

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the defendant of 26 February 2019 declaring the merger ‘RWE/E.ON Assets’ compatible with the common market (Case M.8871) (OJ 2020 C 111, p. 1);
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The action is based on three pleas in law which are essentially identical or similar to those put forward in Case T-312/20, *EVH v Commission*.

Action brought on 27 May 2020 — TEAG v Commission**(Case T-315/20)**

(2020/C 247/50)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: TEAG Thüringer Energie AG (Erfurt, Germany) (represented by: I. Zenke and T. Heymann, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the defendant’s decision of 26 February 2019 declaring the merger ‘RWE/E.ON Assets’ compatible with the internal market, Case M.8871 (OJ 2020 C 111, p. 1);
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The action is based on three pleas in law which are essentially identical or similar to those put forward in Case T-312/20, *EVH v Commission*.

Action brought on 27 May 2020 — Naturstrom v Commission**(Case T-316/20)**

(2020/C 247/51)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: Naturstrom AG (Düsseldorf, Germany) (represented by: I. Zenke and T. Heymann, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the defendant’s decision of 26 February 2019 declaring the merger ‘RWE/E.ON Assets’ compatible with the common market (Case M.8871) (OJ 2020 C 111, p. 1);