

Judgment of the General Court of 12 December 2019 — Super bock group v EUIPO — Agus (Crystal)(Case T-648/18) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU word mark Crystal — Earlier national word mark CRISTAL — Relative ground for refusal — No similarity between the goods — No likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2020/C 36/32)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Super bock group, SGPS SA (Leça do Balio, Portugal) (represented by: J.P. Mioludo, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: L. Rampini and H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Agus sp. z o.o. (Warsaw, Poland)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 July 2018 (Case R 299/2018-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Unicer-Bebidas de Portugal, SGPS SA and Agus.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Super bock group, SGPS SA to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 16, 14.1.2019.

Order of the General Court of 28 November 2019 — Pinto Teixeira v EEAS(Case T-667/18) (⁽¹⁾)

(Civil service — Rights and obligations of officials — Declaration of intention to engage in an occupational activity after leaving the service — Article 16 of the Staff Regulations — Risk of incompatibility with the legitimate interests of the institution — Deadline for responding to the declaration of intention — Implicit acceptance — Prohibition on engaging in an occupational activity after leaving the service — Non-material damage)

(2020/C 36/33)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: José Manuel Pinto Teixeira (Oeiras, Portugal) (represented by: S. Orlandi and T. Martin, lawyers)

Defendant: European External Action Service (represented by: S. Marquardt and R. Spac, acting as Agents)