

Hordijk, of the Amsterdam Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of L. Frieden, 62 Avenue Guillaume.

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- order the Community to pay the applicants a certain sum together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,
- order the Community to pay the applicants damages of such an amount as the Court sees fit but at least the amount resulting from application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2187/93 of 22 July 1993, together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,
- order the Community to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:

The pleas in law and main arguments are largely the same as those in Case C-104/89 Mulder v. Council and Commission of the European Communities and Case C-37/90 Heinemann v. Council and Commission of the European Communities.

Action brought on 10 March 1994 by W. Talsma against Council of the European Union and Commission of the European Communities

(Case T-105/94)

(94/C 120/55)

(Language of the case: Dutch)

An action against the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 10 March 1994 by W. Talsma, Ternaard (Netherlands), represented by H. J. Bronkhorst, Advocaat bij de Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, and E. H. Pijnacker Hordijk, of the Amsterdam Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of L. Frieden, 62 Avenue Guillaume.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- order the Community to pay the applicant a certain sum together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,
- order the Community to pay the applicant damages of such an amount as the Court sees fit but at least the amount resulting from application of Council

Regulation (EEC) No 2187/93 of 22 July 1993, together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,

- order the Community to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:

The pleas in law and main arguments are largely the same as those in Case C-104/89 Mulder v. Council and Commission of the European Communities and Case C-37/90 Heinemann v. Council and Commission of the European Communities.

Action brought on 11 March 1994 by R. and F. Visser and two Others against the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities

(Case T-106/94)

(94/C 120/56)

(Language of the case: Dutch)

An action against the Council of the European Union and the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 11 March 1994 by R. and F. Visser, Oosterbierum (Netherlands), and two Others, represented by H. J. Bronkhorst, Advocaat bij de Hoge Raad der Nederlanden, and E. H. Pijnacker Hordijk, of the Amsterdam Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of L. Frieden, 62 Avenue Guillaume.

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- order the Community to pay the applicants a certain sum together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,
- order the Community to pay the applicants damages of such an amount as the Court sees fit but at least the amount resulting from application of Council Regulation (EEC) No 2187/93 of 22 July 1993, together with interest at 8 % a year on the principal sum as from 19 May 1992 until the date of full settlement,
- order the Community to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:

The pleas in law and main arguments are largely the same as those in Case C-104/89 Mulder v. Council and Commission of the European Communities and Case C-37/90 Heinemann v. Council and Commission of the European Communities.