

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those relied on in Case T-659/17 *Vallina Fonseca v SRB*.

Action brought on 4 October 2017 — Miralla Inversiones v SRB**(Case T-685/17)**

(2017/C 424/73)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Miralla Inversiones (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: R. Vallina Hoset and A. Lois Perreau de Pinninck, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

First — take note of the present application and acknowledge the lodging of annulment proceedings against Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of 7 June 2017 concerning the resolution of Banco Popular, as well as the valuation on which it is based and, once the relevant verifications have been carried out, declare the action admissible and follow the procedure set out in Article 120 et seq. of the Rules of Procedure of the General Court;

Second — In accordance with the application, order SRB to submit without delay the provisional valuation carried out by DELOITTE in accordance with Article 20 of the Regulation (EU) 806/2014 for the purpose of enabling the proper exercise of the right of the defence and, once that valuation has been submitted, allow the applicant a specific period to analyse and examine it in detail, so that it is in a position to oppose it during the reply stage;

Third — In the event that it does not accept the claims made in the previous paragraph and the proceedings continue, rule that Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of 7 June 2017 concerning the resolution of Banco Popular and the valuation on which it is based are contrary to EU law.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 4 October 2017 — Policlínico Centro Médico de Seguros and Medicina Asturiana v SRB**(Case T-686/17)**

(2017/C 424/74)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicants: Policlínico Centro Médico de Seguros, SA (Oviedo, Spain) and Medicina Asturiana, SA (Oviedo) (represented by: R. Vallina Hoset and A. Lois Perreau de Pinninck, lawyers)