

2. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by Target Ventures Group Ltd, including those which the latter party incurred before the Board of Appeal;
3. Orders Target Partners GmbH to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 220, 1.7.2019.

**Judgment of the General Court of 28 October 2020 — Electrolux Home Products v EUIPO —
D. Consult (FRIGIDAIRE)**

(Case T-583/19) ⁽¹⁾

**(EU trade mark — Revocation proceedings — EU word mark FRIGIDAIRE — Genuine use —
Article 51(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 58(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))**

(2021/C 9/23)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Electrolux Home Products, Inc. (Charlotte, North Carolina, United States) (represented by: P. Brownlow, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: V. Ruzek, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: D. Consult (Wattignies, France)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 17 June 2019 (Case R 166/2018-5), relating to revocation proceedings between D. Consult and Electrolux Home Products.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Electrolux Home Products, Inc., to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 348, 14.10.2019.

**Judgment of the General Court of 18 November 2020 — Dermavita v EUIPO — Allergan Holdings
France (JUVEDERM ULTRA)**

(Case T-643/19) ⁽¹⁾

**(EU trade mark — Revocation proceedings — EU word mark JUVEDERM ULTRA — Genuine use of the
mark — Use in connection with the goods in respect of which the mark was registered — Use in the form
in which the mark was registered — Use with the proprietor's consent — Article 51(1)(a) of Regulation
(EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 58(1)(a) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))**

(2021/C 9/24)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Dermavita Co. Ltd (Beirut, Lebanon) (represented by: D. Todorov, lawyer)