

Order of the General Court of 29 April 2019 — Dermatest v EUIPO (DERMATEST)**(Case T-495/18) ⁽¹⁾****(EU trade mark — Application for the EU word mark DERMATEST — Refusal of registration — Withdrawal of the application for registration — No need to adjudicate)**

(2019/C 213/38)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: Dermatest Gesellschaft für allergologische Forschung u. Vertrieb von Körperpflegemitteln mbH (Münster, Germany) (represented by: J. Bühling and D. Graetsch, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: W. Schramek, D. Hanf and D. Walicka, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 June 2018 (Case R 426/2018-4), relating to an application for registration of the word sign DERMATEST as an EU trade mark

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Dermatest Gesellschaft für allergologische Forschung u. Vertrieb von Körperpflegemitteln mbH shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 352, 1.10.2018.

Order of the General Court of 30 April 2019 — Romania v Commission**(Case T-530/18) ⁽¹⁾****(Action for annulment — EAGF and EAFRD — Commission Implementing Decision — Notification to the addressee — Publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union — Time limit for bringing an action — Point from which time starts to run — Delay — Inadmissibility)**

(2019/C 213/39)

*Language of the case: Romanian***Parties**

Applicant: Romania (represented by: C.-R. Canțăr, E. Gane, C.-M. Florescu and O.-C. Ichim, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J Aquilina and L. Radu Bouyon, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU seeking partial annulment of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/873 of 13 June 2018 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) (O) 2018 L 152, p. 29) in so far as it excludes certain expenditure incurred by Romania.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
2. *Romania shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the European Commission.*

(¹) OJ C 408, 12.11.2018.

**Order of the President of the General Court of 2 May 2019 — Jap Energéticas y Medioambientales
v Commission**

(Case T-145/19 R)

(Application for interim measures — Environment — Financial Instrument for the Environment (LIFE) — Project LIFE 11 ENV/ES/000593-H2AL RECYCLING — Recovery of sums paid — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)

(2019/C 213/40)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Jap Energéticas y Medioambientales, SL (Valencia, Spain) (represented by: G. Alabau Zabal, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J. Estrada de Solà and S. Izquierdo Pérez, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Articles 278 and 279 TFEU seeking suspension of the operation of Commission Decision BUDG/DGA 1/C4/CB/3241812545 of 14 January 2019 regarding the recovery from the applicant of the sum of EUR 82 750.96 plus default interest.

Operative part of the order

1. *The application for interim measures is dismissed.*
 2. *The costs are reserved.*
-