

Action brought on 16 August 2017 — Gayalex Proyectos v SRB**(Case T-563/17)**

(2017/C 374/58)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Gayalex Proyectos, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: A. Betancor Álamo and F. Cabrera Molina, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- Take note of the present action and the supporting documents, declare it admissible and consider as lodged this application for annulment of Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 adopting the resolution scheme for the institution Banco Popular Español, S.A, and annul that decision.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 21 August 2017 — Molina García v SRB**(Case T-566/17)**

(2017/C 374/59)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Jerónimo Molina García (Puente Genil, Spain) (represented by: A. Velasco Albalá, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that General Court should:

- Annul the contested decision;
- Accept the consequences of the decision of the Single Resolution Board which was adopted erroneously, either because of lack of transparency or because of failure to take prior preventive measures which would have avoided the loss of shareholders' capital through its depreciation and, consequently, order the payment of compensation to the applicant in the amount of the loss resulting from the erroneous procedure and decision, namely EUR 7 805,29.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 17 August 2017 — *Inversiones Flandes and Others v SRB*

(Case T-573/17)

(2017/C 374/60)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicants: Inversiones Flandes SL (Madrid, Spain), New Winds Group, SL (Madrid, Spain), Sarey Investments, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: R. Jiménez Velasco, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- Annul the decision adopted by the Single Resolution Board in the light of the recitals, articles and principles set out and order the adoption of a new decision which reflects, with full transparency and certainty, the actual financial situation, in commercial terms, of Banco Popular Español, S.A., and, on that basis, order that appropriate measures be taken;
- Expressly order SRB to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 25 August 2017 — *Asics v EUIPO — Van Lieshout textile Agenturen* (Representation of four crossing lines)

(Case T-581/17)

(2017/C 374/61)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Asics Corporation (Kobe, Japan) (represented by: M. Polo Carreño, M. Granado Carpenter, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)