

valent to restrictions on exports within the meaning of Article 34 of the EEC Treaty?

2. may an individual rely on an infringement of Article 34 as against another individual?

**Removal from the Register of Case C-328/87 (\*)**

(90/C 85/14)

By order of 31 January 1990 the Court of Justice of the European Communities ordered the removal from the

(\*) OJ No C 317, 28. 11. 1987.

Register of Case C-328/87: Commission of the European Communities v. Italian Republic.

**Removal from the Register of Case C-52/89 (\*)**

(90/C 85/15)

By order of 31 January 1990 the Court of Justice of the European Communities ordered the removal from the Register of Case C-52/89: Hauptzollamt München-Mitte v. Universität Stuttgart.

(\*) OJ No C 81, 1. 4. 1989.

**COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**

of 8 March 1990

in Case T-28/89: Claude Maindix, Raymond Muller and Francis Patterson v. Economic and Social Committee (\*)

(Official — Staff Committee — Elections)

(90/C 85/16)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the Reports of Cases before the Court)

In Case T-28/89, Claude Maindix, Raymond Muller and Francis Patterson, officials of the Economic and Social Committee, residing in Brussels, represented by Jean-Noël Louis, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Yvette Hamilius, 7-11 route d'Esch, against the Economic and Social Committee (Agent: Detlef Brüggemann, assisted by Alex Bonn, of the Luxembourg Bar) — application for the annulment of acts organizing elections to the Staff Committee of the Economic and Social Committee on 17 March 1988 in accordance with the 'Supar' electoral system — the Court (Fifth Chamber), composed of H. Kirschner, President of the Chamber, C. P. Briët and J. Biancarelli, Judges; H. Jung, Registrar, gave a judgment on 8 March 1990, the operative part of which is as follows:

1. the application is dismissed;  
the parties are ordered to bear their own costs.

(\*) OJ No C 79, 26. 3. 1988, p. 6.

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE**

of 8 March 1990

in Case T-41/89: Georg Schwedler v. European Parliament (\*)

(Official — Tax abatement — Dependent child)

(90/C 85/17)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the Reports of Cases before the Court)

In Case T-41/89, Georg Schwedler, an official of the European Parliament, residing at 36 rue des Vergers, L-7330 Steinsel, represented by Vic Elvinger, of the Luxembourg Bar, in the written procedure, assisted by James Junker, in the oral procedure, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of Vic Elvinger, 11a Boulevard Josef II, against the European Parliament (Agent: Manfred Peter, assisted by Francis Herbert, of the Luxembourg Bar) — application for the annulment of two decisions of the Parliament refusing to grant the tax abatement for a dependent child to the applicant — the Court (Fifth Chamber), composed of H. Kirschner, President of the Chamber, C. P. Briët and J. Biancarelli, Judges; H. Jung, Registrar, gave a judgment on 8 March 1990, the operative part of which is as follows:

1. the application is dismissed;
2. the parties are ordered to bear their own costs.

(\*) OJ No C 223, 27. 8. 1988, p. 4.