

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 17 August 2017 — Inversiones Flandes and Others v SRB**(Case T-573/17)**

(2017/C 374/60)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicants: Inversiones Flandes SL (Madrid, Spain), New Winds Group, SL (Madrid, Spain), Sarey Investments, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: R. Jiménez Velasco, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- Annul the decision adopted by the Single Resolution Board in the light of the recitals, articles and principles set out and order the adoption of a new decision which reflects, with full transparency and certainty, the actual financial situation, in commercial terms, of Banco Popular Español, S.A., and, on that basis, order that appropriate measures be taken;
- Expressly order SRB to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

**Action brought on 25 August 2017 — Asics v EUIPO — Van Lieshout textile Agenturen
(Representation of four crossing lines)****(Case T-581/17)**

(2017/C 374/61)

*Language in which the application was lodged: English***Parties**

Applicant: Asics Corporation (Kobe, Japan) (represented by: M. Polo Carreño, M. Granado Carpenter, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)