

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Administrativen sad Silistra (Bulgaria) lodged on  
16 October 2020 — DB, LY v Nachalnik na Rayonno upravlenie Silistra pri Oblastna direktsiya na  
Ministerstvoto na vatrešnite raboti**

**(Case C-520/20)**

(2020/C 433/49)

*Language of the case: Bulgarian*

**Referring court**

Administrativen sad Silistra

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicants:* DB, LY

*Defendant:* Nachalnik na Rayonno upravlenie Silistra pri Oblastna direktsiya na Ministerstvoto na vatrešnite raboti

**Question referred**

Must Article 39 of Council Decision 2007/533/JHA of 12 June 2007 on the establishment, operation and use of the second generation Schengen Information System (SIS II), and in particular Article 39(3) thereof, be interpreted as meaning that it allows national rules and administrative practices under which, if the competent executing authority has valid reason to conclude that the alert entered in the SIS is not covered by the objectives for which it has been registered, and in particular the objectives laid down in Article 38(1), that authority can and must refuse to execute it?

---