



C/2024/2044

18.3.2024

Action brought on 8 December 2023 — AR v Commission

(Case T-1147/23)

(C/2024/2044)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: AR (represented by: M. Conil-Séon, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of 31 January 2023;
- order the defendant to pay compensation to the applicant in the amount of EUR 5 000 *ex aequo et bono*;
- order the defendant to pay all of the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action against the decision of the European Commission of 31 January 2023 not to include the applicant's name on the reserve list of open competition EPSO/AD/376/20 — Greek-language lawyer-linguists, the applicant relies on three pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging loss of the chance of receiving more points in the translation test on account of an irregularity in the communication of the instructions.
 2. Second plea in law, alleging a substantive error and a failure to treat the candidates in an equal manner in the absence of the points from the multiple choice test being taken into consideration in the applicant's overall score.
 3. Third plea in law, alleging failure to observe the principle of legitimate expectations and referring to multiple assurances that he had been successful in the preliminary tests.
-