

Judgment of the General Court of 12 March 2020 — LL-Carpenter v Commission(Case T-531/18) ⁽¹⁾**(Competition — Agreements, decisions and concerted practices — Motor vehicle market in the Czech Republic — Decision rejecting a complaint — Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 773/2004 — Article 13(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 — Obligation to state reasons)**

(2020/C 175/18)

Language of the case: Czech

Parties*Applicant:* LL-CARPENTER s. r. o. (Prague, Czech Republic) (represented by: M. Nedelka, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: A. Dawes, M. Farley and K. Walkerová, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Decision C(2018) 4138 final of 26 June 2018, rejecting the complaint lodged by the applicant regarding infringements of Articles 101 and 102 TFEU allegedly committed by undertakings within the Subaru group in the motor vehicle distribution sector (Case AT.40037 — Carpenter/Subaru).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders LL-CARPENTER s. r. o. to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 399, 5.11.2018.

Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2020 — Teeäär v ECB(Case T-547/18) ⁽¹⁾**(Civil service — ECB staff — Programme of support for transition to a career outside the ECB — Rejection of an application to take part in that programme — Eligibility conditions — Different lengths of service required depending on whether a staff member is in a single salary band or a salary broadband — Allocation to a salary band on the basis of the type of employment — Equal treatment — Proportionality — Manifest error of assessment)**

(2020/C 175/19)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Raivo Teeäär (Tallinn, Estonia) (represented by: L. Levi, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Central Bank (represented by: D. Camilleri Podestà and F. Malfrère, acting as Agents, and by B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)**Re:**

Application under Article 270 TFEU and Article 50a of the Statute of the Court of Justice of the European Union for, first, annulment of the decision of the ECB of 27 February 2018 rejecting the applicant's application for the pilot programme of support for transition to a career outside the ECB and, in so far as necessary, the ECB's decision of 3 July 2018 rejecting the applicant's special appeal against the abovementioned decision of 27 February 2018 and, secondly, compensation for the damage he allegedly suffered as a result of that decision.