/EC:

- * **Commission Decision of 21 December 2001 establishing the Community eco-label working plan** ⁽¹⁾ (notified under document number C(2001) 4395) 28

Corrigenda

- * **Corrigendum to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2419/2001 of 11 December 2001 laying down detailed rules for applying the integrated administration and control system for certain Community aid schemes established by Council Regulation (EC) No 3508/92 (OJ L 327 of 12.12.2001)** 48

I

(Acts whose publication is obligatory)

**DECISION No 36/2002/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
of 19 December 2001
concerning the Community contribution to the Global Fund to fight HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and
malaria**

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community, and in particular Article 179 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the Commission,

Acting in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 251 of the Treaty ⁽¹⁾,

Whereas:

- (1) Concern is increasing over the devastating impact of the three major communicable diseases HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria on human suffering and on economic and social development, and hence on efforts to reduce poverty, in particular for the most vulnerable sections of the populations of developing countries.
- (2) The G8 Okinawa Summit of July 2000 pledged to contribute significantly to the fight against communicable diseases and to break the vicious circle between diseases and poverty.
- (3) The Council, in its Resolution of 15 May 2001, and the European Parliament, in its Resolution of 4 October 2001, both endorsed a Community Programme entitled Programme for Action: accelerated action on HIV/AIDS, malaria and tuberculosis in the context of poverty reduction.
- (4) The joint Declaration of 31 May 2001 by the Council and the Commission and the European Parliament Resolution of 4 October 2001 on communicable diseases and poverty welcomed the proposal of the UN Secretary General to establish a Global Fund to fight HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria (hereinafter called 'Global Fund'), and stressed that contributions to the Global Fund should be additional to existing resources.

- (5) At the G8 Genova Summit of July 2001, the Commission announced, with the support of the Community and the Member States, its intention to pledge EUR 120 million in response to the appeal of the UN General Assembly.
- (6) This fund is being established on behalf of the international donors community and beneficiary countries. It will be administered by the Trustee of the Global Fund in accordance with the purpose of the fund, as stated in its governance and management rules.
- (7) The objective of the fund will be to address the communicable diseases HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria in developing countries, pursuing a balanced approach with a primary focus on prevention.
- (8) The Community agrees to make a contribution of EUR 60 million in 2001 from the Community budget. This contribution will be managed alongside the other contributions to the Global Fund in accordance with the principles of sound and efficient management.
- (9) The Commission will propose a legal base for future contributions for the implementation of the Programme for Action on the three communicable diseases including any further contributions to the Global Fund,

HAVE DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Article 1

1. The Community shall contribute to the Global Fund to fight HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria, EUR 60 million for 2001.
2. The contribution to the Global Fund will be made by means of a financing agreement to be concluded between the Commission and the Trustee of the Global Fund.

⁽¹⁾ Opinion of the European Parliament of 29 November 2001 (not yet published in the Official Journal) and Decision of the Council of 6 December 2001.

3. The contribution will be administered following the rules and procedures to be established for the Global Fund, agreed with the Commission and to be annexed to the financing agreement.

Article 2

1. The Commission will forward all relevant information to the European Parliament, the Council and the Court of Auditors and will request from the Global Fund all supplementary information that the European Parliament, the Council and the Court of Auditors may wish to receive as regards the financial operation of the Global Fund. The Commission and the Court of Auditors may carry out any necessary checks and inspections in order to protect the Community financial interest against fraud and irregularities.

2. In 2002 the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and Council a first report on the governance and working methods of the Global Fund. From 2003 onwards, reporting on the implementation of the Programme for Action including the Global Fund shall be part of the Community's annual report on external assistance.

Article 3

This Decision shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Communities*.

Done at Brussels, 19 December 2001.

For the European Parliament

The President

N. FONTAINE

For the Council

The President

A. NEYTS-UYTTEBROECK

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 37/2002
of 10 January 2002
establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 of 21 December 1994 on detailed rules for the application of the import arrangements for fruit and vegetables ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1498/98 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 4(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 lays down, pursuant to the outcome of the Uruguay Round multilateral trade negotiations, the criteria whereby the Commission fixes the standard values for imports from third countries, in respect of the products and periods stipulated in the Annex thereto.

- (2) In compliance with the above criteria, the standard import values must be fixed at the levels set out in the Annex to this Regulation,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The standard import values referred to in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 3223/94 shall be fixed as indicated in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 337, 24.12.1994, p. 66.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 198, 15.7.1998, p. 4.

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 establishing the standard import values for determining the entry price of certain fruit and vegetables

(EUR/100 kg)

CN code	Third country code ⁽¹⁾	Standard import value
0702 00 00	052	102,3
	204	99,3
	212	130,7
	999	110,8
0707 00 05	052	193,4
	999	193,4
0709 90 70	052	193,8
	204	324,7
	999	259,3
0805 10 10, 0805 10 30, 0805 10 50	052	51,7
	204	55,2
	508	23,3
	999	43,4
0805 20 10	204	75,2
	999	75,2
0805 20 30, 0805 20 50, 0805 20 70, 0805 20 90	052	73,7
	204	85,5
	464	104,3
	624	76,0
	999	84,9
	999	42,0
0805 50 10	052	43,3
	600	40,7
	999	42,0
	060	39,6
	400	111,7
	404	103,9
0808 10 20, 0808 10 50, 0808 10 90	720	118,5
	728	109,0
	999	96,5
	400	95,4
	720	127,1
	999	111,3

⁽¹⁾ Country nomenclature as fixed by Commission Regulation (EC) No 2020/2001 (OJ L 273, 16.10.2001, p. 6). Code '999' stands for 'of other origin'.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 38/2001
of 10 January 2002
fixing the export refunds on milk and milk products

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 of 17 May 1999 on the common organisation of the market in milk and milk products ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1670/2000 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 31(3) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 provides that the difference between prices in international trade for the products listed in Article 1 of that Regulation and prices for those products within the Community may be covered by an export refund within the limits resulting from agreements concluded in accordance with Article 300 of the Treaty.

(2) Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 provides that when the refunds on the products listed in Article 1 of the above-mentioned Regulation, exported in the natural state, are being fixed, account must be taken of:

- the existing situation and the future trend with regard to prices and availabilities of milk and milk products on the Community market and prices for milk and milk products in international trade,
- marketing costs and the most favourable transport charges from Community markets to ports or other points of export in the Community, as well as costs incurred in placing the goods on the market of the country of destination,
- the aims of the common organisation of the market in milk and milk products which are to ensure equilibrium and the natural development of prices and trade on this market,
- the limits resulting from agreements concluded in accordance with Article 300 of the Treaty, and
- the need to avoid disturbances on the Community market, and
- the economic aspect of the proposed exports.

(3) Article 31(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 provides that when prices within the Community are being determined account should be taken of the ruling prices which are most favourable for exportation, and that

when prices in international trade are being determined particular account should be taken of:

- (a) prices ruling on third country markets;
- (b) the most favourable prices in third countries of destination for third country imports;
- (c) producer prices recorded in exporting third countries, account being taken, where appropriate, of subsidies granted by those countries; and
- (d) free-at-Community-frontier offer prices.

(4) Article 31(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 provides that the world market situation or the specific requirements of certain markets may make it necessary to vary the refund on the products listed in Article 1 of the abovementioned Regulation according to destination.

(5) Article 31(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 provides that the list of products on which export refunds are granted and the amount of such refunds should be fixed at least once every four weeks; the amount of the refund may, however, remain at the same level for more than four weeks.

(6) In accordance with Article 16 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 174/1999 of 26 January 1999 on specific detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 804/68 as regards export licences and export refunds on milk and milk products ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 2594/2001 ⁽⁴⁾; the refund granted for milk products containing added sugar is equal to the sum of the two components; one is intended to take account of the quantity of milk products and is calculated by multiplying the basic amount by the milk products content in the product concerned; the other is intended to take account of the quantity of added sucrose and is calculated by multiplying the sucrose content of the entire product by the basic amount of the refund valid on the day of exportation for the products listed in Article 1(1)(d) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 of 19 June 2001 on the common organisation of the markets in the sugar sector ⁽⁵⁾, however, this second component is applied only if the added sucrose has been produced using sugar beet or cane harvested in the Community.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 160, 26.6.1999, p. 48.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 10.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 20, 27.1.1999, p. 8.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 345, 29.12.2001, p. 32.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 178, 30.6.2001, p. 1.

- (7) Commission Regulation (EEC) No 896/84 ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EEC) No 222/88 ⁽²⁾, laid down additional provisions concerning the granting of refunds on the change from one milk year to another; those provisions provide for the possibility of varying refunds according to the date of manufacture of the products.
- (8) For the calculation of the refund for processed cheese provision must be made where casein or caseinates are added for that quantity not to be taken into account.
- (9) It follows from applying the rules set out above to the present situation on the market in milk and in particular to quotations or prices for milk products within the Community and on the world market that the refund should be as set out in the Annex to this Regulation.
- (10) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Milk and Milk Products,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The export refunds referred to in Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No 1255/1999 on products exported in the natural state shall be as set out in the Annex.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 91, 1.4.1984, p. 71.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 28, 1.2.1988, p. 1.

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on milk and milk products

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund
0401 10 10 9000	970	EUR/100 kg	2,048	0402 29 91 9000	A02	EUR/kg	0,6845
0401 10 90 9000	970	EUR/100 kg	2,048	0402 29 99 9100	A02	EUR/kg	0,6845
0401 20 11 9100	970	EUR/100 kg	2,048	0402 29 99 9500	A02	EUR/kg	0,7446
0401 20 11 9500	970	EUR/100 kg	3,165	0402 91 11 9370	A02	EUR/100 kg	5,670
0401 20 19 9100	970	EUR/100 kg	2,048	0402 91 19 9370	A02	EUR/100 kg	5,670
0401 20 19 9500	970	EUR/100 kg	3,165	0402 91 31 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	6,715
0401 20 91 9000	970	EUR/100 kg	4,005	0402 91 39 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	6,715
0401 20 99 9000	970	EUR/100 kg	4,005	0402 91 99 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	36,61
0401 30 11 9400	970	EUR/100 kg	9,240	0402 99 11 9350	A02	EUR/kg	0,1445
0401 30 11 9700	970	EUR/100 kg	13,88	0402 99 19 9350	A02	EUR/kg	0,1445
0401 30 19 9700	970	EUR/100 kg	13,88	0402 99 31 9150	A02	EUR/kg	0,1513
0401 30 31 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	33,72	0402 99 31 9300	A02	EUR/kg	0,2191
0401 30 31 9400	A02	EUR/100 kg	52,67	0402 99 31 9500	A02	EUR/kg	0,3775
0401 30 31 9700	A02	EUR/100 kg	58,08	0402 99 39 9150	A02	EUR/kg	0,1513
0401 30 39 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	33,72	0403 90 11 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	19,72
0401 30 39 9400	A02	EUR/100 kg	52,67	0403 90 13 9200	A02	EUR/100 kg	19,72
0401 30 39 9700	A02	EUR/100 kg	58,08	0403 90 13 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	59,48
0401 30 91 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	66,19	0403 90 13 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	62,56
0401 30 91 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	97,28	0403 90 13 9900	A02	EUR/100 kg	67,39
0401 30 99 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	66,19	0403 90 19 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	67,75
0401 30 99 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	97,28	0403 90 33 9400	A02	EUR/kg	0,5948
0402 10 11 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00	0403 90 33 9900	A02	EUR/kg	0,6739
0402 10 19 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00	0403 90 51 9100	970	EUR/100 kg	2,048
0402 10 91 9000	A02	EUR/kg	0,2000	0403 90 59 9170	970	EUR/100 kg	13,88
0402 10 99 9000	A02	EUR/kg	0,2000	0403 90 59 9310	A02	EUR/100 kg	33,72
0402 21 11 9200	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00	0403 90 59 9340	A02	EUR/100 kg	52,10
0402 21 11 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	59,84	0403 90 59 9370	A02	EUR/100 kg	52,10
0402 21 11 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	63,17	0403 90 59 9510	A02	EUR/100 kg	52,10
0402 21 11 9900	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,00	0404 90 21 9120	A02	EUR/100 kg	17,06
0402 21 17 9000	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00	0404 90 21 9160	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00
0402 21 19 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	59,84	0404 90 23 9120	A02	EUR/100 kg	20,00
0402 21 19 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	63,17	0404 90 23 9130	A02	EUR/100 kg	59,84
0402 21 19 9900	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,00	0404 90 23 9140	A02	EUR/100 kg	63,17
0402 21 91 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,45	0404 90 23 9150	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,00
0402 21 91 9200	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,01	0404 90 29 9110	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,49
0402 21 91 9350	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,68	0404 90 29 9115	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,00
0402 21 91 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	76,24	0404 90 29 9125	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,72
0402 21 99 9100	A02	EUR/100 kg	68,45	0404 90 29 9140	A02	EUR/100 kg	76,28
0402 21 99 9200	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,01	0404 90 81 9100	A02	EUR/kg	0,2000
0402 21 99 9300	A02	EUR/100 kg	69,68	0404 90 83 9110	A02	EUR/kg	0,2000
0402 21 99 9400	A02	EUR/100 kg	74,46	0404 90 83 9130	A02	EUR/kg	0,5984
0402 21 99 9500	A02	EUR/100 kg	76,24	0404 90 83 9150	A02	EUR/kg	0,6317
0402 21 99 9600	A02	EUR/100 kg	82,71	0404 90 83 9170	A02	EUR/kg	0,6800
0402 21 99 9700	A02	EUR/100 kg	86,29	0404 90 83 9936	A02	EUR/kg	0,1445
0402 21 99 9900	A02	EUR/100 kg	90,51	0405 10 11 9500	L05	EUR/100 kg	156,10
0402 29 15 9200	A02	EUR/kg	0,2000	0405 10 11 9700	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00
0402 29 15 9300	A02	EUR/kg	0,5986	0405 10 19 9500	L05	EUR/100 kg	156,10
0402 29 15 9500	A02	EUR/kg	0,6319	0405 10 19 9700	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00
0402 29 15 9900	A02	EUR/kg	0,6800	0405 10 30 9100	L05	EUR/100 kg	156,10
0402 29 19 9300	A02	EUR/kg	0,5986	0405 10 30 9300	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00
0402 29 19 9500	A02	EUR/kg	0,6319	0405 10 30 9700	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00
0402 29 19 9900	A02	EUR/kg	0,6800	0405 10 50 9300	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund
0405 10 50 9500	L05	EUR/100 kg	156,10		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
0405 10 50 9700	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00		A24	EUR/100 kg	27,09
0405 10 90 9000	L05	EUR/100 kg	165,86		L04	EUR/100 kg	27,09
0405 20 90 9500	L05	EUR/100 kg	146,35		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0405 20 90 9700	L05	EUR/100 kg	152,20		A01	EUR/100 kg	27,09
0405 90 10 9000	L05	EUR/100 kg	203,30	0406 10 20 9870	A00	EUR/100 kg	—
0405 90 90 9000	L05	EUR/100 kg	160,00	0406 10 20 9900	A00	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9230	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9913	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	32,03		A24	EUR/100 kg	49,95
	L04	EUR/100 kg	32,03		L04	EUR/100 kg	49,95
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	20,23
	A01	EUR/100 kg	32,03		A01	EUR/100 kg	49,95
0406 10 20 9290	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9915	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	29,79		A24	EUR/100 kg	65,93
	L04	EUR/100 kg	29,79		L04	EUR/100 kg	65,93
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	26,95
	A01	EUR/100 kg	29,79		A01	EUR/100 kg	65,93
0406 10 20 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9917	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	13,08		A24	EUR/100 kg	70,05
	L04	EUR/100 kg	13,08		L04	EUR/100 kg	70,05
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	28,65
	A01	EUR/100 kg	13,08		A01	EUR/100 kg	70,05
0406 10 20 9610	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9919	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	43,44		A24	EUR/100 kg	78,29
	L04	EUR/100 kg	43,44		L04	EUR/100 kg	78,29
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	31,96
	A01	EUR/100 kg	43,44		A01	EUR/100 kg	78,29
0406 10 20 9620	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 20 90 9990	A00	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 30 31 9710	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	44,06		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	44,06		A24	EUR/100 kg	12,33
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	6,58
	A01	EUR/100 kg	44,06		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9630	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 30 31 9730	A01	EUR/100 kg	12,33
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	49,18		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	49,18		A24	EUR/100 kg	18,09
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	9,64
	A01	EUR/100 kg	49,18		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9640	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 30 31 9910	A01	EUR/100 kg	18,09
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	72,28		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	72,28		A24	EUR/100 kg	12,33
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	6,58
	A01	EUR/100 kg	72,28		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9650	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 30 31 9930	A01	EUR/100 kg	12,33
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	60,23		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	60,23		A24	EUR/100 kg	18,09
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	9,64
	A01	EUR/100 kg	60,23		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 10 20 9660	A00	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 30 31 9950	A01	EUR/100 kg	18,09
0406 10 20 9830	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	22,34		A24	EUR/100 kg	26,31
	L04	EUR/100 kg	22,34		L04	EUR/100 kg	14,03
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
	A01	EUR/100 kg	22,34		A01	EUR/100 kg	26,31
0406 10 20 9850	L02	EUR/100 kg	—				

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund
0406 30 39 9500	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 23 9900	L04	EUR/100 kg	87,47
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	28,48
	A24	EUR/100 kg	18,09		A01	EUR/100 kg	99,91
	L04	EUR/100 kg	9,64		L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 30 39 9700	A01	EUR/100 kg	18,09	A24	EUR/100 kg	88,33	
	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	L04	EUR/100 kg	76,81	
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	400	EUR/100 kg	—	
	A24	EUR/100 kg	26,31	A01	EUR/100 kg	88,33	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	14,03	0406 90 25 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
400	EUR/100 kg	—	L03		EUR/100 kg	—	
A01	EUR/100 kg	26,31	A24		EUR/100 kg	87,38	
0406 30 39 9930	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	76,30
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	26,31	A01	EUR/100 kg	87,38	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	14,03	0406 90 27 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	26,31	A24		EUR/100 kg	79,14	
0406 30 39 9950	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	69,11
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	29,75	A01	EUR/100 kg	79,14	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	15,87	0406 90 31 9119	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	29,75	A24		EUR/100 kg	72,85	
0406 30 90 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	63,51
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	16,32
	A24	EUR/100 kg	31,21	A01	EUR/100 kg	72,85	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	16,64	0406 90 33 9119	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	31,21	A24		EUR/100 kg	72,85	
0406 40 50 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	63,51
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	16,32
	A24	EUR/100 kg	76,50	A01	EUR/100 kg	72,85	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	76,50	0406 90 33 9919	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	76,50	A24		EUR/100 kg	66,81	
0406 40 90 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	58,05
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	78,56	A01	EUR/100 kg	66,81	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	78,56	0406 90 33 9951	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	78,56	A24		EUR/100 kg	66,86	
0406 90 13 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	58,63
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	98,91	A01	EUR/100 kg	66,86	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	86,38	0406 90 35 9190	L02	EUR/100 kg	28,30
	400	EUR/100 kg	38,51		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	98,91	A24		EUR/100 kg	103,33	
0406 90 15 9100	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	89,85
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	39,27
	A24	EUR/100 kg	102,21	A01	EUR/100 kg	103,33	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	89,26	0406 90 35 9990	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	39,70		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	102,21	A24		EUR/100 kg	103,33	
0406 90 17 9100	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	89,85
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	25,67
	A24	EUR/100 kg	102,21	A01	EUR/100 kg	103,33	
	L04	EUR/100 kg	89,26	0406 90 37 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	39,70		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
A01	EUR/100 kg	102,21	A24		EUR/100 kg	98,91	
0406 90 21 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	86,38
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	38,51
	A24	EUR/100 kg	99,91	A01	EUR/100 kg	98,91	

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund		
0406 90 61 9000	L02	EUR/100 kg	39,96	0406 90 78 9500	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		A24	EUR/100 kg	90,08		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	110,19		L04	EUR/100 kg	78,86		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	95,20		400	EUR/100 kg	—		
	400	EUR/100 kg	36,55		A01	EUR/100 kg	90,08		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	110,19		L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
0406 90 63 9100	L02	EUR/100 kg	36,41	L03	EUR/100 kg	—			
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	A24	EUR/100 kg	88,70			
	A24	EUR/100 kg	109,27	L04	EUR/100 kg	78,12			
	L04	EUR/100 kg	94,70	400	EUR/100 kg	—			
	400	EUR/100 kg	40,89	A01	EUR/100 kg	88,70			
	A01	EUR/100 kg	109,27	0406 90 79 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
0406 90 63 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	29,09		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		A24	EUR/100 kg	73,33		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	105,55		L04	EUR/100 kg	63,77		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	91,04		400	EUR/100 kg	—		
	400	EUR/100 kg	31,28		A01	EUR/100 kg	73,33		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	105,55	0406 90 81 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
0406 90 69 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	0406 90 69 9910	L02	EUR/100 kg		—	A24	EUR/100 kg	92,33	
		L03	EUR/100 kg		—	L04	EUR/100 kg	80,62	
		A24	EUR/100 kg		105,55	400	EUR/100 kg	30,43	
		L04	EUR/100 kg		91,04	A01	EUR/100 kg	92,33	
		400	EUR/100 kg	31,28	0406 90 85 9930	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	
A01		EUR/100 kg	105,55	L03		EUR/100 kg	—		
0406 90 73 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	A24		EUR/100 kg	100,22		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	L04		EUR/100 kg	87,07		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	90,87	400		EUR/100 kg	37,91		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	79,29	A01		EUR/100 kg	100,22		
	400	EUR/100 kg	33,66	0406 90 85 9970	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	90,87		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
0406 90 75 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A24	EUR/100 kg	91,86		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L04	EUR/100 kg	79,82		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	91,86		400	EUR/100 kg	33,17		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	79,82		A01	EUR/100 kg	91,86		
	400	EUR/100 kg	14,20	0406 90 85 9999	A00	EUR/100 kg	—		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	91,86		0406 90 86 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—	
0406 90 76 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—			0406 90 86 9200	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—				L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	82,43				A24	EUR/100 kg	86,90
	L04	EUR/100 kg	71,98				L04	EUR/100 kg	73,24
	400	EUR/100 kg	—	400			EUR/100 kg	17,68	
	A01	EUR/100 kg	82,43	A01	EUR/100 kg		86,90		
0406 90 76 9400	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 86 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	92,33		A24	EUR/100 kg	87,82		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	80,62		L04	EUR/100 kg	74,30		
	400	EUR/100 kg	14,79		400	EUR/100 kg	19,38		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	92,33		A01	EUR/100 kg	87,82		
0406 90 76 9500	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 86 9400	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	87,08		A24	EUR/100 kg	92,33		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	76,70		L04	EUR/100 kg	78,94		
	400	EUR/100 kg	14,79		400	EUR/100 kg	21,93		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	87,08		A01	EUR/100 kg	92,33		
0406 90 78 9100	L02	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 86 9900	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		L03	EUR/100 kg	—		
	A24	EUR/100 kg	86,92		A24	EUR/100 kg	100,22		
	L04	EUR/100 kg	74,38		L04	EUR/100 kg	87,07		
	400	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	25,67		
	A01	EUR/100 kg	86,92		A01	EUR/100 kg	100,22		
0406 90 78 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—						

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund
0406 90 87 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—		400	EUR/100 kg	—
0406 90 87 9200	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	38,79
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 87 9973	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	72,41		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	61,04		A24	EUR/100 kg	89,03
	400	EUR/100 kg	15,81		L04	EUR/100 kg	77,74
	A01	EUR/100 kg	72,41		400	EUR/100 kg	15,39
0406 90 87 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	89,03
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 87 9974	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	80,66		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	68,23		A24	EUR/100 kg	96,21
	400	EUR/100 kg	17,85		L04	EUR/100 kg	84,37
	A01	EUR/100 kg	80,66		400	EUR/100 kg	15,39
0406 90 87 9400	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	96,21
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 87 9975	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	81,88		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	70,01		A24	EUR/100 kg	97,28
	400	EUR/100 kg	19,55		L04	EUR/100 kg	86,06
	A01	EUR/100 kg	81,88		400	EUR/100 kg	20,40
0406 90 87 9951	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	97,28
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 87 9979	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	90,68		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	79,18		A24	EUR/100 kg	88,33
	400	EUR/100 kg	27,03		L04	EUR/100 kg	76,81
	A01	EUR/100 kg	90,68		400	EUR/100 kg	15,39
0406 90 87 9971	L02	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	88,33
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—	0406 90 88 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	—
	A24	EUR/100 kg	90,68	0406 90 88 9300	L02	EUR/100 kg	—
	L04	EUR/100 kg	79,18		L03	EUR/100 kg	—
	400	EUR/100 kg	21,93		A24	EUR/100 kg	70,98
	A01	EUR/100 kg	90,68		L04	EUR/100 kg	60,27
0406 90 87 9972	A24	EUR/100 kg	38,79		400	EUR/100 kg	19,38
	L03	EUR/100 kg	—		A01	EUR/100 kg	70,98
	L04	EUR/100 kg	33,73				

NB: The product codes and the 'A' series destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3846/87 (OJ L 366, 24.12.1987, p. 1), as amended.

The numeric destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2020/2001 (OJ L 273, 16.10.2001, p. 6).

The other destinations are defined as follows:

L02 Switzerland, Liechtenstein,

L03 Ceuta, Melilla, Iceland, Norway, Andorra, Gibraltar, Holy See (often referred to as Vatican City), Malta, Turkey, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Hungary, Romania, Bulgaria, Canada, Cyprus, Australia and New Zealand,

L04 Albania, Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Yugoslavia and the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia,

L05 all destinations except Poland and the United States of America.

970 includes the exports referred to in Articles 36(1)(a) and (c) and 44(1)(a) and (b) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 800/1999 (OJ L 102, 17.4.1999, p. 11) and exports under contracts with armed forces stationed on the territory of a Member State which do not come under its flag.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 39/2002
of 10 January 2002
determining the world market price for ungin­ned cotton

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Protocol 4 on cotton, annexed to the Act of Accession of Greece, as last amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 1050/2001 ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001 of 22 May 2001 on production aid for cotton ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) In accordance with Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001, a world market price for ungin­ned cotton is to be determined periodically from the price for gin­ned cotton recorded on the world market and by reference to the historical relationship between the price recorded for gin­ned cotton and that calculated for ungin­ned cotton. That historical relationship has been established in Article 2(2) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1591/2001 of 2 August 2001 ⁽³⁾. Where the world market price cannot be determined in this way, it is to be based on the most recent price determined.
- (2) In accordance with Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001, the world market price for ungin­ned cotton is to be determined in respect of a product of specific characteristics and by reference to the most favourable offers and quotations on the world market among those

considered representative of the real market trend. To that end, an average is to be calculated of offers and quotations recorded on one or more European exchanges for a product delivered cif to a port in the Community and coming from the various supplier countries considered the most representative in terms of international trade. However, there is provision for adjusting the criteria for determining the world market price for gin­ned cotton to reflect differences justified by the quality of the product delivered and the offers and quotations concerned. Those adjustments are specified in Article 3(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1591/2001.

- (3) The application of the above criteria gives the world market price for ungin­ned cotton determined hereinafter,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The world price for ungin­ned cotton as referred to in Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 1051/2001 is hereby determined as equalling EUR 22,094/100 kg.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 148, 1.6.2001, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 148, 1.6.2001, p. 3.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 210, 3.8.2001, p. 10.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 40/2002**of 10 January 2002****fixing the maximum export refund for white sugar for the 22nd partial invitation to tender issued within the framework of the standing invitation to tender provided for in Regulation (EC) No 1430/2001**

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 of 19 June 2001 on the common organisation of the markets in the sugar sector ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 27(5) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Commission Regulation (EC) No 1430/2001 of 13 July 2001 on a standing invitation to tender to determine levies and/or refunds on exports of white sugar ⁽²⁾ requires partial invitations to tender to be issued for the export of this sugar.
- (2) Pursuant to Article 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1430/2001 a maximum export refund shall be fixed, as the case may be, account being taken in particular of the state and foreseeable development of the Community and world markets in sugar, for the partial invitation to tender in question.

(3) Following an examination of the tenders submitted in response to the 22nd partial invitation to tender, the provisions set out in Article 1 should be adopted.

(4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Sugar,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

For the 22nd partial invitation to tender for white sugar issued pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1430/2001 the maximum amount of the export refund is fixed at 39,296 EUR/100 kg.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 178, 30.6.2001, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 192, 14.7.2001, p. 3.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 41/2002**of 10 January 2002****fixing the representative prices and the additional import duties for molasses in the sugar sector**

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 of 19 June 2001 on the common organisation of the market in sugar ⁽¹⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1422/95 of 23 June 1995 laying down detailed rules of application for imports of molasses in the sugar sector and amending Regulation (EEC) No 785/68 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EC) No 1422/95 stipulates that the cif import price for molasses, hereinafter referred to as the 'representative price', should be set in accordance with Commission Regulation (EEC) No 785/68 ⁽³⁾. That price should be fixed for the standard quality defined in Article 1 of the above Regulation.
- (2) The representative price for molasses is calculated at the frontier crossing point into the Community, in this case Amsterdam; that price must be based on the most favourable purchasing opportunities on the world market established on the basis of the quotations or prices on that market adjusted for any deviations from the standard quality. The standard quality for molasses is defined in Regulation (EEC) No 785/68.
- (3) When the most favourable purchasing opportunities on the world market are being established, account must be taken of all available information on offers on the world market, on the prices recorded on important third-country markets and on sales concluded in international trade of which the Commission is aware, either directly or through the Member States. Under Article 7 of Regulation (EEC) No 785/68, the Commission may for this purpose take an average of several prices as a basis, provided that this average is representative of actual market trends.
- (4) The information must be disregarded if the goods concerned are not of sound and fair marketable quality or if the price quoted in the offer relates only to a small

quantity that is not representative of the market. Offer prices which can be regarded as not representative of actual market trends must also be disregarded.

- (5) If information on molasses of the standard quality is to be comparable, prices must, depending on the quality of the molasses offered, be increased or reduced in the light of the results achieved by applying Article 6 of Regulation (EEC) No 785/68.
- (6) A representative price may be left unchanged by way of exception for a limited period if the offer price which served as a basis for the previous calculation of the representative price is not available to the Commission and if the offer prices which are available and which appear not to be sufficiently representative of actual market trends would entail sudden and considerable changes in the representative price.
- (7) Where there is a difference between the trigger price for the product in question and the representative price, additional import duties should be fixed under the conditions set out in Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 1422/95. Should the import duties be suspended pursuant to Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1422/95, specific amounts for these duties should be fixed.
- (8) Application of these provisions will have the effect of fixing the representative prices and the additional import duties for the products in question as set out in the Annex to this Regulation.
- (9) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Sugar,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The representative prices and the additional duties applying to imports of the products referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1422/95 are fixed in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 178, 30.6.2001, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 141, 24.6.1999, p. 12.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 145, 27.6.1968, p. 12.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the representative prices and additional import duties to imports of molasses in the sugar sector

(in EUR)

CN code	Amount of the representative price in 100 kg net of the product in question	Amount of the additional duty in 100 kg net of the product in question	Amount of the duty to be applied to imports in 100 kg net of the product in question because of suspension as referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1422/95 ⁽²⁾
1703 10 00 ⁽¹⁾	8,40	—	0
1703 90 00 ⁽¹⁾	13,23	—	0

⁽¹⁾ For the standard quality as defined in Article 1 of amended Regulation (EEC) No 785/68.

⁽²⁾ This amount replaces, in accordance with Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1422/95, the rate of the Common Customs Tariff duty fixed for these products.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 42/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the export refunds on white sugar and raw sugar exported in its unaltered state

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 of 19 June 2001 on the common organisation of the markets in the sugar sector ⁽¹⁾, and in particular the second subparagraph of Article 27(5) thereof,

Whereas:

(1) Article 27 of Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 provides that the difference between quotations or prices on the world market for the products listed in Article 1(1)(a) of that Regulation and prices for those products within the Community may be covered by an export refund.

(2) Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 provides that when refunds on white and raw sugar, undenatured and exported in its unaltered state, are being fixed account must be taken of the situation on the Community and world markets in sugar and in particular of the price and cost factors set out in Article 28 of that Regulation. The same Article provides that the economic aspect of the proposed exports should also be taken into account.

(3) The refund on raw sugar must be fixed in respect of the standard quality. The latter is defined in Annex I, point II, to Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001. Furthermore, this refund should be fixed in accordance with Article 28(4) of Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001. Candy sugar is defined in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2135/95 of 7 September 1995 laying down detailed rules of application for the grant of export refunds in the sugar sector ⁽²⁾. The refund thus calculated for sugar containing added flavouring or colouring matter must apply to their sucrose content and, accordingly, be fixed per 1 % of the said content.

(4) The world market situation or the specific requirements of certain markets may make it necessary to vary the refund for sugar according to destination.

(5) In special cases, the amount of the refund may be fixed by other legal instruments.

(6) The refund must be fixed every two weeks. It may be altered in the intervening period.

(7) It follows from applying the rules set out above to the present situation on the market in sugar and in particular to quotations or prices for sugar within the Community and on the world market that the refund should be as set out in the Annex hereto.

(8) Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001 does not make provision to continue the compensation system for storage costs from 1 July 2001. This should accordingly be taken into account when fixing the refunds granted when the export occurs after 30 September 2001.

(9) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Sugar,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The export refunds on the products listed in Article 1(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001, undenatured and exported in the natural state, are hereby fixed to the amounts shown in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 178, 30.6.2001, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 214, 8.9.1995, p. 16.

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on white sugar and raw sugar exported in its unaltered state

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refund
1701 11 90 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	34,73 ⁽¹⁾
1701 11 90 9910	A00	EUR/100 kg	33,35 ⁽¹⁾
1701 11 90 9950	A00	EUR/100 kg	⁽²⁾
1701 12 90 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	34,73 ⁽¹⁾
1701 12 90 9910	A00	EUR/100 kg	33,35 ⁽¹⁾
1701 12 90 9950	A00	EUR/100 kg	⁽²⁾
1701 91 00 9000	A00	EUR/1 % of sucrose × net 100 kg of product	0,3775
1701 99 10 9100	A00	EUR/100 kg	37,75
1701 99 10 9910	A00	EUR/100 kg	36,26
1701 99 10 9950	A00	EUR/100 kg	36,26
1701 99 90 9100	A00	EUR/1 % of sucrose × net 100 kg of product	0,3775

⁽¹⁾ Applicable to raw sugar with a yield of 92 %; if the yield is other than 92 %, the refund applicable is calculated in accordance with the provisions of Article 28(4) of Council Regulation (EC) No 1260/2001.

⁽²⁾ Fixing suspended by Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2689/85 (OJ L 255, 26.9.1985, p. 12), as amended by Regulation (EEC) No 3251/85 (OJ L 309, 21.11.1985, p. 14).

NB: The product codes and the 'A' series destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3846/87 (OJ L 366, 24.12.1987, p. 1) as amended.

The numeric destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2032/2000 (OJ L 243, 28.9.2000, p. 14).

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 43/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the maximum export refund on common wheat in connection with the invitation to tender
issued in Regulation (EC) No 943/2001

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organisation of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001 ⁽⁴⁾, and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) An invitation to tender for the refund on exportation of common wheat to all third countries with the exclusion of Poland was opened pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 943/2001 ⁽⁵⁾.
- (2) Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 provides that the Commission may, on the basis of the tenders notified, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 23 of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92, decide to fix

a maximum export refund taking account of the criteria referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95. In that case a contract is awarded to any tenderer whose bid is equal to or lower than the maximum refund.

- (3) The application of the abovementioned criteria to the current market situation for the cereal in question results in the maximum export refund being fixed at the amount specified in Article 1.
- (4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

For tenders notified from 4 to 10 January 2002, pursuant to the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 943/2001, the maximum refund on exportation of common wheat shall be EUR 0,00/t.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 133, 16.5.2001, p. 3.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 44/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the maximum export refund on barley in connection with the invitation to tender issued in
Regulation (EC) No 1558/2001

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organisation of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000 ⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001 ⁽⁴⁾, and in particular Article 4 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) An invitation to tender for the refund for the export of barley to all third countries except for the United States of America and Canada was opened pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1558/2001 ⁽⁵⁾.
- (2) Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 provides that the Commission may, on the basis of the tenders notified, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 23 of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92, decide to fix

a maximum export refund taking account of the criteria referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95. In that case a contract is awarded to any tenderer whose bid is equal to or lower than the maximum refund.

- (3) The application of the abovementioned criteria to the current market situation for the cereal in question results in the maximum export refund being fixed at the amount specified in Article 1.
- (4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

For tenders notified from 4 to 10 January 2002, pursuant to the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 1558/2001, the maximum refund on exportation of barley shall be EUR 0,00/t.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission
Franz FISCHLER
Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 205, 31.7.2001, p. 33.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 45/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the maximum export refund on rye in connection with the invitation to tender issued in
Regulation (EC) No 1005/2001

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organisation of the market in cereals⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000⁽²⁾,

Having regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001⁽⁴⁾, and in particular Article 7 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) An invitation to tender for the refund for the export of rye to all third countries was opened pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1005/2001⁽⁵⁾.
- (2) Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 provides that the Commission may, on the basis of the tenders notified, in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 23 of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92, decide to fix

a maximum export refund taking account of the criteria referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95. In that case a contract is awarded to any tenderer whose bid is equal to or lower than the maximum refund.

- (3) The application of the abovementioned criteria to the current market situation for the cereal in question results in the maximum export refund being fixed at the amount specified in Article 1.
- (4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

For tenders notified from 4 to 10 January 2002, pursuant to the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 1005/2001, the maximum refund on exportation of rye shall be EUR 30,00/t.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 140, 24.5.2001, p. 10.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 46/2002**of 10 January 2002****fixing the maximum reduction in the duty on maize imported in connection with the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 9/2002**

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organisation of the market in cereals⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 12(1) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) An invitation to tender for the maximum reduction in the duty on maize imported into Spain was opened pursuant to Commission Regulation (EC) No 9/2002⁽³⁾.
- (2) Pursuant to Article 5 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1839/95⁽⁴⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 2235/2000⁽⁵⁾, the Commission, acting under the procedure laid down in Article 23 of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92, may decide to fix maximum reduction in the import duty. In fixing this maximum the criteria provided for in Articles 6 and 7 of Regulation (EC) No 1839/95 must be taken into account. A contract is awarded to any tenderer whose tender is equal to or less than the maximum reduction in the duty.

(3) The application of the abovementioned criteria to the current market situation for the cereal in question results in the maximum reduction in the import duty being fixed at the amount specified in Article 1.

(4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

For tenders notified from 4 to 10 January 2002, pursuant to the invitation to tender issued in Regulation (EC) No 9/2002, the maximum reduction in the duty on maize imported shall be 28,88 EUR/t and be valid for a total maximum quantity of 203 763 tonnes.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 3, 5.1.2002, p. 29.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 177, 28.7.1995, p. 4.

⁽⁵⁾ OJ L 256, 10.10.2000, p. 13.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 47/2002**of 10 January 2002****fixing the export refunds on cereals and on wheat or rye flour, groats and meal**

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organisation of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 13(2) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Article 13 of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 provides that the difference between quotations or prices on the world market for the products listed in Article 1 of that Regulation and prices for those products in the Community may be covered by an export refund.
- (2) The refunds must be fixed taking into account the factors referred to in Article 1 of Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules under Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001 ⁽⁴⁾.
- (3) As far as wheat and rye flour, groats and meal are concerned, when the refund on these products is being calculated, account must be taken of the quantities of cereals required for their manufacture. These quantities were fixed in Regulation (EC) No 1501/95.

- (4) The world market situation or the specific requirements of certain markets may make it necessary to vary the refund for certain products according to destination.
- (5) The refund must be fixed once a month. It may be altered in the intervening period.
- (6) It follows from applying the detailed rules set out above to the present situation on the market in cereals, and in particular to quotations or prices for these products within the Community and on the world market, that the refunds should be as set out in the Annex hereto.
- (7) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The export refunds on the products listed in Article 1(a), (b) and (c) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92, excluding malt, exported in the natural state, shall be as set out in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the export refunds on cereals and on wheat or rye flour, groats and meal

Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refunds	Product code	Destination	Unit of measurement	Amount of refunds
1001 10 00 9200	—	EUR/t	—	1101 00 11 9000	—	EUR/t	—
1001 10 00 9400	—	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9100	C01	EUR/t	0
1001 90 91 9000	—	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9130	C01	EUR/t	0
1001 90 99 9000	C01	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9150	C01	EUR/t	0
1002 00 00 9000	A00	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9170	C01	EUR/t	0
1003 00 10 9000	—	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9180	C01	EUR/t	0
1003 00 90 9000	A00	EUR/t	—	1101 00 15 9190	—	EUR/t	—
1004 00 00 9200	—	EUR/t	—	1101 00 90 9000	—	EUR/t	—
1004 00 00 9400	A00	EUR/t	0	1102 10 00 9500	C01	EUR/t	41,00
1005 10 90 9000	—	EUR/t	—	1102 10 00 9700	C01	EUR/t	32,50
1005 90 00 9000	A00	EUR/t	0	1102 10 00 9900	—	EUR/t	—
1007 00 90 9000	—	EUR/t	—	1103 11 10 9200	A00	EUR/t	0 ⁽¹⁾
1008 20 00 9000	—	EUR/t	—	1103 11 10 9400	A00	EUR/t	0 ⁽¹⁾
				1103 11 10 9900	—	EUR/t	—
				1103 11 90 9200	A00	EUR/t	0 ⁽¹⁾
				1103 11 90 9800	—	EUR/t	—

⁽¹⁾ No refund is granted when this product contains compressed meal.

NB: The product codes and the 'A' series destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 2032/2000 (OJ L 243, 28.9.2000, p. 14) as amended.

The other destinations are as follows:

C01 All destinations except for Poland.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 48/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on cereals

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organization of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 13 (8) thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Article 13 (8) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 provides that the export refund applicable to cereals on the day on which application for an export licence is made must be applied on request to exports to be effected during the period of validity of the export licence; whereas, in this case, a corrective amount may be applied to the refund.
- (2) Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules under Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001 ⁽⁴⁾, allows for the fixing of a corrective amount for the products listed in Article 1(1) (c) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92; that corrective amount must be calculated taking account of the factors referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95.

- (3) The world market situation or the specific requirements of certain markets may make it necessary to vary the corrective amount according to destination.
- (4) The corrective amount must be fixed at the same time as the refund and according to the same procedure; it may be altered in the period between fixings.
- (5) It follows from applying the provisions set out above that the corrective amount must be as set out in the Annex hereto.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The corrective amount referred to in Article 1(1) (a), (b) and (c) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 which is applicable to export refunds fixed in advance except for malt shall be as set out in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on cereals

(EUR/t)

Product code	Destination	Current 1	1st period 2	2nd period 3	3rd period 4	4th period 5	5th period 6	6th period 7
1001 10 00 9200	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1001 10 00 9400	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1001 90 91 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1001 90 99 9000	C01	—	-0,93	-1,86	-2,79	-3,72	—	—
1002 00 00 9000	C02	-20,00	-20,00	-20,00	-20,00	-20,00	—	—
	A05	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	—	—
1003 00 10 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1003 00 90 9000	A00	—	-0,93	-1,86	-2,79	-3,72	—	—
1004 00 00 9200	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1004 00 00 9400	A00	0	-0,93	-1,86	-2,79	-3,72	—	—
1005 10 90 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1005 90 00 9000	A00	0	-0,93	-1,86	-2,79	-3,72	—	—
1007 00 90 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1008 20 00 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1101 00 11 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1101 00 15 9100	C01	0	-1,27	-2,55	-3,82	-5,10	—	—
1101 00 15 9130	C01	0	-1,19	-2,38	-3,57	-4,76	—	—
1101 00 15 9150	C01	0	-1,10	-2,19	-3,29	-4,39	—	—
1101 00 15 9170	C01	0	-1,01	-2,03	-3,04	-4,05	—	—
1101 00 15 9180	C01	0	-0,95	-1,90	-2,85	-3,79	—	—
1101 00 15 9190	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1101 00 90 9000	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1102 10 00 9500	C01	0	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	—	—
1102 10 00 9700	C01	0	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	—	—
1102 10 00 9900	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1103 11 10 9200	A00	0	-1,40	-2,79	-4,19	-5,58	—	—
1103 11 10 9400	A00	0	-1,25	-2,49	-3,74	-4,98	—	—
1103 11 10 9900	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1103 11 90 9200	A00	0	-1,27	-2,55	-3,82	-5,10	—	—
1103 11 90 9800	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

NB: The product codes and the 'A' series destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3846/87 (OJ L 366, 24.12.1987, p. 1) as amended.

The numeric destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EC) No 2032/2000 (OJ L 243, 28.9.2000, p. 14).

The other destinations are as follows:

C01 All destinations except for Poland,

C02 Poland, Czech Republic, Slovak Republic, Hungary, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Faroe Islands, Iceland, Russia, Belarus, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Slovenia, former Republic of Yugoslavia with the exception of Slovenia, Croatia and Bosnia and Herzegovina, Albania, Romania, Bulgaria, Armenia, Georgia, Azerbaijan, Moldova, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and Turkmenistan,

A05 other non-member countries.

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 49/2002
of 10 January 2002
fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on malt

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 of 30 June 1992 on the common organization of the market in cereals ⁽¹⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 1666/2000 ⁽²⁾, and in particular Article 13(8),

Whereas:

- (1) Article 13(8) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 provides that the export refund applicable to cereals on the day on which application for an export licence is made, adjusted for the threshold price in force during the month of exportation, must be applied on request to exports to be effected during the period of validity of the export licence. In this case, a corrective amount may be applied to the refund.
- (2) Commission Regulation (EC) No 1501/95 of 29 June 1995 laying down certain detailed rules under Council Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 on the granting of export refunds on cereals and the measures to be taken in the event of disturbance on the market for cereals ⁽³⁾, as last amended by Regulation (EC) No 602/2001 ⁽⁴⁾, allows for the fixing of a corrective amount for the malt referred to

in Article 1(1)(c) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92. That corrective amount must be calculated taking account of the factors referred to in Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 1501/95.

- (3) It follows from applying the provisions set out above that the corrective amount must be as set out in the Annex hereto.
- (4) The measures provided for in this Regulation are in accordance with the opinion of the Management Committee for Cereals,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The corrective amount referred to in Article 13(4) of Regulation (EEC) No 1766/92 which is applicable to export refunds fixed in advance in respect of malt shall be as set out in the Annex hereto.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on 11 January 2002.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels, 10 January 2002.

For the Commission

Franz FISCHLER

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 181, 1.7.1992, p. 21.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 193, 29.7.2000, p. 1.

⁽³⁾ OJ L 147, 30.6.1995, p. 7.

⁽⁴⁾ OJ L 89, 29.3.2001, p. 16.

ANNEX

to the Commission Regulation of 10 January 2002 fixing the corrective amount applicable to the refund on malt

(EUR/t)

Product code	Destination	Current 1	1st period 2	2nd period 3	3rd period 4	4th period 5	5th period 6
1107 10 11 9000	A00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1107 10 19 9000	A00	0	-1,18	-2,36	-3,54	-4,72	-5,91
1107 10 91 9000	A00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1107 10 99 9000	A00	0	-1,18	-2,36	-3,54	-4,72	-5,91
1107 20 00 9000	A00	0	-1,39	-2,77	-4,16	-5,54	-6,93

(EUR/t)

Product code	Destination	6th period 7	7th period 8	8th period 9	9th period 10	10th period 11	11th period 12
1107 10 11 9000	A00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1107 10 19 9000	A00	—	-1,18	-2,36	-3,54	-4,72	-5,91
1107 10 91 9000	A00	0	0	0	0	0	0
1107 10 99 9000	A00	—	-1,18	-2,36	-3,54	-4,72	-5,91
1107 20 00 9000	A00	—	-1,39	-2,77	-4,16	-5,54	-6,93

NB: The product codes and the 'A' series destination codes are set out in Commission Regulation (EEC) No 3846/87 (OJ L 366, 24.12.1987, p. 1) as amended.

The numeric destination codes are set out in Regulation (EC) No 2020/2001 (OJ L 273, 16.10.2001, p. 6).

II

(Acts whose publication is not obligatory)

COMMISSION

COMMISSION DECISION

of 21 December 2001

establishing the Community eco-label working plan

(notified under document number C(2001) 4395)

(Text with EEA relevance)

(2002/18/EC)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,

Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 July 2000 on a revised Community eco-label award scheme ⁽¹⁾, and in particular Article 5 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 provides that the Commission is to establish a Community eco-label working plan.
- (2) The working plan should include a strategy for the development of the scheme, setting out objectives for environmental improvement and market penetration, a non-exhaustive list of product groups which should be considered as priorities for Community action, and plans for coordination and cooperation between the Community scheme and other eco-label award schemes in Member States.
- (3) Furthermore the working plan should provide measures for the implementation of the strategy and include the planned financing of the scheme.
- (4) Finally the working plan should outline the services to which the scheme is not applicable, taking into account Regulation (EC) No 761/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 March 2001 allowing voluntary participation by organisations in a

Community eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS) ⁽²⁾.

- (5) The working plan should be reviewed periodically.
- (6) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee set up pursuant to Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

Article 1

The Community eco-label working plan for the period from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2004, set out in the Annex, is adopted.

Article 2

A review of the working plan shall be initiated before 31 December 2004.

Article 3

This Decision is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 21 December 2001.

For the Commission

Margot WALLSTRÖM

Member of the Commission

⁽¹⁾ OJ L 237, 21.9.2000, p. 1.

⁽²⁾ OJ L 114, 24.4.2001, p. 1.

ANNEX

COMMUNITY ECO-LABEL WORKING PLAN

INTRODUCTION

The Community eco-label was introduced in 1992 to encourage businesses to develop products with a reduced environmental impact throughout their whole life cycle, and to provide consumers with better information about these impacts.

Since then, new policy approaches on sustainable goods and services have been developed. These endeavours undertaken at all political levels have culminated in the Green Paper on Integrated Product Policy ⁽¹⁾ (IPP). This document proposes a new strategy to strengthen and refocus product-related environmental policies and develop the market for greener products, which will also be one of the key innovative elements of the sixth environmental action programme — Environment 2010: 'Our future, our choice' ⁽²⁾.

The strategy, as proposed by the Commission in the Green Paper on Integrated Product Policy, is currently being discussed by other European institutions and stakeholders. The outcome of this wide debate will provide an insight into how the integrated product policy strategy can be successfully integrated into environmental policy. The debate will also be the basis for a forthcoming White Paper setting out the conclusions of the Commission for the implementation of such an IPP approach. The strategy, as proposed in the Green Paper, includes three main elements, namely price mechanisms, green consumer demand and measures in favour of a more environmentally friendly design and use of products. *Inter alia*, consumers should be given easier access to understandable, relevant and credible information through a strengthened and refocused strategy on environmental labelling.

This opens new opportunities for the European eco-label, which has so far had to operate with little or no support from other policy measures, and has not yet achieved a satisfactory level of visibility on the market. Within the European eco-label scheme there is considerable information and expertise on product policy based on life-cycle thinking which should be made available to stakeholders involved in further developing the integrated product policy approach.

This working plan therefore should be seen in the context of the ongoing discussions on an EU integrated product policy and the development of the sixth environmental action programme and the Community's sustainable development strategy. The European Union's sustainable development strategy forms part of its preparations for the 2002 World Summit on Sustainable Development in Johannesburg. At this summit, following the 1992 Rio process, the European Union will seek to achieve a 'global deal' on sustainable development. The June 2001 European Council of Göteborg ⁽³⁾ agreed on a strategy for sustainable development and added an environmental dimension to the Lisbon process for employment, economic reform and social cohesion. By explicitly mentioning the integrated product policy as a task of joint responsibility and by inviting industry to take part in the development and wider use of environmentally friendly technologies, the European Council itself stressed the importance of de-coupling economic growth from resource use.

Environmental labelling will constitute an important element within all these new approaches, with ISO type-I labels (life-cycle based, third party certified eco-labels following ISO 14024), such as the Community eco-label, making a significant contribution. It should be recognised that the eco-label, as both a voluntary and a selective scheme, does not have the force and universality that measures such as regulations can provide. But good environmental labelling schemes will remain attractive to consumers because of their immediacy and simplicity. They are also business friendly because they act as product enhancers and offer marketing advantages at the point of sale. In addition the eco-label offers a reference point for environmental excellence at European level, for example in greening public procurement and for identifying green products on which taxation could be reduced. Moreover, in the draft directive on the environmental impact of electrical and electronic equipment, the eco-label is proposed as giving a presumption of conformity with the requirements of the directive.

Finally, while it is clear that the Community eco-label has not yet achieved satisfactory market penetration, it is now in a better situation than ever before, with a continuously widening range of product groups, with eco-labelled products in nearly all of the Member States and new applications coming in all the time. Moreover, new perspectives, such as IPP in general and the greening of public procurement in particular, are opening up fresh market opportunities. Nevertheless, much still needs to be done to make the eco-label more attractive to manufacturers, distributors, consumers and other stakeholders.

⁽¹⁾ COM(2001)68 final.

⁽²⁾ COM(2001)31 final.

⁽³⁾ Presidency Conclusions, 15 and 16 June 2001, SN 200/01 p. 4; Commission communication: A sustainable Europe for a better world: An EU strategy for sustainable development (9175/01).

In particular, it needs to be more widely recognised that the eco-label is a label of environmental excellence that has several unique strengths. It is the only truly European eco-label, being valid throughout the 15 Member States of the EU and the three Member States of the EEA. It is a public label, not a private label, developed under the authority of the European institutions. The criteria are developed following very extensive consultation between all interested parties, including public authorities, representatives of consumer and environmental non-governmental organisations (NGOs), industry, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), distributors and others. It is an environmental label, not a health or quality label, although these aspects are also considered. It takes into account all stages of the life cycle of the product, that is to say it is not a single issue label or limited to the characteristics of the product itself. It uses the same logo for a wide range of product groups, and so is easily recognisable by the consumer, who can be confident that the product bearing the label is one of the best with respect to its environmental performance. This is not a self-claim of the manufacturer, as compliance with the criteria is certified, verified and monitored by an independent third party (one of the eco-label competent bodies).

The fundamental aim of this working plan is, therefore, to build on these solid foundations and lay down the programme of work for the next three years that will:

- make the eco-label a more successful and effective instrument for improving the environmental quality of products and services,
- contribute to making consumption more sustainable, and to the policy objectives set out in the Community's sustainable development strategy, the sixth environmental action programme and the forthcoming White Paper on Integrated Product Policy Strategy,
- make the most effective use of the resources allocated to the scheme by the Commission, the Member States and the members of the European Union Eco-labelling Board (EUEB) ⁽¹⁾.

STRATEGY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SCHEME 2002 TO 2004

1. *Policy and strategy*

The Community eco-label is continually developing and adapting its long-term policy and strategy, which needs to be closely integrated, among others, with the ongoing discussions on an EU integrated product policy, with the development of the sixth environmental action programme and with the Community's sustainable development strategy. The eco-label also needs to follow closely the wider developments in product labelling, including ISO type-II and III labels as well as ethical, quality and health labels.

In order to manage more effectively the various related discussions and information flow, both within the scheme and with respect to external forums, and to prepare and lead the debate on the future of the scheme, an active and permanent policy management group should be set up.

Objective

Continue to develop and adapt the long-term policy and strategy of the scheme, as well as the integration of the eco-label in the various policies being developed in relation to sustainable consumption (such as IPP, greening public procurement, wider labelling, reduced taxation for green products, etc.).

Implementing measures

The EUEB should set up a permanent policy management group, to develop and adapt its long-term policy and strategy, and to coordinate with and provide input to the abovementioned policy developments. These policy issues should also be discussed during the Presidential meetings ⁽²⁾.

2. *Objectives for environmental improvement and market penetration*

The objectives for environmental improvement and market penetration are essentially:

- (a) to widen the number of markets potentially open to eco-labelled products by progressively expanding the range of eco-label product groups,
- (b) within each of these markets/product groups, to increase significantly the visibility of the eco-label (i.e. the number of eco-labelled products on the market),
- (c) and thereby to continually reinforce the overall environmental benefits of the scheme and its contribution to making consumption more sustainable

⁽¹⁾ Note: the Commission acts as the secretariat for the EUEB and participates in all its activities.

⁽²⁾ EUEB meetings organised twice a year by the Chair of the EUEB in his/her country to discuss policy and marketing.

(a) Product group development

Currently criteria have been adopted for 17 product groups (tissue paper, dishwashers, soil improvers, bed mattresses, indoor paints and varnishes, footwear, textile products, personal computers, laundry detergents, detergents for dishwashers, copying paper, light bulbs, portable computers, refrigerators, washing machines, all purpose and sanitary cleaners, hand-dishwashing detergents).

Work is ongoing on at least five new product groups (hard floor coverings, televisions, furniture, vacuum cleaners, and tourist accommodation), and should be finalised in 2002.

The long-term objective is to establish a set of product groups which forms a sufficiently complete range of products to attract retailers, manufacturers and consumers, and which is also manageable in terms of the work necessary to establish and regularly revise their criteria. In the short term this should be between 25 and 35 product groups (see section 4a, and sections 3a and Appendix II for a non-exhaustive list of priority product groups). The scope of each product group should, where appropriate, be progressively widened, for example to include also certain products for professional use.

The scheme, its procedures and its resources are currently such that between four and eight Commission decisions on product group criteria can be made every year. The revision of existing product groups should, in general, be made every four to five years (although this period should be adapted on a case-by-case basis), implying on average some four to six revisions every year, and thereby allowing perhaps two new product groups to be established every year.

Objective

Establish a set of product groups which forms a sufficiently complete and manageable range of products to attract retailers, manufacturers and consumers.

Within five years, the number of established product groups should increase to between 25 and 35.

Implementing measures

Taking into account the priority list of product groups, the Commission, the EUEB and the Member States should, in addition to carrying out the necessary revisions, establish one or two new product groups every year of the working plan.

The duration of validity of product group criteria should in general be four to five years (although this guideline period should be adapted on a case-by-case basis).

In order to facilitate criteria setting, the EUEB should set up a working group to address horizontal issues common to many product groups (e.g. flame retardants, packaging, etc.).

(b) Market penetration, visibility and consumer awareness

There are four parameters for measuring the visibility of the eco-label:

- companies: the number of companies awarded the eco-label,
- products: the number of products for which these companies have the eco-label,
- articles: the number of articles of these products marketed bearing the eco-label,
- values: the ex-factory sales value of these articles.

Currently (August 2001) 83 companies use the eco-label (compared to 37 in March 2000), on several hundreds of their products. Textiles and paints and varnishes are by far the most successful product groups in terms of the number of applicants. Tissue paper accounts for a significant proportion of the overall sales value of eco-labelled items. The distribution of eco-label holders and their products over the European Union and the European Economic Area is still fairly uneven, but compared to the situation one year ago, shows a much better and more balanced coverage of products in 12 of the 17 product groups with licence holders in 13 out of the 18 participating countries. For 2000, provisional data gives sales of approximately 17 million eco-labelled articles with an ex-factory value of approximately EUR 38 million.

In terms of actual market penetration, Community eco-labelled products are however still relatively insignificant, currently representing less than 1 % of the total market for the different product groups. For example, the ex-factory sales value of eco-labelled indoor paints and varnishes in the EU was approximately EUR 8 million in 1999, compared to the total sales value of all decorative paints of approximately EUR 7 200 million.

The short-term objective is therefore to increase considerably the number of labelled products, so as to make the eco-label visible on the market and to increase its environmental impact. The longer term objective is to continuously reinforce this visibility so as to move towards the full theoretical potential for market penetration of the eco-label, which generally lies between 1 % and 30 % of the overall market (depending on the product group in question and the selectivity of its related eco-label criteria).

It should be stressed that the global experience of type I eco-labels is that it is infrequent to achieve anything close to such levels of market penetration, and generally this has only been achieved for one or two product groups in geographically restricted markets. The eco-label should therefore embrace realistic but at the same time ambitious targets. In the short term, in order to achieve a minimum level of visibility, an annual increase of at least 25 % of the value and/or number of eco-labelled articles would be necessary.

Visibility is far from being a precise concept, but in so far as the eco-label is concerned, one could consider minimum visibility to be achieved if consumers all over the EU and the EEA have the possibility of choosing eco-labelled products of different sorts during their shopping, without having to search too actively. A rough guideline definition could, for example, be that eco-labelled products of at least three or four different product groups should be marketed in each Member State, in quantities representing at least 1 % of the overall market of those product groups.

In this respect, the eco-label competent bodies in each Member State have identified certain existing product groups for targeting their marketing and promotion, including in particular textiles, footwear, paints and varnishes, soil improvers and tissue paper.

While, in the final analysis, the decision to use the eco-label or not lies entirely with the individual companies (and is taken essentially on the basis of economic and marketing factors), the EUEB, the Member States and the Commission can nevertheless considerably influence the market penetration of the eco-label. As well as actively promoting the eco-label to all stakeholders (see also section on joint promotional actions), the extensive consultation and dialogue during the establishment of the criteria aim to achieve the best possible balance between environmental ambition and technical feasibility, so as to be attractive to manufacturers, distributors and consumers.

While many more relevant manufacturers and retailers are now aware of the eco-label and the opportunities the new scheme presents in relation to their products, as key stakeholders they all should be correctly informed as soon as possible.

The various studies undertaken by the European Commission over the last few years, have highlighted some common barriers in the communication process, *inter alia*, the differing but still existing lack of information regarding the scheme and its opportunities and the perception of the eco-label as a relatively weak marketing tool. Other factors include the reluctance in participating in eco-label schemes *per se* and the difficulties of applicants, particularly in some product groups, in meeting the selective criteria because they do not always have full control over their entire supply chain (e.g. textiles). Most of these barriers are due to problems of communication and cooperation between the actors involved in the promotion and diffusion of the scheme and are tackled in this working plan.

A further aspect, very relevant to the visibility and market penetration and therefore to the direct environmental benefit of the label, is highlighted in the recent contract on marketing the eco-label in Greece where the study endeavoured to increase the recognition of the eco-label flower logo amongst the general public. In order for the logo to influence consumer choice, consumers should be able to understand (by knowing, or having heard, or reading the label or accompanying brochures, etc.) that a product bearing the eco-label has a reduced environmental impact. For example, a previous survey in Finland (1999) showed that some 39 % of consumers knew or could guess what the European eco-label logo stood for, although less (22 %) recognised it directly as the Community eco-label.

As long as only a relatively small number of products bear the eco-label, promotional actions should, to be most cost-effective, concentrate more on manufacturers and distributors than on consumers. As more of these manufacturers and distributors begin to use the eco-label, and more related promotion is carried out, we should expect the rate of recognition to increase, and at that point awareness-raising actions more specifically directed to the consumer should become worthwhile.

In the mean time, a series of brochures, explaining the aims and meaning of the eco-label for specific product groups, has been prepared by the Commission to accompany eco-labelled products as they are sold to the consumer. These should now be distributed more systematically by the members of the EUEB.

Even with huge marketing resources, it takes many years for brands to become established and widely recognised. While the objective for the long term should be that more than half of European consumers recognise the European eco-label as a label of environmental excellence, it should be acknowledged that this can only be approached progressively. In the short term, and within the limits of resources available, surveys should be undertaken to monitor the degree of recognition and to follow the development of consumer awareness. Ways to increase these figures should be explored and put in place.

In particular, the Danish promotional campaign to consumers in 2000 to 2001 showed that it is possible to make significant improvements in consumer recognition (in this case a fourfold increase to 20 %), by cooperating and coordinating very closely with manufacturers and distributors in a particular sector. The key factor to this success was that eco-labelled products (textiles and detergents) were placed on the market by a large number of manufacturers at the same time as the advertising campaign was launched (by television, point-of-sales brochures, etc.).

Objectives

Annual increase of at least 25 % of the value and/or number of eco-labelled articles,

Achieve before the end of the working plan a minimum level of visibility in two thirds of the Member States,

All relevant manufacturers and retailers should be aware of the eco-label,

In the long-term, more than half of European consumers should recognise the Community eco-label logo as a label of environmental excellence.

Implementing measures

The EUEB should, before the end of the first year of the working plan, put in place the reporting mechanisms to establish annual statistics for tracking the market penetration of the different product groups.

The EUEB should, before the end of the first year of the working plan, develop the abovementioned criterion for a minimum level of visibility of the eco-label and evaluate in which countries this has been achieved.

The EUEB, Member States and the Commission should, throughout the duration of the eco-label working plan, undertake, individually and/or collectively, actions to promote the Community eco-label. In particular retailers and public procurement officers (see below) should be targeted. These actions should be reported (and information on them exchanged) during the Presidential meetings of the EUEB that take place twice a year. The resources devoted to promotion should also be reported and, as far as possible, should be at least 50 % of those devoted to the development and revision of product groups. In parallel, feed-back from stakeholders should be systematically sought and taken into account.

In each Member State, the eco-label competent bodies, interest groups and public authorities, should identify certain established product groups as being a priority and where appropriate should focus a significant part of their marketing efforts on these.

The EUEB should develop a cost-effective methodology for monitoring consumer recognition of the Community eco-label logo, and should develop cost-effective actions for progressively increasing this.

See also joint promotional actions (sections 4b and 5).

(c) Environmental benefits

The overall objective of the eco-label is to promote products which have the potential to reduce negative environmental impacts, as compared with the other products in the same product group, thus contributing to the efficient use of resources and a high level of environmental protection. In doing so it contributes to making consumption more sustainable, and to the policy objectives set out in the Community's sustainable development strategy (for example in the fields of climate change, resource efficiency and eco-toxicity), the sixth environmental action programme and the forthcoming White Paper on Integrated Product Policy Strategy.

Previous studies and reports have shown that the specific environmental benefits of eco-labels are difficult to calculate due to the difficulty of isolating and measuring these from environmental benefits achieved via other environmental measures. It is however possible to estimate the maximum potential environmental benefit that could be achieved if all the products in a product group met the eco-label criteria. When proposing new criteria for a product group, the technical studies should as far as possible assess this maximum potential.

In general, the direct environmental benefit of the eco-label is strongly correlated with its market penetration. This is achieved firstly through both the consolidation and increase in the sales of eco-labelled products, which have a lower environmental impact, and secondly through the improvements their manufacturers have to make in order to meet the eco-label criteria. Progress in increasing the environmental benefit of the eco-label is clearly dependent on the different promotional and marketing actions already being carried out and foreseen in this working plan.

In practice the eco-label has some very important indirect benefits which are not reflected in the number of eco-labelled products or licences. These indirect benefits are positive and should be evaluated and encouraged.

One key indirect environmental benefit of the eco-label is the increasing use of eco-label or other environmental criteria in public or private procurement. Article 10 of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 states that: 'In order to encourage the use of eco-labelled products the Commission and other institutions of the Community, as well as other public authorities at national level should, without prejudice to Community law, set an example when specifying their requirements for products'.

Public procurement accounts for approximately 14 % ⁽¹⁾ of demand in the European market and purchasers in companies and other governmental and non-governmental organisations should be encouraged more systematically to use eco-label criteria in their calls for tenders. The Commission interpretative document on public procurement and the environment ⁽¹⁾ now clarifies how this can be done.

The awareness of purchasers is, however, not yet high enough to have significant effects on the market. Thus, one specific objective should be to increase public and private purchaser demand for green products over the next years. Surveys should be undertaken and measures explored on how to increase these figures.

It should also be underlined that this is one area where the impact of the eco-label is not necessarily linked to the number of products bearing the eco-label. A product can be shown to meet eco-label criteria and therefore be preferred by a purchaser, without necessarily having the eco-label.

A similar indirect benefit that again is not always reflected in the number of eco-labelled products, is the increasing use of the eco-label criteria as a benchmark of environmental excellence by companies in developing and even marketing their products. For example, some companies make sure that their products meet some or all of the eco-label criteria without necessarily applying for the eco-label.

Objectives

Contribute to making consumption more sustainable, and to the policy objectives set out in the Community's sustainable development strategy, the sixth environmental action programme and the forthcoming White Paper on Integrated Product Policy Strategy,

Progressively develop qualitative and quantitative evaluations of the direct and indirect benefits of the eco-label,

In the medium term, public procurement officers should be informed of the possibilities of using the eco-label criteria in their calls for tenders,

In the medium term, the role of eco-label criteria as a benchmark of environmental excellence should be explicitly developed.

Implementing measures

The EUEB, Member States and Commission should, throughout the duration of the eco-label working plan, undertake, individually and/or collectively, actions to inform public and private procurement officers on the opportunities for using eco-label criteria in their calls for tenders.

The EUEB should develop and improve the methodology and parameters for estimating the direct and indirect environmental benefits of the eco-label during the first three years of this plan. The maximum potential benefits should be systematically estimated for each product group when establishing new or revised criteria. A strategy should be set in place for monitoring, evaluating and increasing the indirect environmental benefits of the eco-label criteria.

3. *Non-exhaustive list of priority product groups*

(a) *Priority product groups*

A variety of conditions need to be met in order for a product group to be considered as a priority for the Community eco-label. Article 2(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 in particular expresses some key requirements concerning its suitability for eco-labelling. The product must be significant in terms of the internal market and be sold for final consumption. It must involve significant environmental impacts that can be positively influenced by consumer choice, and manufacturers and retailers must be willing to put the eco-label on their products.

⁽¹⁾ Commission interpretative communication on the Community law applicable to public procurement and the possibilities for integrating environmental considerations into public procurement, COM(2001)274 final.

In other terms, product groups should be assessed for their relevance, potential and steerability. Relevance refers to the nature and magnitude of the associated environmental impacts, potential refers to the potential environmental benefits, and steerability refers to the extent to which the product group can be influenced by eco-labelling.

Appendix 1 summarises the main points of appreciation of a 'candidate' product group. On the basis of this checklist of questions, each candidate product group should be graded as 'high priority', 'medium priority', 'low priority' or 'not a priority', and resources allocated accordingly. Work should not be continued on any product graded as 'not a priority'.

For the time being, the relative weight given to each of the questions is undetermined and may quite logically vary from case to case. Moreover, consideration needs to be given to developments in IPP (e.g. possibilities of developing ISO type II or III labelling for the product groups in question). The overall priority grading of each product group should be arrived at by discussions and consensus within the EUEB. The methodology (and therefore Appendix 1) should be further improved and updated, and should be applied to the priority product groups listed in Appendix 2, which includes established and on-going product groups as well as suggestions for possible new product groups (candidate product groups).

Appendix 2 should then be updated accordingly, where necessary adapting the names of the candidate product groups to take into account more closely their future scope. It should also be noted that during the course of the revision of established product groups, their scope should, where appropriate, be progressively extended, for example to include certain products for professional use.

It is stressed that the lists in Appendix 2 are non-exhaustive, as laid down in Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000. At any time, the Commission may give a mandate to the EUEB to develop and periodically review the ecological criteria, as well as the assessment and verification requirements, for a product group that is not listed in Appendix 2. These lists may also be updated during the period of validity of this working plan (in accordance with the procedure laid down in Article 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000), and new product groups may be added.

Objectives

Make the best use of the resources allocated to product group development by focusing attention on those product groups which are the most suitable for the Community eco-label.

Implementing measures

The EUEB should develop and improve the prioritisation methodology, in particular seeking to develop the environmental ranking and to develop an appropriate weighting of the questions. This should take into account, among others, the success or failure of the established product groups, which should be explicitly analysed. Appendix 1 should be updated accordingly.

The table of priority product groups and their related priorities in Appendix 2 should be updated regularly, following consultation with the EUEB, and applying the methodology described above. In particular, for those product groups in Appendix 2 where their priority ranking is yet to be assessed, this assessment should be carried out as soon as possible. On the occasion of the revision of each product group, its priority should be reassessed by the EUEB.

(b) Outline of services to which the scheme is not applicable

Article 2 of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 does not explicitly exclude any service from the scope of the eco-label scheme. All product groups, whether goods or services, must however satisfy the conditions laid down in Article 2(2), and must be the subject of a Commission Decision establishing the ecological criteria for the award of the eco-label to that product group.

Similarly, Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 761/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council allowing voluntary participation by organisations in a Community eco-management and audit scheme (EMAS), does not explicitly exclude any service from the scope of EMAS. In general, any service-provider dedicated to improving its overall environmental performance may on its own initiative apply for registration with EMAS, whatever the type of service it provides.

There is no *a priori* reason for the eco-label to exclude in the long term any service from its scope. The constraints of Article 2(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1980/2000 would already exclude many more services than goods as not having significant environmental impacts or significant potential for effecting environmental improvement through consumer choice.

The Community eco-label should, initially at least, consider more readily services that have a strong goods-related aspect, such as car-washes (consuming water, energy and detergents), or textile laundering services (using washing machines, transport, energy, waste, detergents, etc.).

One reason for this is that there is much less experience, either within the Community eco-label or national labels, with services compared to goods, and with the application of life-cycle methodologies to services. The establishment and first experiences of the first service-related product group 'tourist accommodation' will be valuable in this context. It may however well be advisable, during the duration of this working plan, to initiate feasibility studies on one or two other service-related product groups, among others, to deepen our experience with and understanding of services.

There are clear marketing arguments in favour of first completing the 'families' of goods that are most attractive to manufacturers, retailers and consumers, and eventually any closely related services before initiating new service-based families, such as green financing or public administration.

The role of the Community eco-label in the wider context of IPP and other policy areas also, initially at least, calls for an extension of the range of goods covered before developing the range of services covered by the scheme.

Nevertheless, as our understanding of goods and services develops, and as the role of the eco-label scheme also evolves and develops, certain services as yet unidentified, may well become a legitimate priority for the eco-label.

In conclusion, it is not appropriate to exclude any particular service. A case-by-case application of the checklist above should provide an objective way of grading between product groups for goods and services. It should also be taken into consideration whether a given service has a clear relationship with the existing product groups.

As a final point, the complementary and mutually supportive roles of the eco-label and EMAS (and other environmental management schemes such as ISO 14001) need to be stressed. Very briefly, a company that has EMAS is clearly one that is systematically managed from the environmental point of view and is continuously improving its environmental performance over and above minimum legal requirements. A product bearing the eco-label is clearly one of the best from the environmental point of view. A company with EMAS would benefit from using the eco-label criteria in its environmental policy as a clear and positive environmental objective for its products. A company that has or wants the eco-label for its products would benefit from using EMAS to manage and maintain its compliance with all the related criteria. Under the new eco-label scheme various fee reductions, for example for EMAS and ISO certified companies, SMEs and first movers have become possible.

Implementing measures

The EUEB should, during the first three years of the working plan, develop the methodology for establishing ecological criteria for services, and should examine a range of services with a view to assessing their degree of priority.

The EUEB should, during the first two years of the working plan, analyse the potential synergies between the eco-label and EMAS, as well as other environmental management schemes such as ISO 14001.

4. Cooperation and coordination between the EU scheme and other eco-label schemes in the Member States

Coordination and cooperation between the Community eco-label and other eco-labels in the Member States should progressively become more systematic and comprehensive. This can significantly reinforce the contribution of labelling schemes in Europe in their actions to promote and develop sustainable consumption.

There are several fields for such cooperation and coordination, including exchange of information, joint promotion and product group development.

In particular considerable resource savings could be achieved by a better coordination of product group development.

Objective

Progressively and systematically develop the cooperation and coordination between the Community eco-label and other eco-labels in the Member States.

Implementing measures

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should set up a permanent cooperation and coordination management group.

(a) **Coordination of product group development**

The Community eco-label and the other labels should systematically exchange information on their existing product groups and on their programmes for product group development, and should where appropriate coordinate their efforts, pooling resources, expertise, and results. This would lead to mutual savings, clarification of the respective roles of the different schemes, and facilitate harmonisation (in cases where similar labels have similar objectives).

A number of different approaches each have their advantages and should be developed in parallel. For each product group in each label, a joint decision should be made with respect to the different situations and possibilities as follows:

EU yes, national or regional no: in cases where EU criteria exist for a given product group and the national or regional label does not have them, the national (or regional) label should decide (and inform the EUEB) whether:

- (i) not to develop criteria for this product group (i.e. only the EU label would be available). This would have the advantage of simplifying the choice of manufacturers, would strengthen the EU label, and would require more active marketing of the EU label for that product group;
- (ii) to adopt the EU criteria as national criteria (for example as Austria has done for light bulbs). This would have the advantage of offering national manufacturers the choice of using the national label and/or the EU label for its local marketing, and the EU label for its marketing within other Member States. It should be seen as strengthening both labels;
- (iii) adopt criteria different from the EU label. This should be motivated by the different environmental or marketing aims and should be clearly explained to all stakeholders at national and EU levels. For example, in cases where the EU criteria are very stringent, the national label may legitimately aim to address a wider segment of the market by adopting less severe criteria, and vice versa. The national label may also have specific environmental aims that it wishes to stress in the criteria, that are perhaps less relevant or appropriate at the European level. However, in most cases, efforts should be made on both sides to achieve convergence on criteria where appropriate.

EU no, national yes: in cases where national (or regional) criteria exist, the Community eco-label should take this into consideration in assessing the priority of possibly establishing the product group at the EU level. Should the EU label decide to go ahead, the EUEB should take into account the existing national criteria in developing the EU criteria. Any differences between national criteria and the final criteria should be identified, outlined and explained, and communicated to the respective stakeholders.

EU no, national no: in cases where neither national nor EU criteria exist, the Community eco-label and national labels should discuss, case-by-case, whether developing criteria is most appropriate at the EU level or national level or both (in this case clarifying what would be the complementary approaches and why), and how to proceed most effectively.

EU yes, national yes: in cases where both the national and the Community eco-label exist for a given product group, a decision should be made to either progressively harmonise the criteria (e.g. one of the two adopting the other's criteria), adopt complementary approaches (see above), or one (or both) withdrawing the product group.

Objective

Progressively coordinate product group development in the different labelling schemes in the EU.

Implementing measures

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should review and catalogue all product groups covered by eco-labels in the EU and candidate countries (and eventually in other countries) and set in place a mechanism for establishing and updating a central register of these product groups and their criteria.

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should set in place a mechanism for progressively coordinating their work programmes, and for exchanging information.

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States, should for each product group in each label, determine which of the situations and approaches outlined in section 4(a) should apply.

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should, as far as possible, harmonise their detergent ingredient databases (DID-Lists) and related issues.

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should, within the ongoing product group development, examine the possibilities of mutual recognition, in an appropriate way, of intermediate or final products bearing one of the other labels in the Member States, for example in the context of product groups where credit is allowed for using labelled products.

The EUEB should take into account relevant work being carried out with the Global Eco-labelling Network (GEN).

(b) Joint actions to promote the EU scheme and other eco-labels in the Member States, and their eco-labelled products, among others, in order to raise consumer awareness and understanding of the common and complementary roles of the schemes

Information should be given to the different stakeholders explaining the environmental value of the different eco-labels in Europe, presenting the different schemes not as competitive, but rather as complementary, although with different product groups and targets, and always contributing to the common final objective of sustainable development and sound consumption patterns.

This would require some joint discussion between the EU label and the other labels in the Member States to arrive at a common understanding and presentation of the schemes and of their common and complementary aims and roles.

One specific aspect should be joint promotional campaigns for coincident product groups, clarifying to the consumer the different environmental aspects (if any) and benefits derived from the coincident schemes.

It is also important to develop a joint website/database that will allow European consumers and public and private purchasers to find more easily eco-labelled products (i.e. with information on where they can be purchased, who the manufacturer is, contact information).

In cases where both the national (or regional) and EU labels have criteria for a given product group, it is clear that the best possible outcome (in terms of informing the consumer and reinforcing eco-labelling as a tool for promoting sustainable consumption) is that the applicants apply for and receive both labels. Nationally, the producer would benefit from the local celebrity of the national label and the European dimension of the EU label, and in selling his products in other Member States (where his national label is generally less well known) he would benefit from the EU label. Both labels appearing side-by-side would reinforce consumer awareness of both logos, and both schemes would be reinforced.

In order to encourage the use of both labels, the fee structures should be adapted so that an applicant wanting both labels would receive an appropriate discount. For example he could be allowed to deduct from his fees for one label the price he is paying for the other. The financial consequences (both positive and negative, short- and long-term) of this should be carefully examined, as well as a series of procedures for sorting out possible complications.

Objective

Progressively raise the awareness of the common and complementary aims and roles of the EU label and of the other labels in the Member States.

Implementing measures

The Commission, the Member States, the EUEB, the other labels in the Member States should, in the first year of the working plan, jointly develop information for stakeholders (manufacturers, consumers, distributors, public purchasers, etc.) concerning the existence and common and complementary roles and aims of national labels and the EU label. Actions should be taken to diffuse this information in the second year of the working plan.

The EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should exchange and disseminate information on what eco-labelled products are sold where.

The Commission, the EUEB and the other labels in the Member States should explore the possibilities of developing a joint 'green store' of eco-labelled products on the Internet (and/or related actions). If favourable, a timetable and budget for its realisation should be proposed at the same time.

The EUEB and the national labels should develop joint actions to encourage the use of eco-label criteria in public and private procurement.

The Commission, in consultation with the EUEB, should study the possibilities and opportunities of adapting the Community eco-label fee structure to offer an appropriate discount to applicants who want both the Community eco-label and one or more of the other labels in the Member States, taking into account the financial consequences (both positive and negative, short- and long-term), and if appropriate propose a modified Decision. The other labels in the Member States should do the same.

5. *Joint actions on promotion*

(Note: these joint actions to promote the EU label are in addition to joint actions with national labels described above, as well as in addition to the ongoing promotional actions carried out by individual Member States and members of the EUEB and by the Commission)

A voluntary scheme can only be successful and have a significant impact on the market if it is supported by a significant amount of marketing and promotion activities. Article 10 of the revised Regulation calls on Member States and the Commission, in cooperation with the members of the EUEB, to promote the use of the Community eco-label by awareness-raising actions and information campaigns for consumers, producers, traders, retailers and the general public. The involvement of different stakeholders and in particular those who can act as multipliers (such as the retail sector, and consumer and environmental NGOs), are of crucial importance. These should be clearly identified and a targeted strategy on how best to inform each should be developed.

The EUEB in particular should continue to organise regular meetings twice a year devoted essentially to marketing and promotion and strategic development, and should form a permanent marketing management working group to develop and coordinate joint promotional actions of all sorts, including those by the Commission and the Member States.

As stated above (see section on market penetration) the overall resources devoted to promotion and marketing (whether joint or individual) should be significant and be at least 50 % of the level of the resources devoted to the technical development of the product groups.

Objective

The EUEB, the Commission and the Member States should, to an appropriate degree, co-ordinate their marketing efforts and develop and implement joint actions.

Implementing measures

The EUEB should set up a permanent marketing management group.

The resources devoted to promotion (jointly or otherwise) should, as far as possible, increase in the range of 50 % of those devoted to the development and revision of product groups.

The EUEB should meet twice a year to discuss essentially marketing and promotion, and the strategic development of the scheme (Presidential meetings).

The EUEB, the Commission and the Member States should jointly identify the different key target groups and define and implement a strategy for each.

(a) Joint promotion activities to improve stakeholder awareness

The main objective is to continuously inform manufacturers, consumers and multipliers (retailers and NGOs), indeed all stakeholders, about the eco-label and its developments. Printed material (brochures and newsletters, articles) and the website are some of the appropriate means. In parallel, feedback from stakeholders should be systematically sought and taken into account.

In so far as brochures are concerned, a complete range of material (the eco-label info-kit) is already available, developed by the Commission and different competent bodies, and should systematically be made available to relevant stakeholders, as well as being regularly updated and improved. Better use could be made of these, and the EUEB, the Commission and the Member States should coordinate their efforts in order to use the limited resources more efficiently, to develop brochures, newsletters and the website, and to diffuse them more systematically to key target groups.

Similarly the Community eco-label website (<http://europa.eu.int/ecolabel>) is already a central source of all relevant information, and needs to be actively and jointly managed and regularly updated. To reinforce its credibility and transparency, regular input should be encouraged from all stakeholders, including consumer and environmental NGOs.

Apart from providing the appropriate information tools, it is also important to consider events such as exhibitions and fairs at which to present the European eco-label to a broader public. So far no systematic analysis has been done to investigate which exhibitions or fairs are most suitable to promote the eco-label. Another important aspect that should be further explored is the possibility of using eco-labelled products at 'mega-events' such as world championships, the Olympic games or festivals, etc.

Finally, there is still a widespread misconception that applying for the eco-label is a cumbersome, bureaucratic and costly process, whereas with the recent revision of the Regulation and of the fee structure, applying is simpler and cheaper than before. Moreover, in setting the criteria for each product particular attention is paid to keeping testing costs to a minimum and to clarifying exactly what documentation and test reports an applicant needs to provide. Better information on these points needs to be specifically communicated to stakeholders.

Objective

Continuously inform all stakeholders about the eco-label and its developments. In parallel, feedback from stakeholders should be systematically sought and taken into account.

Implementing measures

The EUEB and the Commission should organise the updating and continuous improvement of the eco-label website.

The EUEB should organise and coordinate the development and distribution of brochures and other printed material.

The EUEB should draw up a list of events where the eco-label should be presented, and a proposal to use eco-labelled products at selected 'mega-events'.

The EUEB should ensure that information to stakeholders clarifies the procedures, time and costs related to applying for the eco-label.

(b) Joint promotion activities to improve public and private purchaser awareness

The section above on market penetration stresses the potential of public and private purchasers. Joint promotional activities should focus on this key target group. Information is necessary on both the legal framework (how a purchaser can incorporate the eco-label criteria in his calls for tenders, now clarified in the Commission interpretative document on public procurement and the environment) and on the criteria available for the different product groups. Here as well, the eco-label website should play an important role. Joint meetings between the EUEB with public purchasers should be organised and national and/or regional campaigns should also be considered.

Objective

In the medium-term, public procurement officers should be informed of the possibilities of using the eco-label criteria in their calls for tenders.

Implementing measures

The EUEB, Commission and Member States should, before end of the second year of the working plan, develop a joint strategy and a series of joint actions to promote the use of the eco-label criteria in public and private procurement.

The Commission should draw up a handbook on green public procurement, which among other aspects should address how the criteria can be used in calls for tenders. The EUEB, Commission and Member States should ensure the widest possible diffusion of this handbook to public purchasers, and should organise training and information sessions and other relevant actions. Relevant information should be placed on the eco-label website.

(c) Joint promotion and support to SMEs and distributors

In addition to the different promotional actions detailed in the sections above, networks should be set up to support applications by SMEs for the eco-label. SMEs do not always have the appropriate means or information to appreciate the opportunities offered by the eco-label and to prepare a successful application. They should be assisted by networks involving other applicants, interest groups, competent bodies and other relevant organisations such as business federations or regional authorities.

Retailers, as the gatekeepers between manufacturers and consumers, also have a key role to play. They could, for example, use the eco-label to enhance the quality image of their own-brand products as well as seeking to offer other eco-labelled products to their customers. Developing strategic partnerships with retailers should therefore be a priority.

Implementing measures

The EUEB should develop a strategy and actions to set in place eco-label support networks for the SMEs.

The EUEB should develop strategic partnerships with retailers.

6. *Planned financing of the scheme*

Because of the involvement of many organisations, including public administrations (the Commission budget, for example, is annual), precise budgets cannot be prepared for the coming years.

Nevertheless, the requirements of the eco-label scheme have two main components, being the resources for product group development and those for marketing and promotion.

The estimated resources devoted to the eco-label in 2000, including those devoted by the EUEB, Commission and Member States, were approximately 45 persons and EUR 4 million (not including salaries). Of this, approximately EUR 2,8 million was devoted to marketing and promotion.

This level of resources is adequate for the development and revision of the product groups, and could, subject to the annual budgetary possibilities of the EUEB, Commission and Member States, continue to be allocated annually. These resources should be used as effectively as possible.

The overall level of income from fees available to the competent bodies in 2000 was approximately EUR 280 000, and can be expected to increase with the development of the scheme. This should allow a regular increase in the level of resources devoted to marketing and promotion (not including those made by the companies that have been awarded the eco-label), which should reach a level of at least 50 % of those devoted to the development and revision of the product groups.

Objective

To plan the long-term financing of the scheme, using the resources allocated as efficiently as possible.

Implementing measures

The EUEB, the Commission and the Member States should aim to agree on the long-term financing of the scheme.

*Appendix 1***PRIORITISATION METHODOLOGY**

The following non-exhaustive checklist summarises the main questions that should be asked about each 'candidate' product group (a positive answer to each question is favourable to going ahead and establishing ecological criteria for that product group):

Environmental questions: Does the product group have ...

1. ... significant environmental impacts on a global, regional or general basis?
2. ... significant potential for environmental improvement through consumer choice?
3. ... relevance to priority environmental policy areas, instruments and legislation (e.g. IPP, waste, climate change, energy label)?

Market related questions: Does the product group ...

4. ... represent a significant volume of sales and trade in the internal market?
5. ... provide opportunities and incentives to manufacturers and/or retailers to seek a competitive advantage by offering eco-labelled products?
6. ... have environmental arguments already associated with its marketing?
7. ... meet explicit stakeholder interest for an eco-label for this product group?
8. ... have a significant volume of sales for final use or consumption?
9. ... have a significant public procurement market?
10. ... have a significant private procurement market for this product group?
11. ... come from small manufacturers?
12. ... have a high rate of purchase by consumers (e.g. every day or every week)?
13. ... support an existing product group 'family'?
14. ... present a particular opportunity to enhance the scheme's overall visibility?

Other related issues: Does the product group ...

15. ... involve consumer health and safety issues?
 16. ... exist within another eco-label scheme in Europe or elsewhere, and if so, is the product group a success within that scheme?
 17. ... have established fitness for use standards?
-

Appendix 2

NON-EXHAUSTIVE LIST OF PRIORITY PRODUCT GROUPS**Existing or ongoing product groups**

Tissue paper products
Copying paper
Soil improvers
Indoor paints and varnishes
Textile products
Footwear
Detergents for dishwashers
Laundry detergents
All purpose cleaners and cleaners for sanitary facilities
Hand-dishwashing detergents
Bed-mattresses
Light bulbs
Personal computers
Portable computers
Washing machines
Refrigerators
Dishwashers
Tourist accommodation
Furniture
Hard floor coverings
Televisions
Vacuum cleaners
Tyres

Possible new product groups

(Note: Work is currently being carried out by the EUEB and the Commission to assess in detail the level of priority of these possible new product groups and determine the best order and timing for their development.)

Printing paper
Printed paper products
Converted paper products, stationery
Wallpaper
Rubbish bags/plastic bags
Shopping bags — carrier bags
Writing implements
Telephones
Copiers
Small household electrical equipment
Fashion accessories
Gloves
Leather products
Sports equipment
Toys and games
Packaging

Do-it-yourself products

Air conditioners

Heating systems

Water-heating systems

Insulation

Building components

Building services

Retail services

Dry cleaners

Financial services

Transportation services

Delivery services

Vehicle repair services

Cars

Kitchenware, household fittings, etc.

Sanitary products (sanitary towels and napkins, etc.)

Shampoo and soap

Appendix 3

SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVES AND IMPLEMENTING MEASURES

Objectives	Implementation measures		
	2002	2003	2004
Policy and strategy			
Continue to develop and adapt the long-term policy and strategy of the scheme, as well as the integration of the eco-label in the various policies relating to sustainable consumption.	Form a permanent policy management group to develop and adapt long-term policy and strategy, and coordinate with and provide input to relevant policy developments.	Continue.	Continue.
Product group development			
Establish a set of product groups which forms a sufficiently complete and manageable range of products to attract retailers, manufacturers and consumers. Within five years have 25 to 30 product groups.	Establish new product groups. Adapt validity of criteria in general to four to five years. Develop methodology for establishing criteria for services. Form a working group to address horizontal issues common to many product groups	Establish new product groups.	Establish new product groups.
Make the best use of the resources allocated to product group development by focusing attention on those product groups which are the most suitable for the Community ecolabel.	Develop and improve the prioritisation methodology. Coordinate with other ecolabels.	Continue. Update table of priority product groups. Assess a range of service groups.	Continue.
Marketing, promotion			
Coordinate marketing efforts and develop and implement joint actions.	Form a permanent marketing management group. EUEB to meet twice a year to discuss marketing, promotion, and the strategic development of the scheme (Presidential meetings).	Identify the different key target groups and define and implement a strategy for each.	Continue.

Objectives	Implementation measures		
	2002	2003	2004
<p>Annual increase of at least 25 % of eco-labelled articles.</p> <p>Achieve a minimum level of visibility in two thirds of the Member States.</p> <p>Continuously inform all stakeholders about the eco-label and its developments. In parallel, seek feedback from stakeholders.</p> <p>All relevant manufacturers and retailers should be aware of the eco-label.</p> <p>More than half of European consumers should recognise the Community eco-label logo as a label of environmental excellence.</p>	<p>Undertake promotion.</p> <p>In each Member State, identify and focus marketing efforts on key product groups.</p> <p>Update and improve design of the eco-label website.</p> <p>Organise and coordinate the development and distribution of brochures and other printed material.</p> <p>Draw up a list of events where the eco-label should be presented.</p> <p>Inform potential applicants about application procedures and costs.</p> <p>Set up the reporting mechanisms to establish annual statistics for tracking market penetration.</p>	<p>Undertake promotion.</p> <p>Continue updating website, distributing brochures, attending events and informing about costs, etc.</p> <p>Develop a strategy and actions for SME support networks and strategic partnerships with retailers.</p> <p>Develop a cost-effective methodology for monitoring consumer recognition of the Community eco-label logo, and develop cost-effective actions for progressively increasing this.</p>	<p>Undertake promotion.</p> <p>Continue updating the website, distributing brochures, attending events and informing about costs, etc.</p> <p>Resources devoted to promotion should be at least 50 % of those devoted to product group development.</p>
	<p>Develop the criterion for a minimum level of visibility of the eco-label, evaluate in which countries this has been achieved.</p>		

Direct and indirect impacts

<p>Inform public procurement officers of the possibilities of using the eco-label criteria in their calls for tenders.</p>	<p>Develop a handbook on green public procurement and eco-label criteria, diffuse this (also on the website) and organise training and information sessions.</p>	<p>Develop a joint strategy and a series of joint actions to promote the use of the eco-label criteria in public and private procurement.</p>	<p>Continue.</p>
<p>Contribute to making consumption more sustainable.</p> <p>Progressively develop qualitative and quantitative evaluations of the direct and indirect benefits of the eco-label.</p> <p>The role of eco-label criteria as a benchmark of environmental excellence should be explicitly developed.</p>	<p>Develop the methodology and parameters for estimating the direct and indirect environmental benefits of the eco-label.</p> <p>The maximum potential environmental benefits to be systematically estimated for each product group when establishing new or revised criteria.</p> <p>Set in place strategy for monitoring, evaluating and increasing the indirect environmental benefits of the eco-label criteria.</p>	<p>Continue.</p> <p>Analyse synergies with EMAS.</p>	<p>Continue.</p>

Objectives	Implementation measures		
	2002	2003	2004
Cooperation and coordination			
<p>Progressively develop the cooperation and coordination between the Community eco-label and other eco-labels in the Member States.</p> <p>Progressively coordinate the product group development in the different labelling schemes in the EU.</p>	<p>Form a permanent cooperation and coordination management group.</p> <p>Review and catalogue all product groups covered by eco-labels in the EU and candidate countries.</p> <p>Set in place a mechanism for establishing and updating a central register of product groups and their criteria.</p> <p>For each product group in each label, determine how it fits into the European and/or national scheme.</p>	<p>Set in place a mechanism for progressively coordinating the work programmes of different schemes and for exchanging information.</p> <p>Examine the possibilities of 'recognising' intermediate or final products bearing one of the other labels.</p> <p>Take into account relevant work being carried out with the Global Eco-labelling Network (GEN).</p>	<p>Harmonise the detergent ingredient databases (DID-lists) and related issues.</p>
<p>Progressively raise the awareness of the common and complementary aims and roles of the EU label and of the other labels in the Member States.</p>	<p>Jointly develop and diffuse information to stakeholders concerning the existence and common and complementary roles and aims of national labels and the EU label.</p> <p>Exchange information on what labelled products are sold where.</p>	<p>Continue.</p> <p>Explore the possibilities of developing a joint green store of eco-labelled products on the Internet.</p> <p>Develop joint actions to promote the use of eco-label criteria in public procurement.</p>	<p>Continue.</p> <p>Study the possibilities and opportunities of adapting the Community eco-label fee structure to offer an appropriate discount to applicants that want both the EU and one or more of the other labels in the Member States.</p>
Financing			
<p>Plan the long-term financing of the scheme, using the resources allocated as efficiently as possible.</p>		<p>Aim to agree on the long-term financing of the scheme.</p>	<p>Continue.</p>

CORRIGENDA**Corrigendum to Commission Regulation (EC) No 2419/2001 of 11 December 2001 laying down detailed rules for applying the integrated administration and control system for certain Community aid schemes established by Council Regulation (EC) No 3508/92**

(Official Journal of the European Communities L 327 of 12 December 2001)

On page 29, Article 53(1), last line:

for: '... expire before 1 January 2001.'

read: '... start before 1 January 2001.'
