

**Action brought on 27 April 1992 by Frank Andresen  
against the European Communities, represented by the  
Council of the European Communities**

(Case C-133/92)

(92/C 138/08)

An action against the European Communities, represented by the Council of the European Communities, was brought before the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 27 April 1992 by Frank Andresen, a farmer, of D-2251 Olderupfeld, represented by Karl-Wilhelm Möller, Klaus-H. Deckmann, Henning Möller

and Monika Möller, Rechtsanwälte, of 16 Asmusenstraße, D-2250 Husum, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Marc Baden, 24 rue Marie-Adelaïde.

The applicant claims that the Court should:

— order the Community to pay DM 50 736.

*Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support, concerning loss suffered by him as a result of the failure to grant him a milk quota following his previous receipt of a non-marketing premium, are similar to those in Case C-98/90 (1).*

(1) OJ No C 178, 18. 7. 1990, p. 1.

COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

**Action brought on 10 April 1992 by Colette Zaoui  
against the Council of the European Communities**

(Case T-26/92)

(92/C 138/09)

An action against the Council of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 10 April 1992 by Colette Zaoui, residing in Brussels, represented by Jean-Noël Louis, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Fiduciaire Myson, 1 rue Glesener.

The applicant claims that the Court of First Instance should:

- annul the defendant's decision to deduct nine days of lawful absence from the applicant's annual leave,
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

*Pleas in law and main arguments adduced in support:*

The applicant states that the decision to treat her absence as improper and to deduct it from the balance of her annual leave is based on the defendant's implied refusal to take account of the medical certificate which she produced and which certifies her incapacity to work for the nine days in question; contrary to the requirements resulting from the case-law of the Court of Justice, the defendant adopted that standpoint without ordering a medical examination as laid down in Article 59 of the Staff Regulations. The applicant concludes from this that the contested decision infringes Articles 59 and 60 of the Staff Regulations.

**Action brought on 13 April 1992 by Maria Camera-Lampitelli and others against the Commission of the  
European Communities**

(Case T-27/92)

(92/C 138/10)

An action against the Commission of the European Communities was brought before the Court of First Instance of the European Communities on 13 April 1992 by Maria Camera-Lampitelli and 10 other applicants, represented by Marcel Slusny and Olivier Slusny, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Ernest Arendt, 4 avenue Marie-Thérèse, L-2132.

The applicants claim that the Court of First Instance should:

- declare null and void the refusal of the Selection Board and the Administration to accept the applicants as successful candidates;