

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ethniko Kentro Erevnas kai Technologikis Anaptyxis to pay the costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 151, 15.5.2017.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 21 December 2021 — Apostolopoulou and  
Apostolopoulou-Chrysanthaki v Commission**

**(Joined Cases T-721/18 and T-81/19) (<sup>1</sup>)**

*(Non-contractual liability — Grant agreements concluded in the context of various EU programmes — Breach of contractual terms by the beneficiary company — Eligible costs — OLAF investigation — Liquidation of the company — Recovery from the partners in the company — Enforcement — Allegations made by the representatives of the Commission before the national courts — Identification of the defendant — Failure to have regard to procedural requirements — Partial inadmissibility — Sufficiently serious breach of a rule of law intended to confer rights on individuals)*

(2022/C 95/31)

Language of the case: Greek

**Parties**

**Applicants:** Zoï Apostolopoulou (Athens, Greece), Anastasia Apostolopoulou-Chrysanthaki (Athens) (represented by: D. Gkouskos, lawyer)

**Defendant:** European Commission (represented by: J. Estrada de Solà and T. Adamopoulos, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

APPLICATIONS pursuant to Article 268 TFEU seeking, in essence, compensation for the damage which the applicants allegedly suffered as a result of the allegations made by the representatives of the Commission in the procedure for objecting to enforcement against them of the judgments of 16 July 2014, *Isotis v Commission* (T-59/11, EU:T:2014:679), and of 4 February 2016, *Isotis v Commission* (T-562/13, not published, EU:T:2016:63), before the Protodikeio Athinon (Court of First Instance, Athens, Greece) and the Efeteio Athinon (Court of Appeal, Athens, Greece).

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Ms Zoï Apostolopoulou and Ms Anastasia Apostolopoulou-Chrysanthaki to pay the costs.

---

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 54, 11.2.2019.

---

**Judgment of the General Court of 15 December 2021 — Breyer v REA**

**(Case T-158/19) (<sup>1</sup>)**

*(Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Horizon 2020 – the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-2020) — Regulation (EU) No 1290/2013 — Documents concerning the research project ‘iBorderCtrl: Intelligent Portable Border Control System’ — Exception relating to the protection of the commercial interests of a third party — Partial refusal to grant access — Overriding public interest)*

(2022/C 95/32)

Language of the case: German

**Parties**

**Applicant:** Patrick Breyer (Kiel, Germany) (represented by: J. Breyer, lawyer)