

**By the third ground of appeal**, the appellant who was the applicant in Case T-453/19 claims that the General Court erred in law in finding that her action was inadmissible on the ground of a lack of interest in bringing proceedings. She claims that the General Court did not consider the possibility that the pension benefit she receives had a different legal basis, even though this was discussed during the hearing. Since that different legal basis would guarantee the appellant a higher benefit, it cannot be denied that she has an interest in bringing proceedings.

---

**Order of the President of the Court of 13 February 2020 (request for a preliminary ruling from the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division) — United Kingdom) — Beverly Hills Teddy Bear Company v PMS International Group**

**(Case C-728/19) <sup>(1)</sup>**

(2021/C 62/26)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 423, 16.12.2019.

---