

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Rayonen sad Lukovit (Bulgaria) lodged on 15 June 2020 —  
VB v Glavna Direktsia ‘Pozharna bezopasnost i zaschtita na naselenieto’ kam Ministerstvo na  
vatreshnite raboti**

**(Case C-262/20)**

(2020/C 279/49)

*Language of the case: Bulgarian*

**Referring court**

Rayonen sad Lukovit

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* VB

*Defendant:* Glavna Direktsia ‘Pozharna bezopasnost i zaschtita na naselenieto’ kam Ministerstvo na vatreshnite raboti

**Questions referred**

1. For the purposes of effective protection under Article 12(a) of Directive 2003/88/EC, <sup>(1)</sup> should the normal duration of periods of night duty of police officers and firefighters be shorter than the normal duration of periods of day duty?
2. For the purposes of the principle of equality set out in Articles 20 and 31 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, must the normal duration of periods of night work laid down in national law for workers in the private sector (7 hours) also apply to public-sector workers, including police officers and firefighters?
3. Can the objective of limiting the duration of periods of night work mentioned in the eighth recital of Directive 2003/88/EC be effectively attained only if the normal duration of periods of night work, including for public-sector workers, is expressly laid down in national law?

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Directive 2003/88/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 concerning certain aspects of the organisation of working time (OJ 2003 L 299, p. 9, Special edition in Bulgarian: Chapter 05 Volume 007 P. 3).

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Landesgericht Korneuburg (Austria) lodged on 15 June  
2020 — Airhelp Limited v Laudamotion GmbH**

**(Case C-263/20)**

(2020/C 279/50)

*Language of the case: German*

**Referring court**

Landesgericht Korneuburg

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Airhelp Limited

*Defendant:* Laudamotion GmbH

**Questions referred**

1. Are Article 5(1)(c) and Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 <sup>(1)</sup> to be interpreted as meaning that the passenger has a right to compensation where the original time of departure of 14.40 is brought forward to 8.25 on the same day?