Action brought on 17 August 2019 - Scandlines Danmark and Scandlines Deutschland v Commission

(Case T-566/19)

(2019/C 348/17)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: Scandlines Danmark ApS (Copenhagen, Denmark), Scandlines Deutschland GmbH (Hamburg, Germany) (represented by: L. Sandberg-Mørch, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- annul the decision of the European Commission of 22 July 2019 which partially rejected the applicants' request for an extension until 5 September 2019 or until the end of August 2019 of the deadline for submitting comments in the context of the formal investigation procedure in case SA. 39078 (2019/C) (ex 2014/N) Financing of the Fehmarn Belt Fixed Link project;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicants rely on two pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging that the Commission violated its obligation to motivate its decision not to grant an extension of the deadline in question until 5 September 2019 or 31 August 2019, as the contested decision contains no reasoning supporting this rejection, or, in any case, entails insufficient reasoning.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging that the Commission infringed Article 6(1) of the Procedural Regulation, (¹) and thereby also the applicants' right as interested parties to effectively participate in the formal investigation procedure regarding State aid case SA.39078, since the application was duly justified, well-founded and proportionate.
- (1) Council Regulation (EU) 2015/1589 of 13 July 2015 laying down detailed rules for the application of Article 108 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (OJ 2015 L 248, p. 9).

Action brought on 23 August 2019 – Victoria's Secret Stores Brand Management v EUIPO – Lacoste (LOVE PINK)

(Case T-582/19)

(2019/C 348/18)

Language of the case: English

Parties

EN

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Lacoste SA (Paris, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: Application for European Union word mark LOVE PINK - Application for registration No 11 853 389

Procedure before EUIPO: Opposition proceedings

Contested decision: Decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 May 2019 in Case R 1078/2018-1

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- partially annul the contested decision, namely as far as it relates to the ground of opposition based on Article 8(1)(b) EUTMR;
- authorize for the registration of European Union trade mark Application No 11 853 389;
- order the Defendant to pay the costs of the action.

Plea in law

— Infringement of Art 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.

Action brought on 23 August 2019 – Electrolux Home Products v EUIPO – D. Consult (FRIGIDAIRE)

(Case T-583/19)

(2019/C 348/19)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Electrolux Home Products, Inc. (Charlotte, North Carolina, United States) (represented by: P. Brownlow, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: D. Consult (Wattignies, France)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Proprietor of the trade mark at issue: Applicant before the General Court

Trade mark at issue: European Union word mark FRIGIDAIRE - European Union trade mark registration No 71 241