

Action brought on 4 August 2017 — Grúas Roxu v Commission and SRB**(Case T-517/17)**

(2017/C 357/27)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Grúas Roxu, S.A. (Meres, Spain) (represented by: B. Gutiérrez de la Roza Pérez, P. Rubio Escobar, R. Ruiz de la Torre Esporrín and B. Fernández García, lawyers)

Defendants: European Commission and Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should annul the following measures:

- Decision (SRB/EES/2017/08) of the Single Resolution Board agreed at its executive session of 7 June 2017 adopting the resolution scheme regarding the institution Banco Popular Español, S.A.
- Commission Decision (EU) 2017/1246 of 7 June 2017 endorsing the resolution scheme for Banco Popular Español, S.A.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Folch Torrela and Others v SRB**(Case T-524/17)**

(2017/C 357/28)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicants: Ángel Folch Torrela (Terrassa, Spain) and 42 other applicants (represented by: V. Clavell Hernández, C. de Santiago Álvarez y J. Redondo Martín, lawyers)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the General Court should:

- declare that, by adopting in its executive session of 7 June 2017 Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 establishing the resolution scheme in respect of the financial institution Banco Popular Español S.A., the Single Resolution Board ('the SRB') infringed EU law;
- consequently, annul that measure, and any subsequent implementing measures too that the SRB may have adopted, with effect *ex tunc*.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 7 August 2017 — Taberna Ángel Sierra and Others v SRB**(Case T-525/17)**

(2017/C 357/29)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicants: Taberna Ángel Sierra, SL (Madrid, Spain) and 67 other applicants (represented by: P. Rúa Sobrino, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board

Form of order sought

The applicants claim that the Court should:

- Annul the contested decision;
- Declare Articles 18 and 29 of Regulation (EU) No 806/2014 illegal and inapplicable;
- Order the Single Resolution Board to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The present action concerns Decision SRB/EES/2017/08 of the Single Resolution Board of 7 June 2017 allowing the resolution of the Banco Popular Español, S.A.

The pleas in law and main arguments are similar to those put forward in Cases T-478/17, *Mutualidad de la Abogacía and Hermandad Nacional de Arquitectos Superiores y Químicos v Single Resolution Board*, T-481/17, *Fundación Tatiana Pérez de Guzmán el Bueno and SFL v Single Resolution Board*, T-482/17, *Comercial Vascongada Recalde v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-483/17, *García Suárez and Others v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, T-484/17, *Fidesban and Others v Single Resolution Board*, T-497/17, *Sánchez del Valle and Calatrava Real State 2015 v Commission and Single Resolution Board*, and T-498/17, *Pablo Álvarez de Linera Granda v Commission and Single Resolution Board*.

Action brought on 11 August 2017 — Coral Venture v SRB**(Case T-532/17)**

(2017/C 357/30)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Coral Venture, S.L. (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: M. Niño Camazón, lawyer)

Defendant: Single Resolution Board