

### Questions referred

1. Do provisions of national law laying down conditions for obtaining a permanent residence permit in a Member State fall within the scope of the standstill clause in Article 13 of Decision No 1/80 of 19 September 1980 on the development of the Association, adopted by the Association Council established by the Agreement establishing an Association between the European Economic Community and Turkey signed on 12 September 1963 at Ankara by the Republic of Turkey, of the one part, and the Member States of the EEC and the Community, of the other part, which was concluded, approved and confirmed on behalf of the Community by Council Decision 64/732/EEC<sup>(1)</sup> of 23 December 1963?
2. If they do, can making the temporal conditions for obtaining a permanent residence permit for a Member State more onerous (that is to say, making the minimum requirements laid down as regards the length of a foreign national's prior residence and employment in the Member State more onerous) be regarded as appropriate for facilitating the successful integration of third-country nationals?

<sup>(1)</sup> The name of the present case is a fictitious name. It does not correspond to the real name of any party to the proceedings.

<sup>(2)</sup> [This decision has not been published in English in the Official Journal.]

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Svea hovrätt, Patent- och marknadsöverdomstolen  
(Sweden) lodged on 15 June 2023 — Guldbrev AB v Konsumentombudsmannen**

**(Case C-379/23, Guldbrev)**

(2023/C 296/25)

*Language of the case: Swedish*

### Referring court

Svea hovrätt, Patent- och marknadsöverdomstolen

### Parties to the main proceedings

*Appellant:* Guldbrev AB

*Respondent:* Konsumentombudsmannen

### Questions referred

1. Does the valuation and purchase of gold from consumers constitute a product (combined product) within the meaning of Article 2(c), (d) and (i) and Article 3(1) of Directive 2005/29<sup>(1)</sup> concerning unfair business-to-consumer commercial practices in the internal market in a situation such as that at issue before the national court?
2. If the answer to Question 1 is in the negative, does the valuation of gold in the situation at issue before the national court constitute a product within the meaning of the directive?

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2005 L 149, p. 22.

---

**Appeal brought on 5 July 2023 by the European Data Protection Supervisor against the judgment of  
the General Court (Eighth Chamber, Extended Composition) delivered on 26 April 2023 in Case  
T-557/20, Single Resolution Board v European Data Protection Supervisor**

**(Case C-413/23 P)**

(2023/C 296/26)

*Language of the case: English*

### Parties

*Appellant:* European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS) (represented by: D. Nardi, T. Zerdick, P. Candellier, X. Lareo, G. Devin, Agents)

*Other party to the proceedings:* Single Resolution Board (SRB)

### **Form of order sought**

The Appellant claims that the Court should:

- set aside in its entirety the judgment under appeal;
- give a final judgment in the dispute;
- order the SRB to pay the costs of the appeal proceedings and of the proceedings before the General Court.

### **Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the appeal, the Appellant raises the following two grounds:

First ground: Incorrect interpretation of Article 3(1) and 3(6) of Regulation 2018/1725 <sup>(1)</sup> as interpreted by the case-law of the Court of Justice for having required the EDPS to assess whether the information at stake in the case was personal data taking the perspective of the recipient and by omitting to give consideration to the notion of pseudonymisation.

Second ground: Incorrect interpretation of Article 4(2) and 26(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 by omitting to give any consideration to the principle of accountability and having found that the EDPS should have proven that the SRB had effectively anonymised the personal data it was processing.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ 2018, L 295, p. 39).