COURT OF JUSTICE

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(First Chamber)

of 17 February 1987

in Case 21/86: Euridiki Samara v. Commission of the **European Communities**

(Official — Salary arrears — Default interest)

(87/C 69/03)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the Reports of Cases before the Court)

In Case 21/86: Euridiki Samara, an official of the Commission of the European Communities, residing in Strassen (Luxembourg), represented by Victor Biel, of the Luxembourg Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the latter's Chambers, 18a Rue des Glacis, against the Commission of the European Communities (Agent: Marie Wolfcarius) — application for the payment of interest on salary arrears — the Court (First Chamber), composed of F. A. Schockweiler, President of the Chamber, G. Bosco and R. Joliet, Judges; Sir Gordon Slynn, Advocate-General, B. Pastor, Administrator, for the Registrar, gave a judgment on 17 February 1987, the operative part of which is as follows:

- 1. The implied decision of the Commission rejecting the applicant's complaint of 21 June 1985 is annulled;
- 2. The Commission is ordered to pay the applicant default interest at 8 % per annum on the sums equal to the salary arrears paid for the period from 1 January 1983 to 31 May 1985, running from the date upon which each instalment became due;
- 3. The Commission is ordered to pay the costs.

(1) OJ No C 110, 9. 5. 1986.

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Third Chamber) of 18 February 1987

in Case 98/86 (reference for a preliminary ruling made by the Tribunal de Première Instance de Dinant): Ministère Public v. Arthur Mathot (1)

(Labelling of butter)

(87/C 69/04)

(Language of the case: French)

(Provisional translation; the definitive translation will be published in the Reports of Cases before the Court)

In Case 98/86: reference to the Court under Article 177 of the EEC Treaty by the Tribunal de Première Instance [Court of First Instance], Dinant, for a preliminary ruling in the proceedings pending before that court between Ministère Public and Arthur Mathot, residing at Celles (Province of Namur) — on the interpretation of the first subparagraph of Article 3 (1) (6) of Council Directive 79/112/EEC of 18 December 1978 on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to the labelling, presentation and advertising of foodstuffs for sale to the ultimate consumer (Official Journal No L 33, 8. 2. 1979, p. 1) - the Court (Third Chamber), composed of Y. Galmot, President of the Chamber, U. Everling and J. C. Moitinho de Almeida, Judges; J. Mischo, Advocate-General; H. A. Rühl, Principal Administrator, acting for the Registrar, gave a judgment on 18 February 1987, the operative part of which is as follows:

Neither Article 30 of the EEC Treaty, nor any other provision of the EEC Treaty, nor any general principle of Community law renders unlawful the application of certain provisions of national legislation, themselves in conformity with a Community directive, only to domestically-produced products, to the exclusion of those coming from other Member States.

Application for an attachment order made on 9 February 1987

(Case SA 1/87)

(87/C 69/05)

An application for an attachment order was made to the Court of Justice of the European Communities on 9 February 1987 by Universe Tankship Company Inc., whose registered office is in Monrovia, Liberia, represented by R. O. Dalcq, of the Brussels Bar, with an address for service in Luxembourg at the Chambers of J. Loesch, 8 Rue Zithe.

The applicant asks the Court to authorize it to attach, in so far as is necessary, all sums due and payable for whatever reason by the Commission of the European Communities to the Belgian State, in particular such sums as are payable by way of rent.

This authorization is requested in accordance with Article 1 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Communities.