

There was no legal reason for the payment of that sum into the Commission's account, since the customs duty concerned could not be collected for reasons which cannot be attributed to the Czech Republic. Under Article 17(2)(b) of Regulation No 1150/2000 of 22 May 2000 ⁽¹⁾ the Czech Republic was therefore released from the obligation to place the disputed sum at the Commission's disposal.

⁽¹⁾ Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1150/2000 of 22 May 2000 implementing Decision 94/728/EC, Euratom on the system of the Communities' own resources (OJ 2000 L 130, p. 1).

Action brought on 27 March 2020 — Ighoga Region 10 v Commission

(Case T-161/20)

(2020/C 175/43)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Ighoga Region 10 eV (Ingolstadt, Germany) (represented by: A. Bartosch, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should declare that the defendant infringed its obligations under the TFEU in that, even after almost 2 $\frac{3}{4}$ years have elapsed since the complaint has been lodged and, following the request to adopt a final decision to close the procedure, the defendant did not take a formal decision in accordance with one of the possible alternatives set out in Article 4 of the Rules of Procedure in State aid cases, but rather failed to act.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The action is brought against the Commission's failure to act in connection with the adoption of a decision concerning the applicant's complaint of 4 July 2017 on EU State aid in case SA.48582 — presumed State aid for Maritim-Gruppe and KHI Immobilien GmbH.

Action brought on 20 March 2020 — Tornado Boats International v EUIPO — Haygreen (TORNADO)

(Case T-167/20)

(2020/C 175/44)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Tornado Boats International ApS (Lystrup, Denmark) (represented by: M. Hoffgaard Rasmussen, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: David Haygreen (Colwyn Bay, United Kingdom)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trademark at issue: Applicant before the General Court