

**Grounds of appeal and main arguments**

In the first place, the Council takes the view that the General Court erred in law in its assessment of the eighth plea for annulment, alleging 'failure to authenticate the statement of reasons', by finding that the statement of reasons relating to the contested measures ought to have been signed.

In the second place, the Council submits that the General Court erred in not finding that the decisions of the American authorities constituted a sufficient basis for including Hamas in the list annexed to the contested measures.

---

**Order of the President of the Ninth Chamber of the Court of 24 June 2019 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Pesti Központi Kerületi Bíróság — Hungary) — PannonHitel Pénzügyi Zrt. v WizzAir Hungary Légi közlekedési Kft.**

(Case C-476/18) <sup>(1)</sup>

(2020/C 10/36)

*Language of the case: Hungarian*

The President of the Ninth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 352, 1.10.2018.

---

**Order of the President of the Court of 21 June 2019 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Sąd Najwyższy — Poland) — JA v Skarb Państwa — Sejm Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej, Senat Rzeczypospolitej Polskiej, Prezes Rady Ministrów, Minister Sprawiedliwości and Minister Finansów**

(Case C-745/18) <sup>(1)</sup>

(2020/C 10/37)

*Language of the case: Polish*

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 164, 13.5.2019.

---