

Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 14 February 2006 — TEA-CEGOS and Others v Commission

(Joined Cases T-376/05 and T-383/05) ⁽¹⁾

(Public contracts — Community procedure for call for tenders — Recruitment of short-term experts responsible for providing technical assistance for the benefit of third countries benefiting from external aid — Rejection of tenders)

(2006/C 86/52)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: TEA-CEGOS, SA (Madrid, Spain) and Services techniques globaux (STG) SA (Brussels, Belgium), in Case T-376/05 (represented by: G. Vandersanden and L. Levi, lawyers), and GHK Consulting Ltd (London, United Kingdom), in Case T-383/05 (represented by: M. Dittmer and J.-E. Svensson, lawyers)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: M. Wilderspin and G. Boudot, Agents)

Application for

Annulment, first, of the Commission's decisions of 12 October 2005 rejecting the tenders submitted by the applicants in the context of the procedure for the call for tenders bearing the reference 'EuropeAid/119860/C/SV/multi-Lot 7' and, second, of any other decision taken by the Commission in the context of the same call for tenders following the decisions of 12 October 2005

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the actions.
2. Orders the applicants to pay the costs, including those relating to the interlocutory procedures.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 315 of 10.12.2005

Order of the Court of First Instance of 13 October 2005 — Fintecna v Commission

(Case T-249/02) ⁽¹⁾

(European Social Fund — Reduction of financial assistance — Action for annulment — Measure against which actions may be brought — Preparatory measure — Inadmissibility)

(2006/C 86/53)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Fintecna — Finanziaria per i settori industriale e dei servizi SpA (Rome, Italy) (represented by: G. Roberti, A. Franchi and R. de Lisa, lawyers)

Defendant: Commission of the European Communities (represented by: initially L. Flynn and A. Aresu, subsequently E. de March and L. Flynn, Agents, and A. Dal Ferro, lawyer)

Application for

Annulment of the Commission's letter of 31 March 2000 in relation to various pieces of financial assistance from the European Social Fund (ESF), granted to a number of operational programmes under the Community scheme of support for the achievement of Objectives 1, 3 and 4 in Italy (centre-north and Mezzogiorno)

Operative part of the Order

1. The application is dismissed as inadmissible.
2. The applicant shall bear its own costs and pay those of the Commission.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 233, 28.9.2002.

Order of the Court of First Instance of 31 January 2006 — Schneider Electric v Commission

(Case T-48/03) ⁽¹⁾

(Competition — Concentrations — Resumption of the control procedure following annulment by the Court of First Instance of a decision prohibiting a concentration — Initiation of the detailed examination phase — Abandonment of the concentration — Closure of the control procedure — Action for annulment — Acts adversely affecting the applicant — Interest in bringing an action — Inadmissible)

(2006/C 86/54)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Schneider Electric (Rueil-Malmaison) (represented by: initially A. Winckler, M. Pittie and É de La Serre, then M. Pittie and A. Winckler, lawyers)