

The applicants argue, firstly, that the actual number of direct jobs which could be created by the investment is much lower than estimated by the Commission. They also submit that even if the figures given were correct, the Commission completely ignores the fact that the creation of jobs as a result of the contested investment will be at the expense of existing jobs in other regions of the Community, including the most impoverished regions.

As to the argument that there would be no aggravation of excess capacity, the applicants submit that the Commission committed several manifest errors in assessing the facts, in that, firstly, it evaluated in a manifestly erroneous way the position of Community producers in the low range products segment; secondly, it completely overlooked the fact that air-jet and water-jet looms are used for the manufacture of high quality fabrics as well as low range products; thirdly, it did not distinguish clearly between mass products and those with higher added value; furthermore, it did not carry out a precise and complete analysis of the market in the products affected by the contested aid; and finally, the forecasts in the decision relating to developments in demand for MFA2 and MFA35 category products are scarcely credible.

**Removal from the register of Case T-50/93 <sup>(1)</sup> and others**  
(94/C 380/56)

*(Language of the case: German)*

By order of 31 August 1994 the President of the Second Chamber of the Court of First Instance of the European Communities ordered the removal from the register of Case T-50/93 and others: Robert and Luise Haas and other milk producers listed below v. Council of the European Union and Commission of the European Communities.

Annex to the Order of the President of 31 August 1994 in Cases T-50/93 and others

1. T-57/93 Franz-Josef Voß, Drensteinfurt-Rinkerode (BRD)
2. T-58/93 Heinz Vögeling, Drensteinfurt 2 (BRD)
3. T-59/93 Franz-Josef Ott, Ingoldingen-Grodt (BRD)
4. T-60/93 Norbert Frie, Lippetal-Lippborg (BRD)
5. T-61/93 Gerhard Brand, Rödelhausen (BRD)
6. T-62/93 Paul Gövert, Nottuln (BRD)
7. T-63/93 Heinz-Bernd Kamp, Wipperfürth (BRD)
8. T-64/93 Kurt Horst, Hüllhorst 5 (BRD)
9. T-65/93 Johannes Brautmeier, Delbrück-Ostenland (BRD)
10. T-67/93 Ludwig Banning, Wettringen (BRD)
11. T-68/93 Heinz Bons, Kerken 2 (BRD)
12. T-70/93 Ernst-August Mohr, Grande (BRD)
13. T-72/93 Artur Bußman, Rhauerfehn-Klostermoor (BRD)
14. T-73/93 Heinrich Hülsemann, Dinslaken (BRD)
15. T-75/93 Josefine Pfender, Biberach (BRD)

16. T-76/93 Richard Müller, Bad Windsheim (BRD)
17. T-78/93 Werner Herking, Gronau-Epe (BRD)
18. T-79/93 Karl May, Drensteinfurt-Walstedde (BRD)
19. T-80/93 Hans Brörmann, Neuenkirchen (BRD)
20. T-81/93 Jan-Wilhelm Evers, Hoogstede (BRD)
21. T-83/93 Karl-Heinz Schöndube, Grasleben (BRD)
22. T-84/93 Johannes Franken, Kevelaer (BRD)
23. T-86/93 Ferdinand Kriefft, Harsewinkel-Marienfeld (BRD)
24. T-90/93 Christine Schulte-Stratmann, Meschede-Remblinghausen (BRD)
25. T-93/93 Heinrich Tewordt, Rhede (BRD)
26. T-100/93 BGB-Gesellschaft Paul Orth und Heinrich Orth, Zülpich (BRD)
27. T-114/93 Robert Klinkhammer, Rees-Empel (BRD)
28. T-116/93 Peter Dethlefs, Groven (BRD)
29. T-117/93 Bruno Hess, Hagermarsch (BRD)
30. T-118/93 Frank Rövenich, Euskirchen-Elsig (BRD)
31. T-119/93 Paula Großbölting-Gries, Hamminkeln-Loikum (BRD)
32. T-120/93 Johann Ingendae, Sonsbeck (BRD)
33. T-121/93 Josef-Walter Schaffer, Adelsheim (BRD)
34. T-123/93 Friedrich Bock, Springe 9 (BRD)
35. T-125/93 Hans-Josef Hallmans, Nideggen (BRD)
36. T-127/93 Arno Eikmeier, Barkenholm (BRD)
37. T-128/93 Johann Hurtz, Nideggen-Berg (BRD)
38. T-129/93 Johannes Hegering, Hamminkeln (BRD)
39. T-131/93 Bernhard Stuckenberg, Neuenkirchen (BRD)
40. T-135/93 Karl-Heinrich List, Ringgau-Netra (BRD)
41. T-140/93 Harald Kalck, Ringgau-Netra (BRD)

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No C 178, 18. 7. 1990.

**Removal from the register of Cases T-51/93 <sup>(1)</sup>, T-196/93 and T-214/93**  
(94/C 380/57)

*(Language of the case: German)*

By order of 31 August 1994 the President of the Second Chamber of the Court of First Instance of the European Communities ordered the removal from the register of Cases: T-51/93 Hartmut Krieger, T-196/93 Hans-Sönke Hansen and T-214/93 Hans-Thomas Rathje v. Council of the European Union.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ No C 178, 18. 7. 1990.